EXHIBIT 2E

```
1
 2
                                     :SUPERIOR COURT OF
                                     :NEW JERSEY
 3
      IN RE:
                                     :LAW DIVISION -
                                    :ATLANTIC COUNTY
      PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE
 4
      LITIGATION
                                     :MASTER CASE 6341-10
 5
                                     :CASE NO. 291 CT
 6
       CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO STIPULATION AND ORDER OF
 7
                       CONFIDENTIALITY
 8
                       October 23, 2012
9
10
                    Transcript of the continued
11
12
     deposition of PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE, called for
13
    Videotaped Examination in the above-captioned
14
    matter, said deposition taken pursuant to Superior
15
     Court Rules of Practice and Procedure by and before
16
     Ann Marie Mitchell, a Federally Approved Certified
17
    Realtime Reporter, Registered Diplomate Reporter,
    Certified Court Reporter, and Notary Public for the
18
    State of New Jersey, at the Quellenhof Hotel,
19
20
    Monheimsallee 52 52062 Aachen, Germany, commencing
21
     at 9:04 a.m.
22
23
                   GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
                877.370.3377 ph | 917.951.5672 fax
24
                       deps@golkow.com
25
```

	200		~ _=
	Page 275		Page 27'
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1	Klinge-17 Expert report of Prof. Dr. Thomas 349
3	ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC	2	Muhl
	BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE		Klinge-18 Article entitled "Biology of 454
4	1360 West 9th Street Suite 215	3	polypropylene/polyglactin 910
5	Cleveland, Ohio 44113		grafts"
-	(216) 592-8384	4	7711 40 B B L 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
6	ben@andersonlawoffices.net	5	Klinge-19 PowerPoint entitled "Tissue 465
7 8	THE RESTAINO LAW FIRM	5	Reaction and Integration of Polypropylene-Based Surgical Mesh
	BY: JOHN M. RESTAINO, DPM, MPH, ESQUIRE	6	in Rats," Bates stamped
9	1550 Larimer Street, Suite 527		ETH.MESH.02319001
0	Denver, Colorado 80202 (720) 924-2006	7	
	jrestaino@restainolawfirm.com		Klinge-20 Article entitled "The Argument 468
1 2	Representing the Plaintiffs	8	for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair"
3	BUTLER, SNOW, O'MARA, STEVENS & CANNADA, PLLC BY: MICHAEL L. BROWN, ESQUIRE	9	Vlings 21 Gymanara Brolift Instructions for 506
4	1020 Highland Colony Parkway	10	Klinge-21 Gynecare Prolift Instructions for 506 Use, Bates stamped
5	Suite 1400 Ridgeland, Mississippi 39157	, ,	ETH.MESH.02341454 through
_	(601) 948-5711	11 12	ETH.MESH.02341459
6	michael.brown@butlersnow.com	13	
7	Representing Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon	14	
8		15	
0	THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC	16	
9	BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE 300 Summers Street	17 18	
0	Suite 1380	19	
1	Charleston, West Virginia 25301	20	
1	dthomas@tcspllc.com Representing Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon	21	
2	1	22	
3		23	
4 5		25	
_	Page 276		Page 27
1		1	1 age 27
1 2	INDEX	1	DEDOCITION CURRORY INDEV
3		2	DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX
4		3	
	Testimony of:	4	
6	RV Mr Brown 781	-	Direction to Witness Matte A.
	By Mr. Brown 281 By Mr. Anderson 516	5	Direction to Witness Not to Answer
7	By Mr. Anderson 516	6	Direction to Witness Not to Answer Page Line
7 8	5	6	
8	5	6 7 8	
8 9 0	By Mr. Anderson 516	6	Page Line
8 9 0	By Mr. Anderson 516 EXHIBITS	6 7 8 9	
8 9 0 1 2	By Mr. Anderson 516 EXHIBITS OUT OF THE PAGE NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	6 7 8	Page Line Request for Production of Documents
8 9 0 1 2 3	By Mr. Anderson 516 EXHIBITS	6 7 8 9	Page Line
8 9 0 1 2 3 4	By Mr. Anderson 516 EXHIBITS EXHIBITS OUT OF THE PROOF TO THE PROOF	6 7 8 9 10	Page Line Request for Production of Documents
8 9 0 1 2 3 4	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S DESCRIPTION PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page Line Request for Production of Documents
8 9 0 1 2 3 4	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S DESCRIPTION PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page Line Request for Production of Documents
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S DESCRIPTION PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S DESCRIPTION PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page Line Request for Production of Documents
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S DESCRIPTION PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the use of implants in pelvic organ	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	By Mr. Anderson 516 EXHIBITS EXHIBITS OBESCRIPTION PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the	10 11 12 13 14	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse repair"	10 11 12 13 14 15	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse repair" Klinge-15 Article entitled "Functional and 302	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse repair" Klinge-15 Article entitled "Functional and 302 Morphological Evaluation of a	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse repair" Klinge-15 Article entitled "Functional and 302	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations Page Line
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations Page Line Question Marked
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	By Mr. Anderson 516 EXHIBITS EXHIBITS OCCUPIED STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations Page Line
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse repair" Klinge-15 Article entitled "Functional and 302 Morphological Evaluation of a Low-Weight, Monofilament Polypropylene Mesh for Hernia Repair" Klinge-16 Article entitled "New Objective 347	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations Page Line Question Marked
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	By Mr. Anderson 516 EXHIBITS EXHIBITS ODESCRIPTION PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse repair" Klinge-15 Article entitled "Functional and 302 Morphological Evaluation of a Low-Weight, Monofilament Polypropylene Mesh for Hernia Repair" Klinge-16 Article entitled "New Objective 347 Measurement to Characterize the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations Page Line Question Marked
8 9 0	By Mr. Anderson 516 E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S E X H I B I T S PAGE Klinge-12 Letter dated October 17, 2012 281 Klinge-13 PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* 297 PS Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.00803713 Klinge-14 Article entitled "The biology 297 behind fascial defects and the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse repair" Klinge-15 Article entitled "Functional and 302 Morphological Evaluation of a Low-Weight, Monofilament Polypropylene Mesh for Hernia Repair" Klinge-16 Article entitled "New Objective 347	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page Line Request for Production of Documents Page Line Stipulations Page Line Question Marked

	Page 279		Page 281
1	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	1	
		2	(Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-12,
2	PAGE 300 LINE 15 THROUGH PAGE 302 LINE 2	3	Letter dated October 17, 2012, was marked
3	PAGE 310 LINE 1 THROUGH PAGE 310 LINE 15	4	for identification.)
4	PAGE 334 LINE 14 THROUGH PAGE 335 LINE 2	5	
5	PAGE 336 LINE 20 THROUGH PAGE 337 LINE 10	6	PROF. DR. UWE KLINGE, after having
6	PAGE 360 LINE 2 THROUGH PAGE 360 LINE 5 PAGE 377 LINE 25 THROUGH PAGE 378 LINE 14	7	been previously duly sworn, continued to
8		8	be examined and testified as follows:
9	PAGE 380 LINE 21 THROUGH PAGE 381 LINE 11 PAGE 400 LINE 17 THROUGH PAGE 400 LINE 24	9	
10	PAGE 431 LINE 9 THROUGH PAGE 431 LINE 18	10	EXAMINATION
11	PAGE 466 LINE 2 THROUGH PAGE 468 LINE 2	11	
12	PAGE 500 LINE 2 THROUGH PAGE 500 LINE 17	12	BY MR. BROWN:
13	THEE 300 ENVE 2 THROUGHT HEE 300 ENVE 17	13	Q. Good to see you this morning.
14		14	During the times that you were being
15		15	funded by Ethicon up until 2005, who were some of
16		16	your major contacts at Ethicon, people you spoke to
17		17	regularly?
18		18	A. It has been the head of the R&D
19		19	department at Norderstedt, Dr. Hoepfner,
20		20	H-O-E-P-F-N-E-R, Dr. Hoepfner, and his successor was
21		21	Dr. Engel, E-N-G-E-L. And with his team. It was
22		22	Dr. Walte, W-A-L-T-E. It was Dr. Holste, Dr.
23		23	Hellhammer, Dr. Batke later on, sometimes Frau
24		24	Schuldt, S-C-H-U-L-D-T, E, I'm not sure. These are
25		25	the people that came four times a year to Aachen to
	Page 280		Page 282
	•	1	
1	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	1	discuss this.
1	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	1 2	discuss this. Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that
2	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX		
	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that
2	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report,
2 3	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your
2 3 4	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer?
2 3 4 5 6 7	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard copies? Do you take a highlighter and highlight or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard copies? Do you take a highlighter and highlight or write on the hard copies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard copies? Do you take a highlighter and highlight or write on the hard copies? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard copies? Do you take a highlighter and highlight or write on the hard copies? A. No. Q. Do you make notes? Do you write on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard copies? Do you take a highlighter and highlight or write on the hard copies? A. No. Q. Do you make notes? Do you write on the documents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard copies? Do you take a highlighter and highlight or write on the hard copies? A. No. Q. Do you make notes? Do you write on the documents? A. On the hard copies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard copies? Do you take a highlighter and highlight or write on the hard copies? A. No. Q. Do you make notes? Do you write on the documents? A. On the hard copies? A. On the hard copies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATION INDEX	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now, Doctor, for the materials that you have that you relied upon to write your report, do you maintain those in a hard copy or on your computer? A. Please? Q. Sure. The documents that you work with to help write your expert report, do you keep them on your computer or do you have them just in a stack of documents like this? A. Most of my documents are on the computer. Q. Okay. A. There are some others from books that are as a hard copy there. Q. And do you highlight on the hard copies? Do you take a highlighter and highlight or write on the hard copies? A. No. Q. Do you make notes? Do you write on the documents? A. On the hard copies?

		_	
	Page 283		Page 285
1	Q. Does that mean sometimes you do or	1	THE WITNESS: As this expert report
2	A. I think in some few instances I made	2	is based on all what we have learned and done and
3	some remarks to the hard copies, but	3	experienced, I think it is or it is not possible
4	Q. And, Doctor, when you keep up with	4	to put all this knowledge into this expert report.
5	your time to send your counsel for payment, do you	5	Otherwise, it would have been thousands of pages
6	keep up with hour by hour what you did?	6	there. So, of course, this is an extract with the
7	A. It is a list of hours per week, which	7	references that are important to underline this.
8	I will or of the hours I spent for working on	8	But there are lots of others as well that are not
9	this topic.	9	I have to admit that are not listed in this.
10	Q. And then you would send those hours	10	BY MR. BROWN:
11	to your counsel for payment for your work; is that	11	Q. Are there other studies that you are
12	right?	12	aware of that you used to write your report that
13	A. After some time, I collected it, and	13	aren't identified in your expert report?
14	then I sent them.	14	A. I'm not aware of some or the
15	Q. Doctor, everything that you've relied	15	intention for this expert report was to explain my
16	on to write your expert report, is it identified in	16	opinions. And, therefore, I needed or I added some
17	your expert report? And plaintiffs' counsel has	17	references which I think made it very clear why I
18		18	came to this conclusion. Of course, usually there
19	given me quite a number of additional documents that	19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I believe he's provided to you.		are lots of others that confirm this as well. So,
20	So based upon what you've cited	20	therefore, it is a selection of references, of
21	let me just state this.	21	course. If I've seen so many documents there and
22	Your counsel, plaintiffs' counsel,	22	I could have added all these documents there.
23	has given us an additional list of materials that	23	Q. When you say you've seen all these
24	he's provided to you to review; is that correct?	24	documents, which documents are you talking about?
25	MR. ANDERSON: Since his expert	25	A. I have seen a lot of documents from
	Page 284		Page 286
1	Page 284	1	Page 286 Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of
1 2	report.	1 2	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of
2	report. BY MR. BROWN:	2	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah.
2 3	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that	2 3	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are
2 3 4	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right?	2 3 4	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your
2 3 4 5	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list?	2 3 4 5	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put
2 3 4 5 6	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no.	2 3 4 5 6	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report?
2 3 4 5 6 7	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other reports and documents; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right? A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other reports and documents; is that right? A. That is right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right? A. Uh-huh. MR. ANDERSON: Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other reports and documents; is that right? A. That is right. Q. And from what your counsel has given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right? A. Uh-huh. MR. ANDERSON: Yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other reports and documents; is that right? A. That is right. Q. And from what your counsel has given you and what you've put in your expert report, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right? A. Uh-huh. MR. ANDERSON: Yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other reports and documents; is that right? A. That is right. Q. And from what your counsel has given you and what you've put in your expert report, is that all the materials that you've used to rely upon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right? A. Uh-huh. MR. ANDERSON: Yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. BY MR. BROWN: Q. No problem.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other reports and documents; is that right? A. That is right. Q. And from what your counsel has given you and what you've put in your expert report, is that all the materials that you've used to rely upon to write your expert report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right? A. Uh-huh. MR. ANDERSON: Yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. BY MR. BROWN: Q. No problem. Are there other studies like that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other reports and documents; is that right? A. That is right. Q. And from what your counsel has given you and what you've put in your expert report, is that all the materials that you've used to rely upon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right? A. Uh-huh. MR. ANDERSON: Yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. BY MR. BROWN: Q. No problem. Are there other studies like that that support your opinions that you didn't put in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Since your expert report; is that right? A. That he provided you a list? Q. No, no. A. That is right. Maybe. Q. No, no. I'm saying that since you wrote your expert report, you remember Dr. Williams wrote a report. Right? A. Yes. Q. And so your counsel gave you Dr. Williams' report so you could review his report. Right? A. That is right. Q. And then he gave you a couple other reports and documents; is that right? A. That is right. Q. And from what your counsel has given you and what you've put in your expert report, is that all the materials that you've used to rely upon to write your expert report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ethicon, a lot of PowerPoint presentations, a lot of drafts, a lot of reports, yeah. Q. Doctor, as you sit here, though, are there any studies that you know of that support your opinion that you're relying on that you have not put in your expert report? A. Again, it is a very can you please say it again? Q. Sure, yes. I'm looking for any studies, Doctor, that I'll give you an example. So do you remember when you were in your expert report you were talking about degradation in your expert report and you cited your Clave article? A. Uh-huh. Q. So you used that study to help support one of your opinions; is that right? A. Uh-huh. MR. ANDERSON: Yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. BY MR. BROWN: Q. No problem. Are there other studies like that

Page 287 Page 289 1 MR. ANDERSON: Or that aren't listed 1 give us an opportunity to ask him questions? 2 here? MR. ANDERSON: I think that would 3 BY MR. BROWN: only be fair, so the answer is yes. Maybe it's a 4 Or that aren't listed here. And when video conference depo. 5 MR. THOMAS: Thank you. 5 I say "here," on Exhibit 12. That you're aware of, 6 Doctor. 6 We can work out the details. 7 A. Let me answer with another example. MR. ANDERSON: We can work out the 8 If you take the term details, but that would only be fair. 9 "biocompatibility," I did not include all possible 9 BY MR. BROWN: 10 10 references for this term in my reference report. It O. Doctor, moving on. 11 was just a selection. 11 I think yesterday you had told me 12 O. Doctor, is it fair to say, is there 12 that you and Dr. Klosterhalfen were working on a any other studies that you would need to cite to, to 13 publication now together; is that right? 13 14 14 support your opinions in your expert report that A. That is right. 15 aren't in your report or aren't in Exhibit 12? 15 O. Can you tell me what's the study on? 16 A. I didn't -- please --What are you studying? Maybe it's easier to say 17 17 O. What I want to do is just make sure this: What's the purpose of the study? 18 18 if there's other studies out there, that I have an In the moment, we have three projects 19 opportunity to look at them so that I can see what together. First is a -- we were invited to make a 20 20 you're basing your opinions on. manuscript or with a title the ideal mesh for, I 21 21 think the journal's name is Biology, part of And so all I want to know is, are 22 22 Physiology. there any other studies out there that you're 23 O. 23 primarily using to support your opinions on your I'm sorry, go ahead. expert report that aren't in your expert report or 24 A. And the manuscript is, in the moment, that are not in this Exhibit 12? in the review by Bernd Klosterhalfen. Page 288 Page 290 So as you said, primarily used, I 1 The second was that we are working on 1 don't -- I think, or to my opinion, there is no the evaluation of 1,000 explanted hernia meshes, the 3 other report that is necessary to review to follow histological evaluation and the presentation of the these opinions. data and the interpretation. And that is summarized 5 O. Okay. in a manuscript which we recently submitted. 6 MR. ANDERSON: I wanted to wait until And the third activity, main 7 he answered and not interrupt you, but I'm just activity, is that, in the moment, we are trying to 8 identify the cells of the inflammatory infiltrate of going to place an objection just in terms of, as you 9 are aware, there's been this rolling production of human explanted meshes by performing 10 documents. And we're still awaiting a lot of 10 immunohistochemistry, serous red staining, and I 11 documents. And so if anything comes into the hope the next time we can perform double fluorescent 12 documents that's been produced that we haven't immunohistochemistry to identify which cells are 13 fairly had a chance to look at and he hasn't had a responsible for the chronic inflammatory reaction of 14 chance to consider, we would, of course, look at the foreign body, because this is not clear from the 15 those, have him consider them. And if it's going to scientific point. So these are the three activities 16 change or buttress his opinions or something that 16 we actually have together. 17 17 appears that would be unfair for us to come to trial Doctor, on the publication talking 18 and all of a sudden hand to him, I will give you my 18 about identifying the ideal mesh, are y'all actually 19 describing the characteristics of what an ideal mesh 19 word that we will -- I will send you an e-mail and I 20 20 looks like? will say, these are the documents that came in, I've

provided them to Dr. Klinge, and he's going to base part of his opinions on those documents, in all

23 fairness to me and to you.

25

MR. BROWN: That's fine. 24

MR. THOMAS: At that point, will you

21 A. In this manuscript we gave our idea how to answer this question, first of all, that 23 there -- so shortly, I can give you a short summary of what is in. The basic idea is that there is no one ideal mesh, that you have to consider the

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		2
	Page 291		Page 293
1	functional requirements for this, that you have to	1	Go ahead.
2	consider structural requirements, that you have to	2	Do you understand?
3	look at the tissue ingrowth, that you have to	3	THE WITNESS: Where are the data?
4	consider the location, that you have to consider the	4	BY MR. BROWN:
5	size of the configuration, that you have to	5	Q. Yes.
6	consider, of course, the polymer, that you have to	6	Let me restate it then.
7	consider the porosity, the pore structures. All	7	These 1,000 explanted meshes, were
8	this together helps to get an understanding and to	8	they sent to Dr. Klosterhalfen?
9	find the optimum solution for a specific indication.	9	A. Yes.
10	That is briefly what we want to outline in this	10	Q. And then did Dr. Klosterhalfen review
11	text.	11	each one of these explanted meshes from a pathology
12	Q. And, Doctor, is this study with	12	standpoint?
13	regard to finding a mesh for hernia repair?	13	A. I don't know what is a pathology
14	A. This is not specific. It is dealing	14	standpoint. I know he's a pathologist, and he has
15	with textile structures in surgery.	15	an experience and he has written a protocol to look
16	Q. So if I hear you right, you're not	16	at these meshes, which is far beyond the standard
17	saying that there is one construction right for	17	evaluation of some tissues. So he followed this
18	every particular issue; is that right?	18	protocol and he made an analysis of these 1,000
19	MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.	19	explanted meshes.
20	Go ahead.	20	Q. Now, his evaluation of those 1,000
21	BY MR. BROWN:	21	meshes, where are those evaluations?
22	Q. Let me restate that.	22	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
23	Are you saying that there is not one	23	THE WITNESS: On a hard disk.
24	particular way to design a mesh that will fit every	24	BY MR. BROWN:
25	patient's needs?	25	Q. Is this a hard disk with your group
	T	1	
	Page 292		Page 294
1	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't	1	at Aachen?
2	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work.	2	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find
2 3	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand.	2 3	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from
2 3 4	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second	2 3 4	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you
2 3 4 5	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article	2 3 4 5	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is
2 3 4 5 6	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that	2 3 4 5 6	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article?	2 3 4 5 6 7	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation? MR. BROWN: Was it evaluation? I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the evaluations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation? MR. BROWN: Was it evaluation? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Evaluation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the evaluations? MR. ANDERSON: Can you share that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation? MR. BROWN: Was it evaluation? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Evaluation. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at Aachen? MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the evaluations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation? MR. BROWN: Was it evaluation? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Evaluation. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Evaluation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the evaluations? MR. ANDERSON: Can you share that data with me? THE WITNESS: I have to ask Professor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation? MR. BROWN: Was it evaluation? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Evaluation. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the evaluations? MR. ANDERSON: Can you share that data with me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation? MR. BROWN: Was it evaluation? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Evaluation. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Evaluation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the evaluations? MR. ANDERSON: Can you share that data with me? THE WITNESS: I have to ask Professor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation? MR. BROWN: Was it evaluation? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Evaluation. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Evaluation. So on the article, the evaluation of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the evaluations? MR. ANDERSON: Can you share that data with me? THE WITNESS: I have to ask Professor Klosterhalfen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. The idea one fits all, it doesn't work. Q. I understand. Now, Doctor, as far as the second article Doctor, do you have a copy of that article? A. I cannot make a copy. It is a draft only on the computer in the moment, so, but And I'm not sure whether something will be changed during the next days, but I have a draft now. Q. Doctor, let me ask you, too, on the you say the evolution of the 1,000 explanted hernia meshes. MR. ANDERSON: He said evolution or evaluation? MR. BROWN: Was it evaluation? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Evaluation. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Evaluation. So on the article, the evaluation of 1,000 explanted hernia meshes, where is the data for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ANDERSON: He's trying to find out physically where this information is stored from which you are able to that he took this and you took this analysis from. So he wants to know, is that stored on a computer at Aachen? Is it in Duren? Where is that information? THE WITNESS: In the moment, these files that can be evaluated are on the computer of Professor Klosterhalfen and on my personal computer. So we have access. We have access to the we both have access to these data. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's exactly what I was looking for. Thanks for that. And those are documents you can provide to your counsel, is that right, the evaluations? MR. ANDERSON: Can you share that data with me? THE WITNESS: I have to ask Professor Klosterhalfen. BY MR. BROWN:

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		on and order or confracticiaticy
	Page 295		Page 297
1	a study with PVDF being compared to polypropylene	1	on 366, it should be the second page, Doctor, on
2	where you reviewed the article.	2	366, do you see where there's Group I, Group II and
3	Do you remember that?	3	Group III? And it appears, Doctor, that there's
4	A. Which we have done several	4	three different types of meshes that you were
5	articles with PVDF, and so which one do you	5	looking at; is that correct? Three different
6	Q. I think you were saying that there	6	meshes?
7	was a study comparing polypropylene and PVDF that	7	A. Give me a minute, please.
8	has not been published yet that you were a reviewer	8	Q. Sure.
9	for; is that right?	9	MR. ANDERSON: Take your time to look
10	MR. ANDERSON: Dr.	10	as much of the document as you need to.
11	Kirschner-Herrmans.	11	
12	THE WITNESS: The ultrasound	12	(Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-13,
13	investigation of the yeah.	13	PowerPoint, "GYNECARE GYNEMESH* PS
14	BY MR. BROWN:	14	Nonabsorbable PROLENE* Soft Mesh in the
15	Q. Is that the study that compares PVDF	15	Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse," Bates
16	and polypropylene?	16	stamped ETH.MESH.00803713, and Deposition
17	A. Yes.	17	Exhibit No. Klinge-14, Article entitled
18	Q. In humans?	18	"The biology behind fascial defects and
19	A. They compared it in humans. It was	19	the use of implants in pelvic organ
20	an investigation at the continence center at women.	20	prolapse repair", were marked for
21	Q. And what were you doing on this	21	identification.)
22	article? What was your purpose for reviewing this	22	
23	article?	23	BY MR. BROWN:
24	A. The I was charged in explaining	24	Q. Doctor, while you're looking, I want
25	them the general reaction of tissue to textile	25	to show you two things to see if this helps you
	Da - 206		Da 200
1	Page 296	1	Page 298
1	implants and to discuss with them the whether	1	determine, and you can read as much as you need to
2	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the	2	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well.
2	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the	2 3	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14
2 3 4	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to	2 3 4	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan
2 3 4 5	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures	2 3 4 5	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters
2 3 4 5 6	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these	2 3 4 5 6	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as
2 3 4 5 6 7	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the	2 3 4 5 6 7	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well. Doctor, I'm going to show you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken from this and using this for animals, or whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well. Doctor, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10. This is just trying to clarify a couple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken from this and using this for animals, or whether there is some sort of modification, whether it's for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well. Doctor, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10. This is just trying to clarify a couple of things from yesterday as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken from this and using this for animals, or whether there is some sort of modification, whether it's for experimental use, provided for Aachen from Hamburg
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well. Doctor, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10. This is just trying to clarify a couple of things from yesterday as well. Doctor, if you look on Exhibit 10,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken from this and using this for animals, or whether there is some sort of modification, whether it's for experimental use, provided for Aachen from Hamburg Norderstedt, I don't know it, because I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well. Doctor, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10. This is just trying to clarify a couple of things from yesterday as well. Doctor, if you look on Exhibit 10, what I'm trying to find out in your study is that if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken from this and using this for animals, or whether there is some sort of modification, whether it's for experimental use, provided for Aachen from Hamburg Norderstedt, I don't know it, because I didn't receive these meshes. They were delivered to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well. Doctor, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10. This is just trying to clarify a couple of things from yesterday as well. Doctor, if you look on Exhibit 10, what I'm trying to find out in your study is that if you were studying the actual Prolene® Soft Meshes in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken from this and using this for animals, or whether there is some sort of modification, whether it's for experimental use, provided for Aachen from Hamburg Norderstedt, I don't know it, because I didn't receive these meshes. They were delivered to Joachim Conze as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well. Doctor, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10. This is just trying to clarify a couple of things from yesterday as well. Doctor, if you look on Exhibit 10, what I'm trying to find out in your study is that if you were studying the actual Prolene® Soft Meshes in Prolift®?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken from this and using this for animals, or whether there is some sort of modification, whether it's for experimental use, provided for Aachen from Hamburg Norderstedt, I don't know it, because I didn't receive these meshes. They were delivered to Joachim Conze as well. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	implants and to discuss with them the whether about the balance of the tissue requirements or the tissue characteristics and the differences of the textiles, how to characterize the textiles, how to make an analysis of the data, how to make figures for the data, how to perform the statistics of these data. And we are all together discussing the interpretation of these findings. Q. Are you writing some of this article? A. I contributed with some text in this, of course. Q. Will you be a co-author on the article? A. Yeah, yes. Q. It's very good. Very few people pick that up on their own and actually do that, so you've done well. Doctor, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10. This is just trying to clarify a couple of things from yesterday as well. Doctor, if you look on Exhibit 10, what I'm trying to find out in your study is that if you were studying the actual Prolene® Soft Meshes in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	determine, and you can read as much as you need to as well. But if I can show you on Exhibit 14 and just see if this helps you. Exhibit 14 is Jan Deprest, and he gives some of the exact parameters of what the Gynemesh® PS, which is the same as Prolene® Soft. And then this is a picture of the Prolene® Soft Mesh as well. And you can see you've got a picture on your third page, and see if that helps you determine if that's the Prolene® Soft that you were assessing in this article. MR. ANDERSON: There's a list of the meshes on the first page. THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So from this image and from the data, there seems to be similarity to soft Prolene® mesh. But I don't know whether it is exactly coming from the product taken from this and using this for animals, or whether there is some sort of modification, whether it's for experimental use, provided for Aachen from Hamburg Norderstedt, I don't know it, because I didn't receive these meshes. They were delivered to Joachim Conze as well.

	Confidencial Babject to beight	_	
	Page 299		Page 301
1	A. So, therefore, there obviously are	1	"PP2.5."
2	some similarities to these meshes. But whether this	2	A. This one?
3	is the original soft pro mesh, I don't know and I	3	Q. Yes, sir.
4	didn't find it. There is a general introduction	4	A. When I compare these two pictures, I
5	here, but it should have been mentioned in the	5	see some similarities with these filaments running
6	materials and methods section which is the provider	6	through the pores. But, of course, there seem to be
7	of this material.	7	some differences as well. So in this, you can
8	MR. ANDERSON: It appears that page 1	8	identify one, two, three, four filaments going
9	lists what the groups are.	9	through, and it's hardly possible to find it in
10	BY MR. BROWN:	10	here. That cannot exclude that maybe it's the same,
11	Q. But what I'm trying to find out is,	11	but from these images alone, you see some
12	is this the Prolene® Soft Mesh.	12	differences as well.
13	A. Yeah, this one. And this one, it	13	Q. And similar characteristics but some
14	is I cannot	14	differences; is that right?
15	MR. ANDERSON: No, no. Group I	15	A. There are some. Some similarities,
16	yeah, okay.	16	but some differences.
17	BY MR. BROWN:	17	Q. And then if you also look, if you'll
18	Q. Doctor, let me ask you this.	18	go back just one page, where it says the mesh pore
19	If you look at your expert report, I	19	size on group 2, do you see that, 2.5, is that
20	can just show you a copy of mine. If you look at	20	similar to the pore size on Exhibit 13?
21	your expert report on porosity on page 25, I think	21	A. It is impossible to the mentioning
22	you see where some of the weights for the Prolene®	22	of a pore size was one figure. It is insufficient
23	Soft Mesh is 45 grams to 42.7; is that right?	23	to reflect all the construction in regard to the
24	MR. ANDERSON: Is that what's listed	24	pore size. That is to ease the understanding for
25	here?	25	the reader to give a rough idea, but it is not
	Page 300		Page 302
1	Page 300 BY MR. BROWN:	1	Page 302 possible to made a comparison because of this single
1 2	BY MR. BROWN:	1 2	possible to made a comparison because of this single
	_		possible to made a comparison because of this single volume.
2	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes.	2	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just
2 3	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at	2 3	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this
2 3 4	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way	2 3 4	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're
2 3 4 5	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams.	2 3 4 5	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the	2 3 4 5 6	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft;
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in	2 3 4 5 6 7	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too, at the picture that I've provided you in Exhibit 13,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint. MR. ANDERSON: Oh, sorry. 13 was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too, at the picture that I've provided you in Exhibit 13, does that appear to have the same type of construction as what's in Exhibit 10?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint. MR. ANDERSON: Oh, sorry. 13 was the PowerPoint entitled "Gynecare Gynemesh® PS."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too, at the picture that I've provided you in Exhibit 13, does that appear to have the same type of construction as what's in Exhibit 10? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint. MR. ANDERSON: Oh, sorry. 13 was the PowerPoint entitled "Gynecare Gynemesh® PS."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too, at the picture that I've provided you in Exhibit 13, does that appear to have the same type of construction as what's in Exhibit 10? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint. MR. ANDERSON: Oh, sorry. 13 was the PowerPoint entitled "Gynecare Gynemesh® PS." ——— (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-15, Article entitled "Functional and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too, at the picture that I've provided you in Exhibit 13, does that appear to have the same type of construction as what's in Exhibit 10? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. If you look at the picture on page 3?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint. MR. ANDERSON: Oh, sorry. 13 was the PowerPoint entitled "Gynecare Gynemesh® PS." (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-15, Article entitled "Functional and Morphological Evaluation of a Low-Weight,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too, at the picture that I've provided you in Exhibit 13, does that appear to have the same type of construction as what's in Exhibit 10? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint. MR. ANDERSON: Oh, sorry. 13 was the PowerPoint entitled "Gynecare Gynemesh® PS." ——— (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-15, Article entitled "Functional and Morphological Evaluation of a Low-Weight, Monofilament Polypropylene Mesh for Hernia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too, at the picture that I've provided you in Exhibit 13, does that appear to have the same type of construction as what's in Exhibit 10? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. If you look at the picture on page 3? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint. MR. ANDERSON: Oh, sorry. 13 was the PowerPoint entitled "Gynecare Gynemesh® PS." (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-15, Article entitled "Functional and Morphological Evaluation of a Low-Weight,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. BROWN: Q. Is that what your expert report says? A. Yes. Q. And then if you look here, Doctor, at the way A. 45 grams. Q. So it's the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh, is that right, as you studied in your report? A. This one? Q. On Exhibit 10. That's correct. A. This one, this mesh used for group 2 has a weight of 45 grams per square meter, and this is close to these data. Q. And then, Doctor, if you look, too, at the picture that I've provided you in Exhibit 13, does that appear to have the same type of construction as what's in Exhibit 10? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. If you look at the picture on page 3? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	possible to made a comparison because of this single volume. Q. Doctor, what I'm trying to do is just find out, just because it was your article, if this was the Prolene® Soft. And it sounds like you're saying that there are similar characteristics, but you don't know if that was for sure Prolene® Soft; is that right? A. That is right. Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Did we mark those? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: So 14 was Deprest, and 15 was the PowerPoint entitled MR. BROWN: 13 was the PowerPoint. MR. ANDERSON: Oh, sorry. 13 was the PowerPoint entitled "Gynecare Gynemesh® PS." ——— (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-15, Article entitled "Functional and Morphological Evaluation of a Low-Weight, Monofilament Polypropylene Mesh for Hernia

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		on and order or confractionarity
	Page 303		Page 305
1	Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 15	1	94 microns. It's the diameter of this size of this
2	now.	2	polypropylene filament that is used in this.
3	This is another study that you were	3	Q. Doctor, let me make sure I understand
4	an author on; is that right?	4	you correctly here.
5	A. That is right.	5	When Jan Deprest is measuring the
6	Q. And this is another paper that I'm	6	thickness, he's measuring the thickness of the
7	trying to find out which mesh you tested.	7	fiber; is that correct?
8	Do you know if this was the mesh	8	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
9	for let me ask you to do this first. If you'd	9	THE WITNESS: Thickness give me a
10	look over to page 3 which says 131 on the top right.	10	minute, I have to look what
11	Do you see the table, Doctor?	11	BY MR. BROWN:
12	A. Yes, I see it.	12	Q. Sure.
13	Q. For the one that says, under "LW," do	13	A. So I don't see any further
14	you know if that is the Prolene® Soft Mesh that was	14	explanation, but .45 millimeter usually is the
15	being studied here?	15	thickness of the entire mesh there. This is for
16	A. Give me a minute.	16	most of the meshes in the range of half a millimeter
17	Q. Doctor, if it helps you to look at	17	to .7 millimeter. This is tenfold more than the
18	Deprest, you can also look at that as well.	18	filament radius in micrometers there.
19	MR. ANDERSON: Take your time and	19	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor.
20	read that as long as you need to.	20	A. So you cannot compare these two data.
21	THE WITNESS: I cannot answer this	21	It's completely different.
22	question.	22	Q. Is .45 millimeters, is that
23	BY MR. BROWN:	23	Okay. So you're saying you can't
24	Q. Okay.	24	compare those two? Is that what you're saying?
25	A. So I don't see any proof or	25	A. Yes. These two are completely
	Page 304		Page 306
1	Page 304 confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if	1	Page 306 different properties, characteristics.
1 2	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if	1 2	different properties, characteristics.
	_		different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this.
2	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no	2	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is
2 3	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this	2 3	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this.
2 3 4	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no	2 3 4	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the
2 3 4 5	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft	2 3 4 5	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns
2 3 4 5 6	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh.	2 3 4 5 6	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately?
2 3 4 5 6 7	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page	2 3 4 5 6 7	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament radius as the Prolene® Soft? Doctor, I don't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament radius as the Prolene® Soft? Doctor, I don't want to trick you, so I'm going to see if this helps you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: It is as I remember,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament radius as the Prolene® Soft? Doctor, I don't want to trick you, so I'm going to see if this helps you with Jan Deprest, with 14.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: It is as I remember, it is 8 microns more in this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament radius as the Prolene® Soft? Doctor, I don't want to trick you, so I'm going to see if this helps you with Jan Deprest, with 14. A. No, no. This is the thickness of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: It is as I remember, it is 8 microns more in this. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament radius as the Prolene® Soft? Doctor, I don't want to trick you, so I'm going to see if this helps you with Jan Deprest, with 14. A. No, no. This is the thickness of the material in millimeter. In Table 1, there is a filament radius in micrometer. Q. Microns?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: It is as I remember, it is 8 microns more in this. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Exhibit 15 is 8 microns more than the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament radius as the Prolene® Soft? Doctor, I don't want to trick you, so I'm going to see if this helps you with Jan Deprest, with 14. A. No, no. This is the thickness of the material in millimeter. In Table 1, there is a filament radius in micrometer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: It is as I remember, it is 8 microns more in this. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Exhibit 15 is 8 microns more than the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. If the Prolene® Soft has a diameter of 85 microns, then it is 9 microns more in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament radius as the Prolene® Soft? Doctor, I don't want to trick you, so I'm going to see if this helps you with Jan Deprest, with 14. A. No, no. This is the thickness of the material in millimeter. In Table 1, there is a filament radius in micrometer. Q. Microns? A. Microns. So this means the thickness of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: It is as I remember, it is 8 microns more in this. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Exhibit 15 is 8 microns more than the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. If the Prolene® Soft has a diameter of 85 microns, then it is 9 microns more in this table.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	confirmation that this is the soft pro mesh. And if you're looking to Figure 2, where you have this fat tissue in between the filaments, there is no crosslinking or passing filament in there, so this figure does not indicate that this is a soft Prolene® mesh. Q. Doctor, let me ask you this: On page 131, if you go to the table, for the mesh identified as "LW," does that have the same weight as the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. Yes. Q. And if you look A. Similar. Q. And if you have the filament radius as 47 microns, does that have the same filament radius as the Prolene® Soft? Doctor, I don't want to trick you, so I'm going to see if this helps you with Jan Deprest, with 14. A. No, no. This is the thickness of the material in millimeter. In Table 1, there is a filament radius in micrometer. Q. Microns? A. Microns. So this means the thickness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different properties, characteristics. Q. Let me ask you this. Coming back to your article, which is Exhibit 15, on Table 131, the filament radius of the Prolene® Soft, is it around 47 microns approximately? A. Yeah, yeah. This one has a diameter of 94 microns. And I have to look, it is around 90 microns, the diameter of the Prolene® Soft or the Prolift® mesh. It is around maybe 87 or so. I'm not sure. Q. Sure. And I'm not asking exactly. I'm just saying, the filament radius for your study, does that have a similar radius to the Prolene® Soft Mesh? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: It is as I remember, it is 8 microns more in this. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Exhibit 15 is 8 microns more than the Prolene® Soft Mesh? A. If the Prolene® Soft has a diameter of 85 microns, then it is 9 microns more in this

Page 307 Page 309 1 size, when it says greater than 1 millimeter, is the 1 detail. However, I know we had the discussions low 2 pore size of Prolene® Soft greater than weight, lightweight, small pores, large pores; 3 1 millimeter, on page 131, Doctor? otherwise, this discussion in the surgical community 4 Yes, yes. I just looked to the data would never have been possible to discuss about 5 where this has been published, and this has been this. But it is too shortcoming to give an 6 published in 2002. So at that time point, we have a understanding of the consequences. 7 7 complete different look at pore sizes, porosity, and BY MR. BROWN: 8 so -- and, therefore, it stands in this table, but, O. Doctor, all I want to know is this. 9 9 of course, it does not reflect the complex As of 2002, does the pore size under 10 importance of pore sizes as we know today. the LW mesh, does that characterize the Prolene® 11 O. So as of 2002, in your opinion, the 11 Soft Mesh as of 2002? 12 12 pore size of Prolene® Soft would have been MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and 13 characterized as greater than 1 millimeter; is that answered. 14 14 correct? As of 2002? THE WITNESS: This table cannot be 15 15 A. As -used to say that soft pro mesh has some 16 MR. ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on. characteristics or some properties. 17 Objection, misstates the document. BY MR. BROWN: BY MR. BROWN: 18 18 Q. Doctor, is your answer then that that 19 O. Go ahead and answer the question. 19 does not describe the pore size of Prolene® Soft 20 20 MR. ANDERSON: Misstates -- that's Mesh as of 2002? Is that your answer? not fair. It doesn't say greater than 1 millimeter. 21 21 My answer is this table describes the 22 MR. BROWN: That doesn't have a 22 characteristics of this mesh that has been used in 23 23 greater than sign? this study. And I understand that. Don't 24 MR. ANDERSON: Look over to the left. 24 Q. 25 MR. BROWN: Pore size -disagree with you. Page 308 Page 310 MR. ANDERSON: What's it say? What's All I'm asking is, does the pore size 1 as it describes it for LW, does that describe the 2 the value? 3 Prolene® Soft Mesh pore size as of 2002? MR. BROWN: Millimeter squared. MR. ANDERSON: Millimeter squared. 4 4 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: 5 Go ahead. 6 Q. Doctor, let me ask you this. THE WITNESS: It would be easy for me 7 A. He's right. to answer this if there is one answer, what is the 8 O. That's fine. pore size of a mesh, but there is not an answer like 9 But, Doctor, as far as pore size for this possible. If, when I look to all these the lightweight mesh, is that the same pore size -documents from the Ethicon people, where they 10 11 let me say it this way. struggled and fighted to find good values for 12 Does the pore size for the getting the pore sizes, there has been a huge lightweight mesh characterize the Prolene® Soft Mesh variation of data where they presented some 14 as of 2002? estimates for pore size of the textile, of various 15 15 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. textiles. 16 THE WITNESS: First of all, I don't 16 And so there is no one data 17 know whether this is a -- the soft -- it is not regardless in what table that really truly is able 18 clear that this is the soft Prolene® mesh in effect to reflect the pore sizes of a textile. Every 19 or whether it's an experimental thing. So all these textile has some parts with very small pores and it 20 statements came from my point of view, not referred has some other parts where it's different. So there 21 directly to the characteristics of the soft pro is no one single value that can give this information, and, therefore, I cannot say that this 22 mesh. The mentioning of pore size in square 23 23 millimeter, it is not sufficient to compare the is reflecting the characteristic of a specific 24 textile structures and the distribution of pores 24 textile. between two different structures sufficiently and in BY MR. BROWN:

Page 311 Q. Doctor, I understand there's been a 1

1 2 lot of changes over the last ten years with how 3 people want to characterize things.

4 So is your answer that in 2002, the 5 way you were characterizing pores in 2002, was the

6 Prolene® Soft characterized as greater than 7

1 millimeter squared in 2002?

8

9

10

11

12

14

21

3

7

11

12

13

14

15

25

MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked twice and answered.

THE WITNESS: Again, this table is not reflecting an experiment with soft Prolene® mesh; otherwise, it would have been stated there. Even in 2002, we prepared and made histograms distribution of the pore size of the meshes and

15 presented these histogram of the various pores within a mesh. So even at 2002, we know that it has

been a wide distribution of pore sizes within a 18 textile structure.

19 However, we thought in many manuscripts that it is not a good idea always to 20

22 manuscripts. That would expand the number of pages

23 there. And that was the reason that we sometimes

summarized it to some more simple terms.

discuss this specific topic in all of these

25 BY MR. BROWN:

THE WITNESS: We knew at that time about the importance of pore sizes. We knew about

Page 313

Page 314

the variation of pore sizes within a textile

structure, but sometimes -- and in this table as

well -- it was summarized to more simple data to

give an impression to the reader or to help him in

his interpretation of the results.

BY MR. BROWN:

12

13

14

15

19

21

10

11

12

9 O. Doctor, let's talk about -- we ended 10 yesterday with inflammation. Let's talk today about 11 tissue integration. Okay?

> A. That's okay.

O. How would you describe good tissue integration into a pore?

If you want to reinforce tissues with the help of a textile structure, first of all, you have to apply surgical trauma to put the textile structure in there. Then this textile structure is placed in the wound. The following reaction during the following days is that you have the foreign body reaction, we talked yesterday, around the filaments.

22 And then in the pores, in the space between the filaments, there will happen some tissue reaction there. If you have a -- usually if you

have a -- at best you have the regeneration of the

Page 312

1 Q. So, Doctor, let me just ask you this. 2 So as far as this article in Table 3

for pore size, you don't know if that has similar

characteristics of Prolene® Soft Mesh? Is that fair 5

to say, you don't know? 6

A. Again, there are a lot of --

Either -- I've asked you a couple O.

8 times, is the lightweight greater than 1 millimeter

9 squared the pore size for Prolene® Soft. 10

Is your answer, I don't know, I can't tell you that?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: There are similarities to soft Prolene® mesh there with this mesh, but there is no indication that this is the soft

16 Prolene® mesh.

17 BY MR. BROWN:

18 And I know that. I'm just asking 19 about the actual pore size.

20 And so as far as the pore size, are you saying that you don't know if the pore size of 21

Prolene® Soft Mesh is greater than 1 millimeter 23 squared? Is that what you're saying, as of 2002,

24 you just don't know?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

local tissues there filling out the defect. That is

the best healing you can imagine. And in the

locations where usually meshes are placed, this is

usually fat tissue. We know that muscles hardly

ever showed some sign of regeneration, but fat

tissue does show it. So if you have in the pores an

ingrowth or regeneration of fat tissue laying there,

this is an indicator of a widely unaltered wound

9 healing in this patient.

The alternative would be that if you have excessive surgical trauma, if you have an infection there and/or if you have an excessive biomaterial associated inflammation there, then this regeneration will not happen, and then this time, the fibroblast will fill this defect, fibroblast

16 with collagen, and then you have a scar there. 17 So scar indicates that you have a 18

defect healing within the pores due to the local 19 trauma that prevents this tissue regeneration with

all the consequences of scar. We know that if there

is some scar, it will always be a scar there. There is, to my knowledge, no way from the body to

23 exchange scar by local tissue later on. So once a

scar, ever a scar. And this scar will show some

changes over time. It will demonstrate

Page 315 Page 317 1 construction. It will show an impaired our work is that the filling out of the pores by 2 scar tissue, this is related/associated with a lot stretchability, as all scars. And impair -- or in of complications and complaints. And, therefore, it 3 relation to the extent of this scarring, you have maybe an increased shrinkage. You have an increase is -- I cannot imagine that there is any beneficial 5 or you may have an integration of the local nerves effect to construct or to induce scar tissue there. 6 6 in this tissue. So if I'm hearing you right, some 7 7 So on the one hand, you have the fibrosis is good for tissue integration; is that local tissue mainly indicated by fat tissue within right? 9 9 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. the pores. That is I think a wound healing with the 10 10 least functional restriction in this field. And on Go ahead. 11 the other hand, you have a scarring process closing 11 THE WITNESS: As we said yesterday, 12 the defect. We know with all these textiles that 12 some inflammation, some fibrosis, the fibroblasts there is no mesh which only shows pores, because at are essential cells for the body to overcome 14 least at the linkage where the filaments are bound damages. These are for -- since million of year, or together, every knitted textile has some areas where no, hundred thousand of years. you have this scarring process between the 16 BY MR. BROWN: 17 17 filaments. Q. But you just don't want excessive 18 18 Now, Doctor, I've seen a couple of fibrosis; is that correct? Q. 19 19 articles that you've written, and you talk about the Α. If you define excessive as fibrosis 20 20 fibrosis being limited to the parafilamentary that causes these bridging phenomenon which filled 21 region. 21 out these pores, if the fibrosis -- excessive 22 Does that indicate that there's good 22 fibrosis that hinders the physiological remodeling 23 23 tissue integration? of the tissue, that is true. 24 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 24 Would you describe excessive fibrosis 25 BY MR. BROWN: as bridging fibrosis like you just spoke of? Page 316 Page 318 There are two levels you can describe 1 Do you want me to restate that? 1 2 That if the fibrosis is limited to excessive fibrosis. The one is the macroscopic, the parafilamentary region, does that mean that the what you see in the OR when you do a 3 granuloma is just around the actual fibers? revision operation --5 5 Of course it depends from the article MR. ANDERSON: Was that macroscopic? 6 and from the context there, but usually we wanted to 6 THE WITNESS: Macroscopic, yeah. 7 describe exactly this -- that if the fibrosis is So what we see in the OR when we saw -- when we made a revision operation at mesh and 8 limited to the parafilamentary area and in the 9 9 middle is fat tissue, then this is an indicator of saw these clumsy, shrunken piece of something. 10 10 better tissue integration. And the other is the microscopic, 11 And when we talk about fibrosis, do that you only be aware if you look with a microscope O. 12 we want fibrosis to be lower or higher? 12 there. So at both levels, there is some name for 13 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. what you don't want to have. 14 Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: 15 15 BY MR. BROWN: Q. And whether you look at it 16 16 macroscopically or microscopically, would you define Let me restate that. 17 17 excessive fibrosis as fibrotic bridging? Do you want the fibrosis lower or 18 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and 18 higher for good tissue integration? 19 There are several aspects if you are 19 answered. 20 looking to fibrosis. Fibrosis is a need for Go ahead. 21 fixation of the meshes. In this field, you may want 21 THE WITNESS: From the macroscopical a certain fibrosis if you attach it. Yeah. 22 view, I would prefer to name it more as 23 On the other hand, there is no 23 encapsulation of the entire mesh. And that is what 24 benefit. If the fibrosis fills out the complete we got to weigh out, that we don't see the mesh any pores, in contrast, what we have learned during all longer, we have this scar plate around. That is

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		
	Page 319		Page 321
1	what we saw in the OR. And then we try to get an	1	THE WITNESS: I agree to this, yes.
2	explanation and look with a microscope. And then we	2	BY MR. BROWN:
3	saw something that we later on called this bridging.	3	Q. And then if you look, Doctor, on
4	This is a phenomenon that can be seen	4	Exhibit 9, page 11 under "Fibrotic bridging," the
5	only with a microscope, because you all these	5	section.
6	meshes where you macroscopically see this	6	A. Uh-huh.
7	encapsulation, usually you see this bridging. But	7	Q. And, Doctor, if you look at the third
8	it can be otherwise round, that you don't see this	8	sentence where it says, "Bridging occurs," do you
9	macroscopic very thick scar plate there, but if you	9	see that? Third sentence?
10	look with a microscope, you see that this scar bump	10	MR. ANDERSON: Take your time. Read
11	or scar path in the pores that limits the function.	11	whatever you need to.
12	BY MR. BROWN:	12	BY MR. BROWN:
13	Q. Let me show you Exhibit 9.	13	Q. I'm looking upside down. Yes,
14	MR. ANDERSON: Can we take a break	14	"Bridging occurs."
15	before we hit Exhibit 9?	15	Doctor, is it still your opinion
16	MR. BROWN: I'm going to hit just a	16	today that "bridging occurs in all mesh
17	little bit of this bridging fibrosis and then we'll	17	modifications with a granuloma size around each mesh
18	take a break.	18	fiber exceeding more than half of the pore size of
19	MR. ANDERSON: So you're looking at	19	the mesh"? Is that still your opinion?
20	Exhibit 9 and Exhibit is that 3?	20	A. So if I look at this article, first
21			•
	MR. BROWN: Yes.	21	of all, I see Figure 1. There is this distribution
22	BY MR. BROWN:	22	we just call about that we have been aware of the
23	Q. Doctor, that's your expert report.	23	distribution of the pore sizes. On Figure 4, you
24	Doctor, the place I'm going to let you look at on	24	see what I think is a good tissue integration with
25	Exhibit 9 is page 111, which has got the section	25	these pore size in there.
	Page 320		Page 322
1	Page 320 "Fibrotic bridging."	1	Page 322 MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108
1 2	_	1 2	_
	"Fibrotic bridging."		MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108
2	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on	2	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9.
2	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of?	2 3	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author.	2 3 4	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up,
2 3 4 5	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would	2 3 4 5	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question.
2 3 4 5 6	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his
2 3 4 5 6 7	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh." Is that your definition of fibrotic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications with a granuloma size around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh." Is that your definition of fibrotic bridging?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications with a granuloma size around each mesh fiber exceeding more than half of the pore
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh." Is that your definition of fibrotic bridging? MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications with a granuloma size around each mesh fiber exceeding more than half of the pore size of the mesh," is that your opinion today with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh." Is that your definition of fibrotic bridging? MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications with a granuloma size around each mesh fiber exceeding more than half of the pore size of the mesh," is that your opinion today with regard to what bridging fibrosis is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh." Is that your definition of fibrotic bridging? MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and answered. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications with a granuloma size around each mesh fiber exceeding more than half of the pore size of the mesh," is that your opinion today with regard to what bridging fibrosis is? A. This sentence says that if you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh." Is that your definition of fibrotic bridging? MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and answered. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'll state it this way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications with a granuloma size around each mesh fiber exceeding more than half of the pore size of the mesh," is that your opinion today with regard to what bridging fibrosis is? A. This sentence says that if you have this, these very huge granuloma sizes, then you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh." Is that your definition of fibrotic bridging? MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and answered. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'll state it this way. Do you agree with your expert report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications with a granuloma size around each mesh fiber exceeding more than half of the pore size of the mesh," is that your opinion today with regard to what bridging fibrosis is? A. This sentence says that if you have this, these very huge granuloma sizes, then you always have the bridging.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Fibrotic bridging." And, Doctor, to make sure, on Exhibit 9, this is a paper you're the co-author of? A. Yes, I'm a co-author. Q. Doctor, if you would, if you would look at your expert report first on fibrotic bridging at the very bottom. It should be the second to last sentence where it says, "This phenomenon." Do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. It says, "This phenomenon, known as 'fibrotic bridging' exists when granulomas, side by side, form a common outer fibrotic capsule joining each mesh fiber and forming a rigid 'scar plate' covering the whole mesh." Is that your definition of fibrotic bridging? MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and answered. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'll state it this way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ANDERSON: Figure 4 on page 108 of Exhibit 9. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, since you brought that up, I'll ask that question. MR. ANDERSON: Can he finish his answer? MR. BROWN: I just want to make sure he answers mine and then I'm going to MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's trying. We don't know. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, my question is this MR. ANDERSON: Objection. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Where it says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications with a granuloma size around each mesh fiber exceeding more than half of the pore size of the mesh," is that your opinion today with regard to what bridging fibrosis is? A. This sentence says that if you have this, these very huge granuloma sizes, then you

Page 323 Page 325 1 have bridging even if the granuloma size is less. 1 it this way first. 2 2 This sentence has been one of the first attempts to On your expert report, you say, 3 Doctor, that the granulomas -- strike that. get an idea to predict bridging. 3 4 Q. Okay. You say "fibrotic bridging' exists 5 A. when" the "granulomas, side by side, form a common In this experiment that is described by reference 47, there it was the first time that we outer fibrotic capsule." had the impression that it can be related to the So are you saying that the granulomas size of the granuloma, but this is not sufficient. need to be side by side, touching, so that a scar 9 plate then forms over the top? So bridging fibrosis, those were the 10 10 granulomas from one fiber to another fiber, the MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and 11 granulomas are actually touching each other; is that 11 answered. 12 12 right? Go ahead. 13 13 THE WITNESS: This expresses that you A. No, that is not right. It is not the 14 granuloma that necessarily bridges between the 14 have the outer fibrotic capsule around the fibrotic filaments, but it is the appearance of this scar capsule, and then you have this joining band in throughout the pores formed by fibroblasts and between. It is not necessarily that when you collagen. And the absence of this bridging, you see measure the size of the foreign body granuloma, that 18 in Figure 4F that you don't see this bridging. this has to have direct contact. If you are looking 19 Q. So 4F, there is not bridging to the images there, you see that the foreign body 20 fibrosis; is that correct? granuloma can be -- can have a little -- some sort 20 21 A. 4F in this image, there is no, that 21 of distance, but, nevertheless, you have a filling 22 22 out of the pores by scar formation. is correct. 23 23 BY MR. BROWN: O. And so, Doctor, I just want to come back to your expert report to make sure I understand 24 Q. Doctor, then --25 25 correctly. A. So if you like -- if you have some Page 324 Page 326 When the granulomas touch and are pictures and images, we can have a look to it, and 1 side by side, as you say, that's when you have this then we have to define on the images, that is a 3 scar plate that forms over the top of the pores; is foreign body granuloma, that is scar tissue, that is that right? the inflammatory infiltrate, that is a fibrotic 5 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. capsule. And then the only important question then 6 Do you understand his question? is, did you see fat tissue within the pores or did 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah. you see scar tissue. I think that is the most 8 8 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Take your time. relevant question. 9 9 THE WITNESS: If you had this 0. How much space do you need between bridging, this scar, this scar, and you're coming to 10 the granulomas for the fat tissue to grow in 10 11 the foreign body, this scar usually goes into the 11 between? 12 12 fibrotic capsule there, because the primary A. This is not -- sorry. 13 granuloma is surrounded by scar tissue, and then if This is not the right question, 14 it's close together, then this scar crosses the because it depends from the time point. If you are entire pore. It is not necessarily the inflammatory measuring the foreign body granuloma, the size of 16 infiltrate that has to have a contact between the the foreign body granuloma at various time points, 17 filaments there. So that is sometimes the confusion 17 after 21 days, you have a larger size of this 18 that may appear that you sometimes refer to the granuloma. After 90 days, you have a smaller size 19 infiltrate there and sometimes to the scar formation 19 of the granuloma. So the size of the granuloma 20 20 there. changes over time. 21 BY MR. BROWN: 21 Nevertheless, if you are looking 22 Doctor, if you look on your after two years whether there was some bridging in O. this field, you have some textiles where you have 23 Exhibit 9 ---23 24 A. this bridging and you don't have -- or you have some Uh-huh. 25 -- do you see, Doctor -- let me ask where you don't have it. And, therefore, at all the Q.

Page 327 Page 329 1 human explants, we measure the distance between the THE WITNESS: So the first statement filaments. And we have seen from our experience was whether it's generalized accepted or --3 BY MR. BROWN: 3 from all our analyses that if you are looking at the distance between the filaments, you have a critical O. Are there any studies that you're 5 distance of about 1 millimeter if you have a aware of that identify how much space is needed polypropylene filament there. And for the PVDF we between the granulomas for fat tissue to grow in found that less, was about 600 microns or between? 8 500 microns in this field. A. So, first of all, the general 9 9 When you have a smaller distance, you statement that there is bridging when the filaments 10 usually have bridging in this. When you have are coming close together, I think it's generally 11 larger, you usually don't, there is less risk for accepted, it is in the documents from Ethicon, it is 12 getting this bridging there. So it is the distance in the documents of the literature. So I think 13 13 between the filaments, because the distance between there is no criticism to this conception. 14 14 the granulomas is very hard to objectify and to Unfortunately, experimental 15 15 measure precisely, it depends from many things. measurements or measurements at human explants to 16 Doctor, don't we measure the size of define what is the critical border for bridging, 17 granulomas all the time? I mean, you -- let me there are only few data. And, unfortunately, I 18 restate that. think the study which clearly showed this was done 19 by ourselves, where we looked at the point where we You've measured in your studies the 20 distance of the granulomas. Correct? saw some bridging, it is this study that first 20 21 21 We measure the distance -- for author is Joachim Conze. 22 defining the distance for bridging, we measure the 22 Doctor, did that study say how much 23 23 distance between the filaments. And that is what is space was needed between the granulomas for tissue done in -- at the analysis of the human explants as to grow in between? 25 25 well. This study, amongst others, says a A. Page 328 Page 330 1 lot of things. It says at what limit, at what 1 Q. But, Doctor, don't you also measure how much granuloma forms around the fibers? distance we have a high risk for bridging scar 2 3 Yes, of course. And, therefore, we tissue, not tissue ingrowth. It was not a study to once had the idea that the size of the granuloma check tissue ingrowth in general. It was just predicts the later onset of a bridging. In an referring to the problem of scar bridging or animal experiment comparing different materials, in whatever unit. There are a lot of possible ways to this setting, we had the impression that the effect 7 misunderstand this, but... 8 8 of bridging was related to the size of the O. Doctor, this is all I'm asking, is if 9 granuloma. But there were a lot of other you know if there is a distance between the 10 confounders. 10 granulomas that allows the tissue to integrate. 11 O. 11 And --Do you know that distance? 12 12 MR. ANDERSON: Wait. Let him finish. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and 13 13 answered. He said it depends on time point. Go ahead. 14 Do you have more to say? 14 MR. BROWN: He hasn't answered it. 15 15 THE WITNESS: No. MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, he said it. He 16 said it depends on time point. You heard that. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. 17 17 BY MR. BROWN: BY MR. BROWN: 18 18 Doctor, is it generally understood or What I want to know is, can you tell 19 published anywhere on how much distance between the me if it's 10 microns, 20 microns, 100 microns, what 20 20 granulomas -- strike that. is the distance between the granulomas for tissue to 21 Is it generally recognized in any 21 ingrow? studies on how much space is necessary between the 22 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 23 23 granulomas for fat tissue to grow in between? BY MR. BROWN: 24 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 24 And if it's a difference between

25

Go ahead.

days, then you can tell me the difference in days if

Page 331 Page 333 you know that. 1 this animal experiment comparing different things. 2 So it is not sufficient to predict the risk for MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 3 bridging by only looking to the size of the Go ahead. 4 THE WITNESS: If you want to know granuloma. 5 5 what is the distance for tissue ingrowth, I think it MR. BROWN: Let's take a break. 6 is 50 microns maybe. A cell has 5 microns. And if you define tissue as three or five cells together, (A recess was taken from 10:32 a.m. then you are in the range of maybe 50 microns, then 8 to 10:45 a.m.) 9 you have some sort of cell ingrowth. 9 10 If you are discussing the problem of 10 BY MR. BROWN: 11 bridging, scar bridging, it is our current 11 Q. Doctor, let me get you to go back and 12 knowledge, and was for a long time, that it is about 12 look at Exhibit 15, if you would. 13 A. 15? 13 1 millimeter for -- if you use Prolene®. And this 14 14 is in agree -- in accordance with what Klosterhalfen Q. Right here. 15 said at all these meetings, what has been on the Doctor, if you'll take a look at page 16 PowerPoint presentations when they define the 132, if you look at page 132, Doctor, I'm looking on 17 requirements. So to avoid this scar bridging, the right column here. 18 1 millimeter is considered as critical. And if you look where it says, "The 19 BY MR. BROWN: 19 size of the granuloma margins"? 20 20 MR. ANDERSON: Top part or bottom Let me ask you one or two more 21 questions and then we'll take a break and we'll talk 21 part? 22 about the 1 millimeter. 22 MR. BROWN: Top part. 23 23 Doctor, let's come back and look at MR. ANDERSON: Got it now. Exhibit 9. Under "Fibrotic bridging," it was that BY MR. BROWN: 25 sentence that you and I were talking about, which 0. It's about right in the middle where Page 332 Page 334 is, "The bridging occurs," the third sentence? it's got some different measurements. 2 MR. ANDERSON: He's going back to 2 A. Yes, I see it. Now, I'm looking at the -- let's just 3 this sentence. 4 MR. BROWN: Yes. look at the 90 days for the lightweight mesh. BY MR. BROWN: 5 It's got a granuloma size of 6 Doctor, why would you say in that 43.5 microns; is that right? 7 statement that, "Granuloma size around each mesh That is right. So written in the A. 8 fiber exceeding more than half of the pore size of text. 9 9 the mesh causes bridging" if -- well, let me just O. Now, Doctor, if you would, take 10 ask this. 10 Exhibit 13, which is -- you can hold them both open. 11 11 MR. ANDERSON: 13 is that PowerPoint? Is that sentence not stating that if 12 12 the granulomas -- strike that. MR. BROWN: Yes. 13 On your third sentence there when it 13 BY MR. BROWN: 14 says, "Bridging occurs in all mesh modifications Q. Looking on the fourth page of 15 with a granuloma size at around each mesh fiber Exhibit 13, which is the picture of the pore, do you 16 16 see that, Doctor? exceeding more than half of the pore size of the 17 17 mesh," isn't that saying that bridging occurs when A. Yes, I see this. 18 18 the mesh or when the granulomas touch each other? O. Now, Doctor, here's what I want you 19 This sentence, as I told you before, 19 to explain for me, is explain --20 20 is -- the reference is 47, it's in animal Doctor, you can use the very --21 experiments. We can go to this study if you like 21 Do you see where that yellow line is that's going north and south? 22 and discuss these studies, but in fact, it has 23 23 been -- as I tried to explain before, it has been A. Yes, I see this. 24 the first assumption from this animal experiment to 24 Q. Do you see, about 90 percent of the predict this phenomenon, but just on the basis of way up, right where that pore or that filament is

Page 335 Page 337 going in about a 30-degree angle? THE WITNESS: In the middle of these, 2 Yes, I see this. with the distance, if you have a granuloma size of 3 about 60 microns, around 60 microns, and you have to Now, Doctor, help me explain, or help Q. 4 explain to me, how, with a granuloma of around, what consider it on both side of the filaments, means 5 did we say, 43.5 microns, that that can bridge with 120 microns, then at every distance that is bigger 6 another fiber that's almost 1,200 microns apart? than 120 microns, you will not see this contact 7 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. between these two granulomas. However, this does 8 not reflect reality, because you usually not always Go ahead. 9 THE WITNESS: As I tried to explain have circular granuloma, but it has some different 10 before the break, bridging cannot reduce to the size 10 shape. 11 of the granuloma. And, of course, if you sign in 11 BY MR. BROWN: 12 12 this figure the granuloma was 43 microns there after O. Now, Doctor, you've talked about the 90 days, you have a smooth layer around the 13 1 millimeter pore size as being the distance that 14 filaments. If you marked it after 7 days, you have you want a pore to be; is that correct? a bigger size of the granuloma. After 21 days, you 1 millimeter between the fibers; is that right? 16 have another size of the granuloma coming around 16 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 17 these filaments here in this field. But, of course, Go ahead. 18 18 it is not filling out -- the granuloma is not THE WITNESS: We have observed from 19 filling out the entire pores. That is -- I think our microscopically evaluations that when we have a 20 this is a simple fact, if you are coming from a size cross-section where the distance between of 40 microns and have a construction like this one. 21 polypropylene filaments is 1 millimeter, then we 22 So, therefore, I just want to repeat that the have good chance not to see this scar bridging. 23 23 filling out of the pores by scar tissue is not Therefore, we are convinced that 1 millimeter decisively defined by the size of the granuloma. distance is a critical border. However, we know BY MR. BROWN: that sometimes cross-section is showing a filament Page 336 Page 338 And, Doctor, you mentioned that the that is more or less diagonal. So if you -- may I 1 Q. 2 pores -- I'm sorry, strike that. draw? Yeah? 3 3 BY MR. BROWN: You mentioned that the microns can change with the different days. O. 5 And I think from the literature, the A. So sometimes you have a cross-section 6 greatest degree of granuloma was 59 microns at 21 with a filament like this, but it may be that this 7 days; is that right? is a bending, a binding here where the filaments are 8 The greatest size of the granuloma in linked together. And if you make a cross-section A. 9 this chapter is 150 microns. here in this field, then you have a distance of 10 O. For the lightweight mesh, Doctor? 10 1 millimeter, but maybe there is not a distance to 11 A. For another mesh. So it varies the other. Therefore, we know that there -- that you need these 1 millimeter to all sides. 12 depending on the structure of the mesh. But the 12 13 biggest in this setting, only in this setting, the All right. Now, Doctor, is the 14 biggest size of the granuloma is 150. 1 millimeter distance that you are talking about, 15 What's the biggest size for the does it take into consideration the radius of the 16 16 fiber? lightweight mesh, Doctor? 17 17 For the lightweight, in this A. This general border of this 18 experiment, it is -- so it is 59 after 21 days. 18 1 millimeter does not -- or we didn't modify this 19 19 Q. Okay. 1 millimeter border for various sizes of the 20 filaments, though we know that, maybe for the old or And, Doctor, you would agree with me 21 that just by measuring the granulomas, that those that for the very thick-sized filaments, maybe the granulomas would not touch in this pore that I've distance has to be bigger. But most of the meshes 22 23 shown you in Exhibit 13; is that correct? 23 that are currently available have a size of the 24 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. thread between 90 and 120 microns. And in this 25 Go ahead. range, we didn't see this big difference.

	Confidencial Babject to Belpaia		
	Page 339		Page 341
1	Q. And, Doctor, did you take into	1	Q. Weyhe is the
2	consideration whether the fiber was multifilament or	2	A. W-E-A
3	multifilament?	3	MR. ANDERSON: W-E-Y-H-E.
4	MR. ANDERSON: Did you say multi or	4	BY MR. BROWN:
5	multi? You mean mono or multi?	5	Q. Do you know what year that study was
6	MR. BROWN: Did I? I'm sorry.	6	in, Weyhe?
7	Thanks.	7	A. In the Journal of Surgery, maybe
8	BY MR. BROWN:	8	2006.
9	Q. Did you take into consideration for	9	MR. ANDERSON: '7, I think.
10	your 1 millimeter distance whether the fiber was	10	THE WITNESS: Around.
11	multifilament or monofilament?	11	BY MR. BROWN:
12	A. The experimental basis for the	12	Q. And then is there Bellon, is that
13	definition of this critical limit was done with	13	A. Bellon.
14		14	MR. ANDERSON: B-E-L-L-O-N.
	monofilaments, and there is only limited experience		
15	with multifilaments, as well in the collection of	15	BY MR. BROWN:
16	human explants from Professor Klosterhalfen, because	16	Q. And what year was that study?
17	multifilaments are not very often used in Germany.	17	A. They published I think more than 25,
18	Q. Now, Doctor, this 1 millimeter theory	18	30 articles, making a lot of experimental work since
19	that you have, has it been generally accepted by any	19	the '90s. So permanently every year one or two
20	societies?	20	articles, one studies, and some of them are focused
21	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	21	on PTFE, but some are having it in the title that
22	THE WITNESS: We are presenting this,	22	they showed lightweight, large pore is better than
23	the advantage of, let me say, large pores of the	23	the other.
24	tissue ingrowth for the benefit of the patient since	24	Q. Does Bellon, their group, do they say
25	late '90s. And so far, I realize there has no	25	that you need 1 millimeter pore sizes or bridging
	Page 340		D 040
1			Dogo 2/17
	_		Page 342
	I've I didn't never notice any scientific	1	fibrosis take place?
2	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar	2	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and
3	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue	2	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a
2 3 4	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others	2 3 4	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this.
2 3 4 5	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore	2 3 4 5	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective
2 3 4 5 6	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your
2 3 4 5	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you	2 3 4 5 6	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your
2 3 4 5 6 7	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in	2 3 4 5 6 7	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group. But on the other hand, the proof that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to simulate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group. But on the other hand, the proof that smaller, real small pores, there is a study of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to simulate that place it's going to be located; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group. But on the other hand, the proof that smaller, real small pores, there is a study of Weyhe, who clearly showed that fleece-like structures with very, very small pores, that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to simulate that place it's going to be located; is that right? A. I don't know what is meant with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group. But on the other hand, the proof that smaller, real small pores, there is a study of Weyhe, who clearly showed that fleece-like structures with very, very small pores, that they have a huge intensified inflammation despite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to simulate that place it's going to be located; is that right? A. I don't know what is meant with simulate the situation, in what regard this is meant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group. But on the other hand, the proof that smaller, real small pores, there is a study of Weyhe, who clearly showed that fleece-like structures with very, very small pores, that they have a huge intensified inflammation despite reduction of the weight. So this is Weyhe has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to simulate that place it's going to be located; is that right? A. I don't know what is meant with simulate the situation, in what regard this is meant. Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group. But on the other hand, the proof that smaller, real small pores, there is a study of Weyhe, who clearly showed that fleece-like structures with very, very small pores, that they have a huge intensified inflammation despite reduction of the weight. So this is Weyhe has made one of the studies that confirmed the impact of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to simulate that place it's going to be located; is that right? A. I don't know what is meant with simulate the situation, in what regard this is meant. Q. Sure. When you want to determine how a mesh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group. But on the other hand, the proof that smaller, real small pores, there is a study of Weyhe, who clearly showed that fleece-like structures with very, very small pores, that they have a huge intensified inflammation despite reduction of the weight. So this is Weyhe has made one of the studies that confirmed the impact of pore size for the inflammation. And Bellon is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to simulate that place it's going to be located; is that right? A. I don't know what is meant with simulate the situation, in what regard this is meant. Q. Sure. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to make it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I've I didn't never notice any scientific criticism to the fact that you have this scar formation that pore size is critical for tissue ingrowth, but I know a lot of studies from others that confirmed this, the importance of the pore size. Yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what other studies can you point to that are outside of your group here in Germany who have stated that you need 1 millimeter between the fibers or bridging fibrosis takes place? A. As I said, the studies or the data for defining where exactly this critical border is, this is limited. This is limited to the studies of our group. But on the other hand, the proof that smaller, real small pores, there is a study of Weyhe, who clearly showed that fleece-like structures with very, very small pores, that they have a huge intensified inflammation despite reduction of the weight. So this is Weyhe has made one of the studies that confirmed the impact of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fibrosis take place? A. No. They so far I know and remember the articles, they were not able to give a specific data to define this. Q. I want to talk about effective porosity, something you've written about in your report; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, when you want to determine how a mesh will react in the body, you want to simulate the environment it's going to be placed; is that right? A. Please say that again? Q. Yes, yes, yes. When you want to determine how a mesh is going to react in the body, you want to simulate that place it's going to be located; is that right? A. I don't know what is meant with simulate the situation, in what regard this is meant. Q. Sure. When you want to determine how a mesh

Page 343 Page 345 1 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. after using this mesh materials. And then we came 2 up with a solution that it is porosity, because this You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: The aim is not just to is the only one that is widely -- yeah, that make it similar. As Professor Williams pointed out predicts a little the tissue integration, and this 5 in his report, or some others, there is no one can be standardized in an objective fashion to 6 compare different textile structures. single setting to make it similar to the human situation. But you have to collect lots of data BY MR. BROWN: 8 from different settings, from different models and O. Doctor, when you determine effective 9 put them all together and find the best solution to porosity, you're saying that there's going to be --10 compensate your requirements that you have defined there needs to be 1 millimeter of distance between 11 there. That is the way you may find the optimum. 11 the fibers. You put a strain on the mesh, and if 12 But it is not that you are looking for a model that that strain brings the fibers below 1 millimeter, 13 then it's not effective; is that correct? can completely mirror the situation in the humans. 14 14 BY MR. BROWN: MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 15 15 O. You want to try to get it as close as THE WITNESS: The principle behind you can, though; is that right? With all the data this conception of an effective porosity is, first 17 taken together, you want to get -- strike that. of all, that you need a certain pore size to lower 18 The testing that you do, you want the risk for this bridging. 19 that testing to be as close as it can be to what's BY MR. BROWN: 20 20 going to happen in the body so that you know how Q. And that's 1 millimeter. Correct? 21 21 that mesh is going to react in the body; is that No. That is in principle, that is A. 22 right? 22 the basic idea in between or behind this conception. 23 23 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. So the next point was to get a measurement that can 24 Go ahead. reflect the area where these large pores are put in 25 THE WITNESS: There are many or are measured. And this area of the good pores is Page 344 Page 346 named as effective porosity in relation to the total different tests to see what happens in the body. There are many different models to see. And there size of this. 3 is no one single test that can reflect what happens So this was the principle, and we 4 in the body. The challenge was, if you refer to established this method to do so. Then if we refer 5 this effective porosity, the challenge was that -to the literature, and there -- as I said, there is 6 we have been asked to demonstrate typical -only this reference from Conze, that we took as the 7 specific characterization of mesh materials which critical value for the use or when polypropylene is 8 are able to predict the tissue ingrowth, the risk of used, this 1 millimeter to make the cutoff for the 9 9 some shrinkage or other complications. good pores and the bad pores. 10 10 And I started in 2010 to ask all the And then you talked about a strain 11 manufacturers in Germany to provide some textile 11 that's being placed on the mesh, and if that strain 12 12 data to make this characterization of this mesh causes it to be less than 1 millimeter, then the 13 13 material. And they sent in a huge amount of pore is not effective; is that right? 14 different values there, different technology to 14 Α. So this was --15 15 assess porosity, stability, elasticity, a mixup of MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 16 16 various methods. THE WITNESS: First of all, this was 17 So, finally, I got a huge Excel sheet the conception of the effective porosity, to define 18 there with all these data from the different a large pore in all sides with a certain diameter. 19 This was, from our point of view, satisfying in a 19 products of the different manufacturers, but all 20 these properties or variables are not sufficient to field of tension-free repair. We know that with 21 define critical differences between these things. pores that are bigger than this, we have a lowered 22 And that was the reason that we have to think about risk of fibrotic bridging in this. 23 23 it, to simplify it for the surgeon and to give a In the case of where a tension free

24

cannot be accepted totally, as in the hiatal area,

in my field, or in the pelvic floor as well, then

24 risk indicator for what they may expect, what is the

risk for them, what they may expect, what happens

Page 347 Page 349 1 you have to consider this collapse of the pores. 1 MR. BROWN: Ben, it's cited in his And if you have a -- then, of course, this can be expert report. He talks all about it. 3 3 MR. ANDERSON: He cites 200 things in reflected by mentioning the effective porosity. 4 And we were surprised that there are his expert report. You expect him to remember a lot of textile structures which show, even at very everything in there? Come on. 6 6 low strain, a completely collapse of the pore sizes. 7 And from our experience, this is a -- has been a (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-17, very good explanation for what we saw in -- with the 8 Expert report of Prof. Dr. Thomas Muhl, 9 tissues of the explanted meshes. When you look to 9 was marked for identification.) 10 the -- with the microscope to these explants and 10 look to the scarring there in this, then this change 11 BY MR. BROWN: 12 under strain that has not been considered before, to Doctor, you agree there was a strain O. that was put on the mesh; is that right? my knowledge, in the literature, this is a very good 13 14 14 reflection of what happens in the tissues. The strain was 10 kilogram at a width 15 15 BY MR. BROWN: of the sample of 10 centimeters, so, finally, it was 16 Doctor, the strain that you applied a strain of 9.8 newton per centimeter there that was Q. in your article, "New Objective Measurements to put in this setting to the mesh. 18 Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants" in And is that force derived from hernia 19 2007, did that strain come from measurements for 19 pressures or from pelvic floor pressures? 20 hernia repair? This force reflects our knowledge 20 21 21 that we should be below 16 newton per centimeters. 22 22 (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-16, MR. ANDERSON: 16 as in 1-6? 23 23 Article entitled "New Objective THE WITNESS: 16 newton per 24 Measurement to Characterize the Porosity centimeters. For the range, we assume to be quite 25 physiological strain in either area of the abdominal of Textile Implants", was marked for Page 348 Page 350 cavity. It was not specific for abdominal wall, not 1 identification.) 2 specific for the groin or pelvic floor or hiatal 3 area. It was -- for the demonstration, what MR. RESTAINO: Did you mark that as a new exhibit? happens, first attempts to test this with a strain 5 MR. BROWN: As 16, Exhibit 16. where we felt that it is not beyond any reasonable 6 BY MR. BROWN: 6 ranges. 7 Doctor, the strain that was placed, BY MR. BROWN: 8 was it derived from hernia strain or from pelvic 8 Doctor, if you look on the abstract 9 floor strain? on the very first page, the last sentence, it says, 10 MR. ANDERSON: Give him an "Further in vivo studies have to investigate, 11 opportunity to look at the document, if you would. whether the preservation of a high effective 12 MR. BROWN: Ben, if we're going to 12 porosity under stress may help to improve 13 read each and every document, this is one that's biocompatibility of textile implants." 14 cited in his expert report, it's a real waste of Doctor, do you know if this effective 15 porosity idea that you have, do you know if that was time. 16 16 ever tested in vivo? MR. ANDERSON: I didn't ask him to 17 17 read the entire document, but he has a right to be I think it is there is no -- I don't able to put your question into context. So just to 18 know any specific -- in vivo, if you are thinking of 19 throw out a question and hand him a document is not 19 an animal experiments, we tested it ourself in the 20 fair. He's written a lot of articles. hiatal area. We compared it to, or we used two 21 And, for the record, he has not taken different devices, one with a structural instability 22 the time to review each and every word of any of the and one with a high structural stability. And we exhibits you have given him, but he has glanced at 23 saw an intense fibrosis with a structural them in order to refresh his memory to be able to instability. That is a confirmation in vivo, in an answer your questions. animal test. There are on the market --

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula	IL I	on and order of confidentiality
	Page 351		Page 353
1	Q. What was the name of that study?	1	Q. Doctor, let me
2	A. What?	2	A. Somewhere he clearly described what
3	Q. What was the name of that study?	3	he's using and the reason why he's using it.
4	A. It is a study that has been done in	4	Q. Doctor, let me ask you this.
5	the project where we developed these visible meshes	5	On page 14, that's not the body of
6	with the FEG here.	6	Prolift®, is it?
7	Q. What date was it published, do you	7	A. Again, we have to look. I know there
8	know?	8	is somewhere he described why he took the body of
9	A. It is ongoing, there is in	9	or the arms of the Prolift® and why he took the body
10	preparation.	10	of soft Prolene® mesh or Gynemesh® and the reason
11	Q. So it has not been published?	11	for this and where he explained what is depicted
12	A. Not been published yet.	12	there. So we can yeah. I will find out for you
13	Q. Is this documents that you have on	13	and can explain, but I know it's written there
	•	14	_
14	your computer about these studies?		somewhere. So I don't see I'm not able to
15	A. I have documents, yes.	15	explain it just by looking through this.
16	Q. Doctor, there go ahead.	16	Q. Doctor, all I'm asking, on page 14,
17	A. But there is in vivo, there is	17	that piece of mesh, that's not the body of Prolift®,
18	you may not call it a test. But if you are looking	18	is it?
19	to all the different devices that are used in	19	MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection, asked
20	humans, you have differences in the structural	20	and answered. He clearly just answered your
21	stability in the moment. So we will learn in the	21	question and said he would have to look. If you
22	near future whether there are some of these devices	22	want him to look, he will look.
23	behaved better than others.	23	MR. BROWN: Ben
24	Q. Doctor, I'm showing you, this is Dr.	24	MR. ANDERSON: What?
25	Muhl's report. And it's marked as Exhibit 17.	25	BY MR. BROWN:
	Page 352		Page 354
1	Page 352	1	Page 354
1 2	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr.	1 2	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor.
2	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report.	2	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is
2 3	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17?	2	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®?
2 3 4	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes.	2 3 4	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is
2 3 4 5	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want	2 3 4 5	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this.
2 3 4 5 6	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these measurements, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So he pulled it in two directions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these measurements, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these measurements, yes. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So he pulled it in two directions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these measurements, yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, if you look, Dr. Muhl	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So he pulled it in two directions? A. And he made an uniaxial strain to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these measurements, yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, if you look, Dr. Muhl did not actually test the Prolift®. He tested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So he pulled it in two directions? A. And he made an uniaxial strain to this, and then he repeated this measurement in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these measurements, yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, if you look, Dr. Muhl did not actually test the Prolift®. He tested Prolene® Soft Mesh; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So he pulled it in two directions? A. And he made an uniaxial strain to this, and then he repeated this measurement in a perpendicular direction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these measurements, yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, if you look, Dr. Muhl did not actually test the Prolift®. He tested Prolene® Soft Mesh; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So he pulled it in two directions? A. And he made an uniaxial strain to this, and then he repeated this measurement in a perpendicular direction. Q. And uniaxial means that you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Doctor, if you look on page 8 of Dr. Muhl's report. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 17? 17? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: What page did you want him to go to? MR. BROWN: Page 8. Page 8 of his actual report, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: This one? MR. BROWN: Yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, you have relied on Dr. Muhl's testing to come up with effective porosity; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I refer to these measurements, yes. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, if you look, Dr. Muhl did not actually test the Prolift®. He tested Prolene® Soft Mesh; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: So far we can have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor. That piece of mesh on page 14, is that in the shape of Prolift®? A. No. The shape of Prolift® is different to the shape of this. Q. Thank you. Dr. Muhl is not a medical doctor; is that right? A. He's not a medical doctor so far I know. Q. And Dr. Muhl was holding the piece of mesh and then pulling it in one direction. Is that your understanding? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. He did it in two directions. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So he pulled it in two directions? A. And he made an uniaxial strain to this, and then he repeated this measurement in a perpendicular direction. Q. And uniaxial means that you're pulling from what does uniaxial mean to you

Page 355 Page 357 1 Uniaxial means that you have one main 1 strain in all directions may be even better direction where you applied the force, with all the appropriate to simulate this, to reflect this. 3 However, we are very limited to get a biomechanical limitations, that it depends that is affected by the direction of the machine fibers, that it depends characterization in multiple directions. from the width of the sample, that it depends from BY MR. BROWN: the length of the sample, it depends from the load, 6 And in the pelvic floor, there are O. from the terminal load, because usually it's a multiaxial pressures. Correct? 8 nonlinear reaction, so all these limitations are MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 9 9 THE WITNESS: In the pelvic floor, there. 10 Q. Now, Doctor, the forces in the pelvic there are structures that are stressed from multiple 11 floor are not coming from one direction. Correct? 11 directions. 12 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 12 BY MR. BROWN: 13 13 Go ahead. And if you have forces from multiple 14 THE WITNESS: The forces in the 14 directions, that can affect the mesh different from pelvic floor, there are many different areas to be forces in one direction. Correct? considered. There are many different directions to 16 From my understanding, that is be considered. There are different models to be 17 correct, that it is -- that the uniaxial has its 18 considered. And so far, what I know from all the limitations. 19 19 discussions with our pelvic floor colleagues, there Q. Now, Doctor, the force placed on the 20 is an ongoing -mesh arms, if you go back to page 12, ranges from 20 21 BY MR. BROWN: 21 0 grams to 1,000 grams; is that correct? 22 Doctor, I'm just asking you if the 22 A. That is correct. 23 pelvic floor forces come from one direction. That's 23 O. Doctor, where did you come up with all my question is. the theory that there is 500 grams or 1,000 grams of 25 pressure for the arms of Prolift® in the pelvic So does the pelvic floor forces come Page 356 Page 358 from one direction? 1 floor? 2 2 MR. ANDERSON: Same objection. And MR. ANDERSON: Objection as to the he was trying to answer your question, so please go characterization. 3 4 Go ahead. 5 BY MR. BROWN: 5 THE WITNESS: The idea was to test 6 O. If you just answer my question. whether the textile structure collapses under some 7 MR. ANDERSON: Well, he's trying to. mechanical strain. And, therefore, we have to 8 THE WITNESS: I want to come close to define the range. We have to test this one. Of 9 your question, of course. There is an ongoing course, if you imagine any biological system, you 10 discussion how to consider the biomechanics of the 10 have various different levels of mechanical strain. 11 pelvic floor best, either by considering flat areas You have peak strain, you have a permanent strain 12 12 that later on may be reinforced by meshes, or and so on. And so there is not one figure that 13 whether it can be reflected best by assuming that reflects the biology completely. you have some ligaments keeping the -- or 14 But if, considering the literature, stabilizing the pelvic floor. And reflecting this for example, we -- I have the -- or I'm sure that ongoing discussion there, there are -- there should the strain is less than 10 newton per centimeter, be considered different models how this mechanical 17 and, therefore, we made this investigation to see 18 strain has to be considered for the pelvic floor. whether in this -- under this mechanical strain, you 19 19 For those guys, believing that it's mainly see -- already see a collapse of structure and to 20 ligaments. And if you make a reinforcement of the 20 what extent you see this deformation of the mesh. 21 tissue, mainly to reinforce the ligaments, the 21 BY MR. BROWN: 22 assumption that you have an uniaxial strain in this 22 O. Doctor, did you --23 23 field may be the best we have in the moment; A. And, therefore, we choose this range 24 whereas, in other areas where you believe that it is for up to 1,000 grams, because we were convinced an area that has to be reinforced, a multiaxial that it is not helpful to see it with a higher

Page 359 Page 361 1 mechanical load. 1 THE WITNESS: There is no -- to my 2 O. Doctor, did you decide that the mesh knowledge, there is no detailed literature should be tested between 0 grams and 1,000 grams or 3 confirming that 500 grams is the best value ever, did Dr. Muhl decide that or did you both? but if you're looking to the biomechanical analyzers 5 A. Both. from the French, mainly Cosson, his group, there is 6 O. a lot of studies indicating that you have to And, Doctor, again, what specific 7 literature or what specific experience do you have consider a load of less than 10 newton per that tells you that there is up to 500 grams or centimeter. 9 9 BY MR. BROWN: 1,000 grams of force on the arms in Prolift®? 10 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and 10 So Cosson says you have to consider 11 answered. 11 less than 10 newtons per centimeter? 12 12 A. 85, they tried to define this comfort Go ahead. 13 zone, yes. In this group, they provided a lot of THE WITNESS: There are a lot of --13 14 so I had -- I looked to all these -- to many 14 these data. 15 articles, looking to the biomechanics of the pelvic O. And how less than the 10 per 16 floor. And I realized that, again, the tissue 16 centimeters? 17 usually ruptures at forces that are more than 10 Α. What? 18 newton per centimeters, or 20, 20 newton per Q. You said that Cosson considered less 19 centimeters. So, therefore, we were quite sure that 19 than 10 centimeters --20 in the pelvic or the pelvic tissue, there is a limit 20 A. Newton. Newton. 21 21 of 20 newton per centimeters as an upper force. Sorry. Newtons per centimeter. O. 22 22 So what did Cosson say was the And we didn't want to test in a supraphysiological range there, and, therefore, we 23 pressure on the arms in Prolift®? decided to be below 1 kilogram. The decision to 24 I didn't make any statement to this, take 250 and 500 depends on the equipment and on the but we can look through all the literature of this Page 360 Page 362 1 setting. It can be other figures as well. And I group to look whether he has said it. So far I was very satisfied that, when I looked through the remember, they made some general measurements in Ethicon documents, they made their testing in a tissues in pelvic floor trying to find a or to get a similar range as we did. So I feel very comfortable biomechanics estimate of the burden there. However, 5 to have this testing in this mechanical load. I know that it's very difficult, and the people from 6 BY MR. BROWN: Ethicon, they know it as well, that there is no 7 Doctor, these loads that are applied, precise model which really can give exactly the data 8 you're not able to identify these are appropriate for this. Therefore, it is impossible, if you ask 9 loads based upon your experience and knowledge with me that I present them, it is not possible, as 10 the pelvic floor, your personal knowledge with 10 everyone knows. But you can try to find some 11 pelvic floor; is that right? It's based on 11 estimates to come in this field. 12 12 literature; is that correct? Doctor, when you place a piece of 13 mesh in the pelvic floor, it's going to very quickly It is based on literature, it is based on our experience of tissues, of the begin to form granulomas that you've talked around, mechanical resistance to strain of tissues there. around the fibers. It is not based on my personal stretching of vaginal 16 16 Would that affect the strength of the 17 tissues. 17 mesh and how different it might look -- let me 18 O. 18 You say a couple times that you've strike that and let me restate that question. 19 19 relied on some literature. Doctor, when you place a piece of 20 Can you tell me what that literature mesh in the pelvic floor, it's going to begin to is that you relied on that says that there's 21 have tissue around it. 21 Does that give it more strength which 500 grams to 1,000 grams of force on the Prolift® 22 23 arm in the pelvic floor? 23 would resist some of this stretching as you've 24 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 24 indicated in page 12 of Dr. Muhl's report? 25 25 Go ahead. I totally agree that if you have a

Page 363

- 1 tissue ingrowth, let's say a incorporation into
- 2 dense scar formation of a mesh, then if you would
- 3 repeat this measurement with all the scar formation
- around, you may not see this collapsing structure,
- 5 because everything is completely stiff.
- 6 So -- but if you do the measurement,
- 7 the mechanical strain, without this full tissue
- integration, and this may occur within the first
- 9 time, in the first hours, within the first days,
- 10 where you still have the option for the pore size to
- 11 show this deformation, then you have to consider and
- 12 you have to know that you have this collapsing of
- 13 these structures. And I've seen some videos where
- 14 the Prolift® has been implanted, and you see in the
- videos that the arms showed this deformation and
- 16 curling as you see it in this testing.
- 17 So at least in this moment, and at
- 18 least for the arms, I think it should -- it has to 19 be considered as a serious change. And I'm deeply
- convinced that the Prolift® that you take out from
- 21 the package, it -- you have a certain appearance of
- 22 the arms. And this is different to what is placed
- 23 in the body.
- 24 Q. Doctor, let me just ask you about
- 25 that video you just mentioned.
- Page 364

24

1

- That video is a video of the Prolift® 1
- being implanted into a patient, and the arms you're
- seeing are when they're pulling them out through the 3
- cannula; is that right?
 - A. Yes. Out of the body and -- yeah.
- 6 O. You're not talking about a video
- 7 looking at the mesh in the body two weeks later.
- 8 Right?

5

- 9 I have some -- or if you look to
- 10 explants, and Professor Klosterhalfen did it
- 11 extensively, then in many of these explants, he saw
- 12 this curling, this folding of these materials.
- 13 So as we see it very, very often, we
- 14 don't think that it is only done intentionally or
- 15 not intentionally by the surgeon, but, again, this
- 16 finding of the histological sections where you see
- 17 this curling that he described there, that was I
- 18 think a good explanation can be seen in this
- 19 mechanical testing. And, therefore, we believe that
- this mechanical testing of a textile structure's
- effective porosity under strain is helpful to
- predict the risk for these scarring, tissue
- 23 integration.
- 24 O. Doctor, let me just ask my question
- again, which is, the video you saw was not a piece

- Page 365
- of mesh in the body two weeks after implantation,
- seeing how it's actually working in the body; is
- 3 that correct?
 - A. No. That is -- yeah. Okay. Yeah.
- That is right.
 - Okay. And then --O.
- 7 A. Is there any video?
- 8 MR. ANDERSON: Unless he's holding
- 9 out.

6

- 10 BY MR. BROWN:
- 11 O. When you said that Dr. Klosterhalfen
- in his explants has shown some of this pore
- deformation that you talked about from this testing
- 14 that Dr. Muhl did, is that on the Prolift®? Is that
- what you're talking about?
- 16 As I -- yeah. He has seen it for the
- Prolift®, and I think he has, yeah, made an analysis
- in particularly for the Prolift® and made an
- analysis of Prolift® explants where we saw this.
- 20 And, in part, he reported in some of the documents
- 21 about his experience on Prolift® explants.
- 22 And have you seen this kind of
- 23 reaction that we see in page 12 with the Prolift®?
 - MR. ANDERSON: This is 12.
- 25 Have you --

Page 366

- THE WITNESS: This one.
 - I personally don't have an explant of
- a Prolift®.
- BY MR. BROWN:
- 5 Okay. And have you seen, whether it
- be explants, pictures, from Dr. Klosterhalfen where
- you see results like page 12, any of the results on
- 8 page 12?
- 9 MR. ANDERSON: Asked and answered,
- 10 but go ahead.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I didn't see his
- results in -- for -- of his evaluation of the 12
- Prolift® meshes. If I remember correctly, it was
- done in evaluation for Ethicon Norderstedt.
- BY MR. BROWN:
- 16 O. Now, Doctor, is there anything that
- you can point to that says that there is going to be
- a constant strain of 1,000 grams on the Prolift®
- 19 when it's in the pelvic floor?
- 20 A constant strain of 1,000 grams to a
- 21 textile structure, I hardly can imagine that there
- is -- that it is -- if you believe constant for two 22
- weeks, for example, constant strain of two weeks on
- a textile to -- that is -- from my knowledge, that
- is in principle impossible.

Page 367 Page 369 1 Q. Doctor, is that the same for You have the stretchability of the 2 500 grams of constant strain? tissue around that can reduce all this. It can be, 3 yeah, tearing out of some fixation there in this A. Constant strain, 500 grams, two 4 weeks, no, I don't think so, but -- yeah. I don't field. So a lot of possible mechanism from the body 5 think so. to release this mechanical strain. Therefore, I 6 What about a week, Doctor? said I cannot imagine that 1,000 grams for two O. 7 A. I don't know. weeks, it is imaginable for any part of soft tissue. 8 O. And, Doctor, when Dr. Muhl was BY MR. BROWN: 9 9 Doctor, you had mentioned the PVD testing this mesh, he was holding it still on one O. 10 side and then pulling it; is that correct? only requires 600 microns between the fibers to 11 A. Yes. 11 avoid fibrotic bridging; is that correct? 12 O. 12 And, Doctor, if there is 1,000 grams A. PVDF. 13 I'm sorry, yes. Let me restate that of force being placed on a mesh, is the other side Q. 14 being held right in place, or is the body a little 14 then. 15 more elastic and it's going to move with it? The PVDF only requires 600 microns 16 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. between the fibers to prevent fibrotic bridging; is 17 17 Go ahead. that correct? 18 18 BY MR. BROWN: A. That is what can be referenced by the 19 Q. Do you want me to restate the 19 literature, what is found in this study. And, 20 question, Doctor? therefore, this was our cutoff. 20 21 21 Let me ask it this way. Doctor, what was your methodology in 22 Are you aware of the body holding one 22 determining that PVDF needs 400 less microns -- let side of the mesh perfectly still while the other 23 me restate that. Strike that. side is stretching it with 1,000 grams of force? 24 Doctor, how did you determine that 25 I'd have to think about, just from you only needed 600 microns to prevent fibrotic Page 368 Page 370 the physics, what this -- this is a problem of the bridging for PVDF? 2 whole system, whether it changes or not. If you I think it was in about 2001 or 2000 measure a force between two points of 1,000 grams, when we start to realize that we have a -- or we it is independent of whether the entire system is really get aware that textile structures had a huge 5 switching or is moving. So, therefore, the -- what variation of pores. And we, for the first time, 6 happens to the entire system has not an impact on made this histogram of the different pores. And 7 this force. What you may indicate on is that if the then there came up the idea to identify at what size 8 other part is moving as well, then you have a rapid of the pores may be sufficient. 9 9 loss of the force there. That in fact is true. But And from that time point on, we 10 to -- if you want to measure what happens to a 10 looked to many, many, many different histological 11 textile structures at a certain strain, this is not sections, and we measured the distance between the 12 12 affected what happens otherwise around. filaments. And we marked whether we saw a bridging 13 So, Doctor, if one side was being or not. And, of course, if you have a small pulled with 1,000 grams and the other side is in distance of the filaments of 100 microns or 15 place, wouldn't the body's elasticity allow the side 200 microns, so within the staining, you have a lot 16 that you say is being held in place to stretch some, of different distances between the filaments, 17 to relieve some of that force? because it's cut through the mesh at various 18 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. locations. And then we started to look all these 19 19 Go ahead. differences. And the least size where we did not 20 THE WITNESS: There are a lot of see a bridging, the lowest size, the lowest distance physiological reactions to mechanical strain. And between filaments where we do not see a bridging, 21 22 22 that is cutting through the tissue. That happens if that was considered as cutoff. 23 23 you have some mechanical load to a textile Doctor, what specific studies can you structure, you have a cutting through and the 24 point to that showed that there was this reduced

foreign body migration is known for decades there.

fibrotic bridging for PVDF that led you to come up

Page 371 Page 373 with you only needed 600 microns? 1 And because of all this together, we 2 So this is -- so this experience has don't know in detail what really is responsible for been used in the publication of Joachim Conze, PVDF 3 scar -- for inducing this scar formation. But as an IPOM in rabbit model, and it's cited in the because of all this together, the final result is article from Muhl as well. 5 very simple. You can see in the microscope whether 6 O. That article, Conze? there is a bridging or not. And our first attempt 7 This is -- yeah. I hope so. Or I to explain it just by the size of the granuloma, it had to look whether this is one. Conze made three was wrong. It was not correct. It was not 9 articles, I think, with his IPOM model. sufficient to predict this histological change. 10 10 Sir, are you saying that Conze showed And this study really confirmed this. 11 that you needed or you could have 400 less microns? 11 It is -- it raises no doubt at the principle that 12 Give it to me, and I can -there is a scar formation, but it confirmed that it 13 is quite independent from the size of the granuloma O. It's Exhibit 5, so we can both look 14 at it. 14 in this model, at rabbit, at mouse. 15 15 So there is on page 326, there you And, Doctor, if you'll go to page 16 see his result concerning bridging, that in the 326, if you look on the second column, I'm looking polypropylene mesh, after 90 days in this model, the at the second full paragraph where it starts, "It 18 filament distance of 1,000 microns; whereas, in the has been already shown." 19 co-PVDF mesh, a bridging was always detected below a 19 Do you see where I'm talking about? pore size of 630 microns. Doctor, would you just read that paragraph? Not out 20 21 21 loud, but to yourself. O. Let me ask you this, Doctor. 22 And this is a paper that you're a 22 The polypropylene that was tested 23 23 co-author on; is that right? here had excellent results, is that correct, with 24 A. Yes. regard to inflammatory reaction? 25 Doctor, if you look on page 325, at 25 Q. A. This study is a wonderful Page 372 Page 374 the Table 3, at the bottom, you and I have talked confirmation that polypropylene in a large pore about this yesterday, but the polypropylene total construction causes less inflammatory reaction. And granuloma was 56.4, and the co-PVDF total granuloma that is exactly what we developed with a Vypro® was mean 44.0. system, that we thought, it is not sufficient to say 5 Do you see that? polypropylene, but in a specific construction can 6 A. I see this. cause less inflammation. And this is one of the 7 O. And so there was a 12.4 difference in studies again confirming that the construction is of 8 granuloma sizes between the polypropylene and the outstanding importance for the tissue reaction. 9 9 PVDF, is that correct, the co-PVDF? O. Now, Doctor, let me ask you this 10 10 A. That is correct. then. 11 11 As far as the -- sometimes I might O. So, Doctor, how is it that a 12 use the word "Prolift®" and sometimes I might use 12 micron distance could change the bridging fibrosis 13 up to 400 microns? the word "Prolene® Soft Mesh," but I'm talking about I obviously failed to explain that 14 A. the same type of mesh. 15 15 the fibrotic bridging, the formation of scar Is that your understanding? formation is not completely reflected by the size of 16 A. Yes. 17 17 the granuloma. After placing of the foreign body Q. Do you agree that the Prolift® 18 there, and as stated by Williams and all the others, 18 elicits -- strike that. 19 19 you have the foreign body reaction. And this is the Do you agree that the Prolift® has an acceptable inflammatory response? 20 ingrowth of some cells. And if you made some 20 21 histological strainings, you are able to detect 21 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 22 these cells. But as well, you have a release of 22 THE WITNESS: From all the 23 cytocrines, cytocrines, mediators, so a lot of other measurements from all what we have analyzed, what 24 aspects that, of course, interfere with the local all what we have looked at, there are -- with the tissue reaction. Prolift® mesh in its current form, there are many

Page 375 Page 377 1 concerns where I'm convinced that a better 1 complications, migration, inflammation and so on, 2 construction is possible and that a better elevated body temperature in about 30 percent of all these patients. Not all patients with the Marlex® 3 construction is -- will cause less inflammatory reaction. I have the concern, still the concern, mesh had these complaints. 5 5 that Prolift® is oversized in comparison to So the next step was to improve the structure for this. There hadn't been a randomized Prolift+M®, for example. And because of this, that there are a lot of or several concerns with the controlled trial comparing two different things and specific construction of the Prolift® mesh in its saying, okay, this mesh is better than the other, 9 current form, I think, or I'm -- yeah. My opinion but we have seen these complications in these 10 is that it is not acceptable. patients that a textile -- a huge textile implant. 11 BY MR. BROWN: And then we adopted this mesh. As you know, with 12 O. the Vypro® we reduced the amount of material. We Your opinion is not acceptable 13 inflammatory response for Prolift®; is that correct? made the pores larger and got a new device. And 14 A. 14 then the experience was that we could reduce the 15 15 O. And, Doctor, what specific studies number of complications by adopting the requirements can you identify that shows that there is an 16 of the textile to the physiological requirements. 17 unacceptable inflammatory response for Prolift®? So it is not -- it has at that time 18 And as I stated earlier, that includes Prolene® Soft 18 not that every patient with such a device, a Marlex® 19 Mesh. device at that time, has to suffer from 20 A. I don't know any studies that are in complications; but the risks, the chance to 21 a randomized controlled trial comparing a Prolift® demonstrate some of these adverse events was higher 22 mesh with another, so -- but I know from all these 22 in these than it was on the -- with the new data collections that there are a considerable 23 23 developed meshes. And, therefore, the advantage is number of complications after the use of the 24 to lower the risk there. 25 Prolift® mesh. Despite there is no direct And, therefore, I do not expect, and Page 376 Page 378 1 comparison with other competitors that may be 1 I know it from the literature, that not every patient with a Prolift® suffered from erosion. It better, a lot of these complications or some of these complications, not a lot, but these is not everyone. But I know from the literature and complications can be explained to a large extent by all these reports and data sheets that there are the local tissue reaction to the foreign body some. And I still believe that the Prolift® -- that material. And that is what we have -- that is my in the design and in the structure of the Prolift®, 7 understanding, that this -- or that an impaired there are several points that are not at its optimum 8 tissue integration with a enhanced inflammatory to reduce the risk. That's all -- I've seen 9 reaction, that this is related to some recently the presentation of the Project Thunder and 10 complications. Lightning, and I've noticed that everything -- that 11 Q. Doctor, if you have across the board almost everything that was in this report was unacceptable inflammatory reaction, would you not 12 reflected in these presentations by Ethicon people expect widespread complications? as well. So I feel in line with this. It's to 14 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 14 address the decrease of the risk for complication. 15 15 Doctor, do you believe that the Go ahead. 16 16 THE WITNESS: I didn't get the --Prolift® has an unacceptable amount of fibrosis? 17 17 BY MR. BROWN: Yes, yes. I believe, because it is 18 The Prolift® mesh, if it has 18 not -- or it is oversized. In comparison to 19 unacceptable inflammation, wouldn't you expect there 19 Prolift+M® that has been developed, it is oversized, 20 to be widespread or large percentages of meshes with and, therefore, it has a fibrosis which is not 21 complications? necessary, obviously which is not necessary. And,

If -- let me answer it with the old

experience that we have 15 years ago when we had our

patients, not in all, in some patients some

experiences with the Marlex® meshes. We saw in some

22

therefore, it is not acceptable.

Let me ask you another way, too. And

Do you believe that the Prolift® has

this goes back to the inflammatory response.

23

24

25

Page 379 Page 381 1 an inflammatory response that makes it inappropriate 1 and where two, three filaments is there. And you 2 for use in the body? see that there is -- that the pores are filled with 3 inflammatory tissue. But in fact, of course, yeah, A. This general statement, to say 4 Prolift® is inappropriate for the general use in the I -- there wasn't not one study presented to me human body, no, that is not right, because I think indicating that there was a fat tissue. From all 5 there may be some indications. I don't know them, the data I got, I have the impression that in the but there may be some indications where you see that soft pro mesh that has been tested in the animals, the Prolift® may be used in maybe a very tall woman there was usually a bridging. 9 of 3 meter in size or something like this, there may 9 And is that the 91-day rat study from Q. 10 be an indication for them. So I cannot exclude this 10 Ethicon? Is that the one you're talking about? 11 in general. 11 A. That is one, yeah. 12 12 Q. Let me ask you that same question for O. And is there any other studies that 13 the fibrosis. you can point to that shows that the tissue did not 14 Does the Prolift® have an 14 integrate into the pores of the Prolift® mesh? 15 15 inappropriate amount of fibrosis for placement in MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 16 the body? 16 THE WITNESS: The fat tissue. I've 17 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and seen some documents of Deprest and -- but I cannot 18 answered. remember, please correct me if it is wrong, but I do 19 not see any attempt to convince me that there is Go ahead. 20 20 THE WITNESS: I would make that -some fat tissue ingrowth in the pores of the the fibrosis that is induced by the Prolift® leads 21 Prolift®. 21 22 22 to an unacceptable risk. I know it from Ultrapro®, that you 23 23 BY MR. BROWN: have some of these fat tissue ingrowth there. But 24 And so your testimony is that the from soft pro or Prolift®, I don't know. I've never Prolift® is inappropriate for use in the body. seen it. Page 380 Page 382 1 Is that your testimony? BY MR. BROWN: 2 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and Let me ask you a couple more questions and then we'll break in a second, which 3 answered. is, Doctor, do you believe that there is a mesh 4 THE WITNESS: Prolift® has an unacceptable risk for the use in the pelvic floor. configuration that is sold today that is appropriate 5 6 BY MR. BROWN: for pelvic floor repair? 7 Doctor, do you believe that the MR. ANDERSON: Objection. O. Prolift® has regular bridging fibrosis? 8 8 Go ahead. 9 9 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of all of 10 THE WITNESS: I'm sure that, these products that are sold today or of the various somewhere in the Prolift®, there is some bridging techniques where the meshes are used for. There are 11 fibrosis. I'm absolutely sure. The question is, to 12 a lot of combinations, so I think -- or, yeah. You what extent? This is whether it's in the center, have to do all this work. Characterization of the whether you see it in the arms. And I don't see any mesh material, looking for the results and then thick picture up to now showing that there is fat looking for your indications, and all together then 16 tissue in between the filaments of a Prolift®. you can say, okay, is the risk higher or lower than 17 the others. So it cannot be answered by a simple 17 I've -- in all these documents I have on my computer yes or no. 18 and I was sent, I never saw it. Where is this fat 18 19 tissue in the pores? 19 BY MR. BROWN: 20 20 BY MR. BROWN: So, Doctor, are you saying that you 21 Doctor, what study do you have that 21 cannot identify, as you sit here today, a mesh construction that would be appropriate for pelvic 22 shows that there is not fat tissue in the pores for 22 the Prolift®? 23 23 floor repair? 24 I can refer to -- I've seen some of 24 A. A. I said that I cannot answer your the animal experiments Ethicon performed in the rat question.

Page 383 Page 385 1 Q. Is it because you don't know? 1 You can ask him those questions, but he's not going 2 A. I don't know all the sufficient what to commit to a graphic drawing to enable you to use 3 that as an exhibit when you have him for two days is on the market. 4 O. But there's not a piece of mesh that and all of this material. Not going to do it. 5 you're aware of that you do know that you would say 5 MR. BROWN: So you're refusing to 6 would be appropriate for pelvic floor repair? allow him to answer this question? 7 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. MR. ANDERSON: You bet. Well, I'm 8 Go ahead. refusing to allow him to start to draw for you what 9 THE WITNESS: We have outlined in the he considers to be the perfect pore. You bet. 10 MR. BROWN: And the mesh 10 report a lot of ideas for the requirement to meshes 11 that are used in the pelvic floor, what has to be 11 construction? 12 MR. ANDERSON: And the mesh 12 looked after. And if you followed all of these construction. You bet. 13 ideas, I think you will come up with a better 13 14 design, with a better construction. If you still 14 MR. BROWN: All right. have some open questions, may -- it will help to ask 15 BY MR. BROWN: the people from Project Thunder. They had a lot of 16 Doctor, then, tell me then what would be the filament size that would be most appropriate 17 good ideas as well. 18 So all together, put all together, I for mesh construction? 19 have -- I think that we will, maybe we already have, 19 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 20 but that we will have better devices. And, of BY MR. BROWN: 20 21 21 course, there is some indication for meshes in Let me strike that question right 22 pelvic floor in some patients, of course. That is 22 now. Let me ask something. 23 23 my vision. Are you able to draw a mesh BY MR. BROWN: construction that would be appropriate for the 25 0. And, Doctor, just to make sure you're pelvic floor?

1

Page 384 answering my question, can you, as you sit here today, identify by name that you know of a mesh that is appropriate for pelvic floor repair? MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I cannot stick to the term "appropriate." I can say that, with the FEG, what they -- their constructions tried to consider many of these critical or points that have been 10 identified to be critical for tissue integration. Therefore, I think that the clinical outcome of 12 these devices may be better in the future, but we 12 have to wait on the results of this. BY MR. BROWN: Doctor, I want to get you to do

something on this piece of paper. Draw for me, or you can write out

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

22

18 filament size, pore size, or you can draw it, 19 whatever works for you, what you believe is the 20 appropriate mesh construction for pelvic floor 21 repair.

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. He's not going to do that. He's provided you with a 70 page report and two days of testimony to be able to tell you what he believes the optimum pore sizes are.

Page 386 MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Definitely not. Coming to a design for a mesh for the pelvic floor, it's a

process. It includes a lot of different things, a

lot of different models. It's a work. A lot of

people have to come together and bring in their expertise. And then finally you get -- have a --

the best product that is possible in the moment.

9 That is the aim we can have.

If you asked me which size of the filament, first of all, it depends on the polymer, what you want to have. Then it depends from the pore size you can realize with this specific filament, because this filament is limited in its tensile strength. If you need, you need some

tensile strength, you need a little more of these 17 filaments. Then it depends of the tissue reaction

to the surface and to the curvature of the filament.

19 If it's too small, then you have a stiffness of the

cells so that they cannot come in close to this

surface. We are still not sure whether the Vypro®

with the five polypropylene filaments really was a

bad choice. It has some disadvantages for the 23

surface of the bacteria adherence, but if you look

to the foreign body granuloma size to the filaments

Page 387 Page 389 1 of the Vypro®, you see that they are very small. a mesh used in pelvic floor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 2 And even all together, these five are less than one 3 3 Go ahead. monofilament of a similar thickness would have been. So maybe it is the best option to realize the THE WITNESS: The thickness or the elasticity, stretchability of a mesh, porosity of a definition of whether it's optimum for the tissue mesh, a construction made of three filaments is ingrowth and for the function, what is the best better than of one. Maybe it's 12. It has to be, thickness to achieve this purpose may differ. It yeah. You have to work on it and find the best depends from the indication. It depends from the 9 solution in comparison to others. You have to test size from the configuration. So there are -- in --10 all these. Try a thick one, a thin one and then for the abdominal wall, there are some devices that 11 adopted it. That is the way that we have learned are intentionally constructed in with a three -- in 12 with the Vypro® to come to a better mesh. a third dimension, to get more thicker devices, to 13 MR. BROWN: Take a lunch break. have more -- to have another integration into the 14 14 tissues by these three-dimensional form of these 15 (A luncheon recess was taken from things. There are other three-dimensional things, 16 as plaques in the abdominal wall, which behave, 12:20 p.m. to 1:13 p.m.) 17 17 again, differently. 18 18 BY MR. BROWN: For the pelvic floor, I do not know 19 Doctor, we were talking a little bit any specific investigations, what part of the Q. 20 about mesh characteristics. reinforcement of the tissue should be done by three 20 21 Do you have a filament size that you 21 dimensional or by a specific three dimensionality of 22 think would be optimal for a piece of mesh? 22 this device. So to my knowledge, there is no 23 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 23 intention to construct real three-dimensional 24 Go ahead. devices. However, every mesh that we are talking 25 THE WITNESS: From our experience, about has, of course, a third dimension, as Page 388 Page 390 1 looking to the tissue section after incorporation, I 1 everything in this world. And, therefore, again, we think that a size below 130, 150 microns will offer have a limitation of all characterizations of the most advantages in regards to the handling or how to mesh material by this third dimension. 3 BY MR. BROWN: make the constructure and offers most options to be less there. Whether there is a minimum of 50, 60, 5 O. And -there is insufficient data to come there. And it So your answer has been whether there 7 depends, of course, from the intention where you is an optimum of the thickness. No, there is no way 8 place, and so what you want to have by this. to define this in the moment on the basis of my 9 9 BY MR. BROWN: knowledge and what I know. 10 10 Doctor, I'm going to ask you about a Doctor, as far as the density or the 11 couple of characteristics. And I'm going to be 11 weight of the mesh, grams per millimeter squared, 12 that way we're all talking about the same thing, is 12 asking you about the pelvic floor. 13 So with this below 130 to 150 microns there an optimal range for the weight of a mesh in 14 for filament size, is that an appropriate filament the pelvic floor? 15 15 size for the pelvic floor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 16 16 MR. ANDERSON: And, again, objection. Go ahead. 17 17 BY MR. BROWN: Go ahead. 18 18 THE WITNESS: I have no information You're welcome to look at the article O. 19 that it is a -- that it cannot be or that is not 19 that I was looking at if you want to. 20 20 applicable to the pelvic floor. Or do you need that or not? 21 BY MR. BROWN: 21 No. I just to think, to find the A. 22 And, Doctor, when we were looking at 22 words. the Jan Deprest article, one of the measurements he 23 23 Q. Okay. 24 looked at was the thickness. 24 A. There is no optimum weight of 25 And what is an optimal thickness for anything, because the property of weight of a

Page 391 Page 393 1 textile mesh is not able to reflect the specific 1 indication, because finally it's a compromise

2 properties of a textile.

3 Since the study from Weyhe, Weyhe, we 4 already talked about, it is very clear that even 5 with the reduced amount of material, you can produce 6 awful meshes or mesh-like structures. And, therefore -- and we know that PVDF has a specific

weight that is double as high as polypropylene. So 9 with PVDF alone, you create some heavy textile 10 structures, which can be excellent. So it doesn't 11 depend from the weight, and, therefore, you cannot 12 specify an optimum mesh by weight.

Is there a range of weight which you can identify that would be appropriate in the pelvic floor?

16 A. No.

13

14

15

17 O. And, Doctor, is there a filament type 18 that you believe that is optimal, meaning 19 multifilament, monofilament or something in that 20 neighborhood?

21 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and 22 answered.

23 Go ahead.

24 THE WITNESS: As we already talked about, monofilament has the advantage to have a

- between the surface, the tensile strength you want
- to have, the elasticity, the stretchability of the
- mesh you want to have and the filament you can use,
- the polymer you can use. And if you take all this
- together, then you will come to a pore size that is
- the best compromise to fulfill all this.

BY MR. BROWN:

9 O. And, Doctor, do you have or can you 10 now tell me what would be an optimal mesh using each 11 of these characteristics that we've talked about and any other characteristics you want to discuss?

13 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and 14 answered.

15 BY MR. BROWN:

12

21

16 I'm talking -- let me restate.

17 Can you give me specific numbers or specific types of polymers for each of these mesh characteristics to tell me what might be an optimal 20 mesh for pelvic floor repair?

MR. ANDERSON: Same objection.

22 Go ahead.

23 THE WITNESS: I can define some

critical points to come to an optimum mesh

configuration for the use of the pelvic floor that

Page 392

- 1 reduced surface in comparison to multifilaments.
- That is of specific importance for the adherence of
- bacteria. There are some constructions with some
- 4 filaments in between as for the Vypro®, so this type
- 5 of olgoliofilaments, although this term has not been
- 6 defined officially in the literature, therefore, it
- 7 can be evaluated or justified only in the context of
- 8 the many different functions and characteristics of
- 9 textile constructions.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11

12

15

16

And, Doctor, is there an optimal pore size for meshes in the pelvic floor? And that can include a range, if you have a range.

14 MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: As for the other

17 characteristics, there is no specific value for a

18 best pore size for the pelvic floor as well as for

19 other tissue organs. We know that there is a

20 critical minimum which should not be -- we should

not go under this critical minimum. Whether there

- is an advantage then to expand the number or the
- 23 pore size even more, with a Vypro®, we have 3 to 4
- 24 millimeter. That has to be tested in the specific
 - condition where the device is used for the specific

1 has to consider a high structural stability. That

- means that you should avoid a collapse of these
- pores. That should -- we need textile structures
- that have the least amount of surface that is
- possible under consideration of the biomechanical
- situation, where it's placed, because every
- reduction of surface will reduce the possibility of
- bacteria to get attached to the surface. And even a
- reduction of the surface by 30 percent may be
- 10 beneficial to lower the risk for bacterial
- infection, as this device is used in a contaminated
- 12 field, in contrast to all these devices that are
- 13 used in the abdominal wall cavity.

14 So this is another point that should

be considered when looking to the optimum device.

If you're looking to the polymer, you have some more

options with the PVDF than with the polypropylene,

but it does not mean that it is excluded that -- or

19 the polypropylene mesh, you construct a device with

an acceptable risk or the best risk there. But,

again, with the PVDF you have more options to modify the textile construction to this.

23 The -- as Professor Williams pointed 24 out, every device has to consider the balance of its stretchability to the surrounding tissue, of course.

Page 394

Page 395

8

19

22

23

24

1

10

12

- 1 And every device has to withstand a minimum tensile 2 strength, because it is implanted as reinforcement
- 3 of the tissue, and, therefore, there -- it has to

withstand this.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

So these are, again, requirements a good mesh has to fulfill. And, of course, we need a low inflammatory reaction, acute, but as well

chronic. We need low tendency for migration,

9 erosion, integrating pain in scar formation. All

this has to be considered as well.

BY MR. BROWN:

O. Doctor, is it fair to say that all these considerations have to be taken in, but as you sit here, you can't go through each one of those characteristics and say, this is the precise weight, this is the precise pore size, this is the precise polymer; is that correct?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: It is correct that you 21 cannot give a certain figure and say, okay, we fit to this figure and the result will be excellent. It 22 23 is always a consideration of risk. Every textile construction is a compromise. It has to be a compromise, and you have to compare the risks

As in the past minutes, we tried

to -- or I tried to explain that there is not a

single value that can be defined as being optimum.

Page 397

But you have to consider it in the whole -- the

constellation of all conditions together to define

whether some of these things are optimum or not in an optimum shape.

> O. Then it might be --

9 A. Therefore, the question from you to ask me whether there is a mistake in the filament 11 obviously demonstrated that I failed to explain this to you. So, again, I would like to say that it is not possible to define the optimum size of the filament, and, therefore, it is not possible to say that a certain size of the filament is, per se, a mistake. The 87 microns, 86 microns, of the size of 86 microns used for the Prolift® can be acceptable in the consideration of all other conditions.

I think, Doctor, we're probably going to have to go back to my other question then, which is, in consideration of the Prolift® mesh as a whole, what characteristics do you find or have -strike that.

With the Prolift® mesh, as a whole, what characteristics do you find fault with?

Page 396

between different constructions or possibilities of 2 constructions.

3 BY MR. BROWN:

Doctor, can you go through each one of the characteristics on the Prolift® and identify where you find fault with the construction?

A. We can go through the report, page 1 and following.

Doctor, let me go ahead -- because I know you're trying to read through it. Let me see if I can ask some more specific questions and see if that helps you to answer the questions.

The problem for me, so that you understand it, is I have to extract those things.

So I just reflected whether it's the best way to go to the titles or to go to some paragraphs, but maybe it's a better option if you are putting one of these aspects and then we talk about this.

I just didn't want you to go back and relook all through your report. But let's try it that way and then I'll give you an opportunity to expand.

24 Doctor, do you find fault with the filament size of the Prolift®?

Page 398 So major. Let's start with some

major points. First is if you -- if you consider

that surface is critical for the risk for infection

and the risk for an overwhelming or an inappropriate

inflammation in the local surroundings, then you

have a certain surface in the Prolift®. If you

compare this with the Prolift+M®, which is reduced

in weight, you have a reduction in the surface with

9 the Prolift+M®.

> I think a reduced surface of a device is better and may decrease the risk for infection. Therefore, I believe that the Prolift® has a surface

which can be reduced at least to the level of Prolift+M®, and, therefore, that would mean a

reduction of 30 percent, so that may be followed by

a reduction of less bacterial adherence to the

17 surface. That should have been a point that should

18 have been investigated.

19 Of course, the surface depends on the 20 mechanical strain. You have to compensate with this design, and, therefore, you have, first of all, to

define the biomechanical requirements. And then

23 you're able and -- you're not able, but you're

forced to do so, to reduce the amount of material to

reduce the surface to the least level that is

Page 399

possible to fulfill these functional things.

2 Then you get an impression how much 3 surface you have, how much tensile strength, what

filaments you may need to fulfill this functional

5 task. And then you have to look to the pore size,

6 because this later on is followed by -- is

associated with clinical outcome and clinical

complications. And, therefore, you have then to

9 decide how to realize this functional demand. And

10 then you are coming to a textile construction

11 providing a pores. And then you have to consider

12 that this or at least part of the mesh has to

13 withstand some mechanical force, has to provide us

14 structural stability. This is the process that has 15 to be followed.

Doctor, I'm with you on the process. Q. Just tell me what's wrong with the Prolift® on those processes. Just include that in your answer.

Α. The Prolift® has too small pores. MR. ANDERSON: Too, T-O-O? THE WITNESS: (Witness nods head.)

22 Yeah, too. Yeah.

16

18

19

20

21

23 The pores of the Prolift® show collapsing under strain. Too much surface, too

small pores, structural instability. There are

Doctor, when you say the optimization of the mesh, does that mean that it's not cut in a manner that optimizes facilitating the pelvic organ

Page 401

Page 402

prolapse? Is that what you're saying?

5 Optimization, first of all, means optimization in regard to the risk of the patient.

Optimization for the textile structure, you have to

define, you have to be aware that a complex

structure and configuration of the Prolift® is with

the three-dimensional positioning in the tissue with

11 the arms there, that there are different strains for

12 the different parts of the meshes. It should be

assumed that it is like this. And, therefore, you 14 should provide a textile structure that reflects

these differences in the demands, always optimized

16 to the risk of the patient. 17

And when I am looking to the arms of 18 the Prolift®, then this is cut, just only cut from big piece of meshes, and the textile properties

differ in the arms every centimeter, because the 21 course of the wall fibers differ every centimeter.

So it is impossible to really -- yeah. It is

23 already impossible to define the elasticity of the

arm in what part. And to optimize it to the tissue

demands, it is impossible as well.

Page 400

2

5

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

1 other aspects that is this particle loss that is the

frizzling, if you cutted it. That is a disadvantage

3 if this appears. I know it from former times, the

Marlex® was an awful mesh, because you have a lot of

powder when you trimmed the mesh during the OR. So

this is a characteristic that should be avoided, not

7 to have these small particles in the area of the

8 wound.

9

10

11

12

15

16

I'm not sure, I don't know, I don't see sufficient information what is the bacterial adherence to this material in the pores, whether it can be optimized or not. And stretchability, in principle, the Prolift® mesh is done with -- as an extraction of a flat mesh. So there is no specific design for the arms of or the flat mesh area. There is no specific orientation of the fibers as well.

17 So I did not see any specific 18 optimization, which means not only optimization for 19 the manufacturer but optimization in regard to the 20 risk for the patient. I did not see any 21 optimization specific adaptation of the structure to

22 the needs that has been defined before. And this is 23 what I just referred, I find it exactly in a lot of

presentations by Ethicon people as well.

BY MR. BROWN:

1 Let me ask you this, Doctor. Q.

> Is the shape of the Prolift®, the way it's cut, is that in an optimal configuration to

prevent pelvic organ prolapse?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

By the way, he's not here as a

surgical expert to talk about whether or not it can

prevent pelvic organ prolapse. If you want to ask

him whether or not, as he just answered, whether or

not the textiles are designed in a manner which

could reduce complications, that's one thing. But

12 asking him if it's cut in a way that can prevent

pelvic organ prolapse, he's not here to answer that question. That's a urogyn question.

MR. BROWN: Let me ask you this, so that I'm clear on that. And this is you and I talking on this.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

19 MR. BROWN: But one of the adverse 20 effects that could be from pelvic organ prolapse for 21 mesh is recurrence. So that's a complication.

So are you saying that he's not here to talk about the complications of Prolift®?

MR. ANDERSON: No, not at all. I'm saying that he's not here to talk about whether or

Page 403 Page 405 1 not this design prevents pelvic organ prolapse or the notice of someone who said or some group of 2 whether it's the optimal design to prevent pelvic patients where they got their recurrence because the 3 organ prolapse. He can talk about whether it's the Prolift® ruptured in the center, okay, then I would optimal design in the tissue and the way that the say that is the course of this. And, therefore, I'm body will react to it and the way -- the still convinced that it is overengineered. I have 6 the concern that it is overengineered. biomechanics of it, all the things he's been 7 7 discussing. But, Doctor, you would say that it 8 And I think he just addressed that, has sufficient -- restate. Or strike that. 9 which was the position of the warped fibers and the 9 The Prolift® has enough force --10 elasticity and things. But to say that he's going 10 strike that again. I'm sorry. 11 to be an expert on whether or not this mesh helps 11 The Prolift® has enough strength to 12 prevent pelvic organ prolapse is quite different. 12 prevent pelvic organ prolapse. You'd agree with 13 13 MR. BROWN: Is he an expert that's that? 14 14 going to be able to talk about how the Prolift® MR. ANDERSON: Same objection, but go 15 causes complications like erosion in the pelvic ahead. 16 floor? 16 THE WITNESS: The difficulty is that 17 MR. ANDERSON: Sure. there are several reasons for getting a recurrence 18 MR. BROWN: I think that's a very of this. Again, I have to refer from our first 19 fine line -experience with the Marlex® mesh. Everyone who sees 20 the picture of an explanted Marlex® mesh knows a MR. ANDERSON: It is, but I want to 21 make sure that we understand that preventing pelvic strong scar plate. It is impossible to cutted it organ prolapse is different from whether or not the and to tear it off, impossible. However, these 22 23 23 mesh design and construction may lead to erosions. patients got recurrences at the neighborhood of 24 MR. BROWN: I disagree, but we can these textile structures. So the biggest scar 25 plate, the strongest mesh is not obligatory able to agree to disagree. Page 404 Page 406 prevent any recurrence. This is a too machinistic 1 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. 2 view of the things. MR. BROWN: Well, let me just -- can 3 you restate my question, please, Ann Marie? 3 BY MR. BROWN: 4 O. So you're not saying that the 5 (The court reporter read the Prolift® is not strong enough. 6 pertinent part of the record.) 6 Do you agree with that? 7 I agree that the Prolift® is not A. 8 8 very -- not strong enough to -- yeah. To prevent MR. ANDERSON: So same objection 9 9 about preventing pelvic organ prolapse. what? 10 10 THE WITNESS: The manifestation of a 0. Well, let me make sure you're hearing 11 recurrence depends from many different things. And 11 me right and we're saying the same thing, make sure 12 as it was said, I'm not an expert of these technical 12 it comes out clear. 13 things or the technical specificities, how to make Is that you're not saying that the 14 it, how to place it, and most of all, to find the Prolift® is too weak? You agree with that? 15 best indication for doing this one. But if you are For using as reinforcement in the 16 considering recurrence as a readout, there are 16 pelvic floor, it is not too weak, with double O. 17 17 several different definitions of recurrence. And I Yes. 18 18 never read, through all these articles, that one Q. 19 19 recurrence was done by a ruptured -- central rupture A. Yes, total agreement. 20 20 of a Prolift® mesh. Never again. So this confirms O. Let me ask you a couple questions 21 my impression that the Prolift® is considerably 21 about degradation. oversized, overengineered. 22 22 How do you define degradation? 23 23 BY MR. BROWN: Degradation, degradation is a loss of 24 24 integrity, I think, if these are the right terms. O. So, Doctor --25 A. So otherwise around, if I have had And usually it is seen with a degradable, absorbable

Page 407

- 1 material. There this is the term where it describes 2 that you have a loss of integrity with it sometime.
 - What does a nonabsorbable mesh fiber Q. look like that has been degraded?
- 5 MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
- 6 Go ahead.

3

4

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

11

12

14

15

16

18

- 7 THE WITNESS: That has been degraded?
- BY MR. BROWN: 9
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Yeah?

The first appearance that we have for 12 the degradation of a so-called nonabsorbable mesh material, that has been the polyester. There has been a polyester mesh explanted in the '90s where we saw a marked degradation, a complete degradation of the filament, broken down to hundreds of parts of small particles. This has been frequently published. So for polyester, we got this early experience by light microscopy. We later on noticed

- 19 that the different layers of the PTFE, mainly by
- 21 studies from Zimmermahar, from Ustritch. He first
- 22 showed in his experiments that the PTFE layers are
- 23 showing this degradation.

24 We believed, until the beginning of 25 the last decade, so 2000 ongoing for the next years,

then we got aware that maybe -- that there is a

- surface cracking after integration into the tissue.
- And we, I think last year, or last year,
- Klosterhalfen did -- made some electron microscopy
- from human explants and saw this cracking as well.
- And I know an image from the FEG where they made an

Page 409

Page 410

- electron microscopy from an explanted mesh material
- from a rat. And, interestingly, this material
- consists of a PVDF thread and a polypropylene thread
- in one. They have a product which contains a
- polypropylene thread. And if you're looking to this
- electron microscopy, you see a surface cracking on
- 13 the polypropylene fiber but not on the PVDF fiber.

So when I put all this together, I think the evidence that there is no degradation of the polypropylene threads in a mesh is considerably lowered.

- Q. All right, Doctor.
- 19 A. At least to say.
- 20 O. When did you come to the conclusion
- that there's a possibility that polypropylene might 21
- 22 degrade? What year?
- 23 A. What year? It has been -- I think we
- have been either in Nuoro or Nice. I do not
- remember the -- I always mix it up a little bit, but

Page 408

14

15

17

18

4

12

16

- we were convinced that polypropylene does not show
- these signs of degradation and had a lot of severe
- 3 discussions with the people from Covidien. They
- said always polypropylene is going to be degraded
- but polyester not. And so we always said, no,
- polypropylene is inert, it is stable, it does not
- 7 show these sorts of degradation. And it has been on
- 8 the market for 45 years and we don't know. So we
- 9 were convinced that polypropylene will not cause any 10 problems.

And then -- so about 2000, where when we started to think about PVDF, we got some information that it may be not like this. And then Clave comes up and Ramshaw comes up with their electron microscopy pictures.

And you have to know that all these 17 histological slides, the microscopy is not able to detect any different degradation, because usually in 19 these slides the mesh material is not seen. It is 20 removed by the cutting. So it is hardly possible to 21 see any degradation by light microscopy. You have to do some electron microscopy, which is expensive. 22 23 So, yeah.

24 But with the publication of Clave and

from the American group showing electron microscopy,

we have been on a conference of urogynecologists and

- where this data from Clave has been presented on
- this conference, 2008, 2009. 3
 - So sometime around 2008, 2009
- approximately is when you came to the conclusion
- that polypropylene might degrade; is that correct?
 - A. And that it is coming to be -- or is
- going to become a concern, yes.
- 9 And, Doctor, are you aware of some 10 polypropylenes having an antioxidant resin that's 11 mixed into the polypropylene?
 - Yes. A.
- 13 0. And does Ethicon's mesh have that antioxidant polypropylene resin mixed together?

15 MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Go ahead.

17 THE WITNESS: I've read it in the

documents. Usually we don't know whether there are

- 19 some additives that usually are added in very small
- amount of material, whether this is added. And
- usually the manufacturers are the people coming to
 - us and demonstrating their products, they don't know
- 23
- it. So we always try to get the information whether
- the polypropylene of Atrium or polypropylene of
- Bard, Marlex®, was different to that of Ethicon, but

9

- 1 we didn't -- we never got this information, so --
- ² but I know there has to be additives in the
- ³ polypropylene. To my knowledge, this is not
- 4 necessary for the PVDF. PVDF can be used as a pure
- 5 form.

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

14

- 6 BY MR. BROWN:
- Q. Doctor, I'm just talking aboutpolypropylene right now.
- 9 A. Yeah, just for the knowledge. But 10 for the polypropylene, I know there are several 11 additives.
 - Q. Now, Doctor, as a scientist, have you studied it to be able to come to the conclusion that a polypropylene does in fact degrade currently?
 - A. Does not or --
- Q. Does degrade. So I'll restate my sentence.

As a scientist, have you come to the conclusion that polypropylene degrades based upon your studies?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. It shows

signs of degradation. That is my current opinion tothis.

D.

Page 412

BY MR. BROWN:

- Q. And have you specifically studied that. Doctor?
- 4 A. We didn't initiate any systematic
- 5 investigation to look to this.
- Q. And, Doctor, do you remember on the
 Costello study that you cite in your paper, do you
- 8 remember that being a Bard mesh, a Kugel Composix?
- 9 A. I remember.
- Q. And in both Clave and Costello,
- 11 neither one of them show an Ethicon polypropylene
- mesh that is degraded; is that correct?

 A. We have to look. For this s
 - A. We have to look. For this specific question, we have to look to it.
- Q. Doctor, let me ask you this and then we might look at that article.

17 If the Clave and Costello articles do 18 not show that an Ethicon polypropylene mesh is 19 degraded, are you convinced today that an Ethicon

²⁰ polypropylene mesh can degrade?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
THE WITNESS: It is very clear if

23 they didn't really show that an Ethicon product of

24 polypropylene with some specific mixture does not

show a degradation, or if they didn't use this one,

you cannot argue that the degradation of an Ethicon

Page 413

Page 414

- 2 product is confirmed by these studies. That can be
- 3 said by this. But I've read in the documents that
- 4 when getting notice of this principle that
- 5 polypropylene, and in the '90s, polypropylene
- 6 generally has been regarded as being inert and not
- ⁷ substance for degradation, generally, not specific
- 8 for some additives or something like this.

So when the data coming up showing

that polypropylene, in some forms, ever show somesort of degradation, that should rise a certain

concern. And I've seen in some documents where

someone is saying it is just an artifact. And we

14 don't have -- think further on and make other

studies about it and look after it, because it is an

artifact and we did some other studies showingdifferent things.

18 I have objection to this procedure

19 there. So you may be right and it would be a good

 20 $\,$ thing if the Ethicon polypropylene products do not

show this degradation after incorporation, yeah.And I think it is quite necessary -- it is necessary

23 to make several electron microscopic investigations

and to demonstrate that you don't have this surface

and to demonstrate that you don't have this surface

²⁵ cracking at the surface of your products. This is

1 not -- it should not be required only for pelvic

2 floor, but for the guys with abdominal hernia, it

- 3 will be interesting to know as well whether the
- 4 Ultrapro® or the Prolene® shows some surface
- 5 cracking on the polypropylene part as well. This is
- 6 my opinion to this as a scientist.
- BY MR. BROWN:

11

15

16

17

18

19

- 8 Q. Doctor, do you agree that Ethicon
- 9 could rely upon your statements when you wrote them

that the polypropylene did not degrade?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: In what article, at

what contents, to what time period?

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Doctor, in 2004 you stated that polypropylene has no tendency to degrade.

Is that something that Ethicon could have relied upon?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: What do you mean by

rely on it? I do not understand this rely on it.

- Does it mean that they can say, because these people
- say it in their article, we can be sure that? Then
- this is obviously not justified, because we did not
 - 5 make own investigations to the polypropylene at that

Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 2760-7 Filed 04/27/16 Page 38 of 65 Page D #: 134678 Confidential Subject to Stipulation and Order of Confidentiality Page 415 Page 417 1 time point. I've told you, we relied, we relied on would have -- I would see some problems to correlate ² information from the manufacturer, from the this. But it is a concern on the longhand, and it gives or indicates the level of investigation. 3 companies, that polypropylene did not show this. 4 And at that time point, we didn't have, though we BY MR. BROWN: 5 5 looked, we didn't have in the literature indications Q. Doctor, I believe what you're saying 6 that it was different at that time point. And, is the -- you cannot say what degradation occurs therefore, this was mainly written in the might lead to a particular complication; is that introduction to show the differences to the correct? 9 polyester and to the PTFE. This sentence should 9 I cannot give a precise figure, A. 10 not -- if this sentence is used as a guarantee for a 10 either what type of complication or to what extent 11 manufacturer to use this product, this polymer, this 11 this surface cracking contributes to the up -- to would be a hazard, if I find the right word. the manifestation of a recurrence. If you have --13 13 BY MR. BROWN: if this will lead to an increased surface of 14 14 Doctor, if you look at your expert 30 percent after ten years, then if we have these 15 data, then it will be more easy to get a precise report on page 11. I'm looking, Doctor, in the

second full paragraph, where it says, "The clinical

17 implications." 18

A.

25

1

2

3

6

7

19

20 21 Do you see that? The third paragraph, "The clinical

19 A. implications of a degraded"? 20

21 Yes. Where it says, "The clinical 22 implications of a degraded, oxidized surface of" polypropylene "mesh fibers in human tissue are not 23 completely known."

Do you see that?

I see this.

16 risk assessment.

17 Q. And, Doctor, you don't have any data 18 today that says that the Ethicon polypropylene 19 increases its size by 30 percent in ten years, do 20 you?

> A. No, I don't have the data.

22 Q. Now, Doctor, in your report, you

23 identify what's called a barbed wire.

Do you see that?

Yeah. A.

Page 416

21

24

25

11

12

15

17

Page 418

Q. And so, Doctor, it's your opinion that today we do not know what the implications are of degraded polypropylene; is that correct?

5 MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: We did not fully know the clinical implications of this. I -- for my

8 understanding of many biological processes, I'm sure

9 this is a nonlinear process. Degradation of a

10 polymer is a nonlinear process. And this is true

11 for the degradable, where intentionally there has to

be a degradation, but it should be true for the 13 nonabsorbable materials as well. So nonlinear

process means that maybe sometimes in 20 years there

may be an explosion, there may be a complete 16 degradation, an exponential increase of surface in

17 this field, and then you have to consider what

18

happens there. If you have this exponential increase of surface maybe in 20 or 30 years, I cannot excluded it. But this is my concern in this. But 22 today it is right that in the moment, we don't have a full understanding what is the clinical relevance. 24 It would be too rough to correlate this surface

cracking to some specific complication there. I

Doctor, do you have any clinical Q. information that shows that the Ethicon polypropylene leads to a barbed wire? This barbed wire is a model. It is a

model on the cellular level. It is the consequence on the cellular level what happens. If you have an increased surface, if you have these -- if you look

to that very sharp edges at that area, this should lead to an activation of the cells that are attached

10 to it. On the clinical level, I don't have any

data.

And so you're saying the barbed wire is not the actual polypropylene but it's some kind of cellular structure?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: No, no. If you look through the electron microscopy, you can see the cracking in the surface, but this will lead to an 19 activation, to an irritation of the adjacent cells, because you always have to consider some movement, some motion in this area.

22 BY MR. BROWN:

23 And, Doctor, but there's no clinical 24 evidence at this point that Ethicon polypropylene leads to this barbed wire effect; is that correct?

1		tlo	
1	Page 419		Page 421
	A. There is no clinical study confirming	1	is impossible to find any bacteria.
2 th	is on the clinical level.	2	BY MR. BROWN:
3	Q. Doctor, you continue down a little	3	Q. Doctor, when you take a piece of
4 bi	t further, and you say that this degradation could	4	Prolift® out of the package, is it frayed to some
5 ca	nuse an increase in inflammatory response.	5	extent on the corners?
6	Do you see that?	6	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
7	MR. ANDERSON: The paragraph starting	7	THE WITNESS: When you take so
8 "F	Furthermore"? Is that where you are?	8	frayed means frizzled, sharp corners at the edge?
9	MR. BROWN: I mean generally.	9	MR. ANDERSON: You call it frizzled,
10	MR. ANDERSON: Oh.	10	we call it frayed.
11 B	Y MR. BROWN:	11	THE WITNESS: Frizzled? Frayed?
12	Q. Doctor, generally, are you talking	12	Yeah, there are some areas where you
13 ab	out that degradation could lead to an increased	13	have ends of filaments going to the border.
14 in	flammatory response in your expert report?	14	BY MR. BROWN:
15	A. Degradation, increased surface, leads	15	Q. Doctor, have you cut pieces of mesh
16 to	an intensified inflammation, yeah.	16	before for hernia repair, polypropylene meshes?
17	Q. Doctor, is there any clinical data	17	A. Yes.
18 th	at an Ethicon polypropylene increases its size and	18	Q. Doctor, are you aware of the places
	ads to an increase in inflammatory reaction?	19	where you cut the polypropylene, that that has
20	A. Not to my knowledge.	20	caused an increased inflammatory reaction?
21	Q. Doctor, if we look to the it's two	21	A. Again, please?
22 pa	aragraphs down where it says "Finally, bacteria."	22	Q. Sure.
23	Do you see that section?	23	Where you have cut a polypropylene
24	A. Uh-huh.	24	mesh and placed it in the abdomen for a hernia
25	Q. Doctor, do you have any clinical data	25	repair, are you aware of it leading to increased
	<u> </u>	1	8
	Page 420		Page 422
	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded	1	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh?
2 m	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection?	2	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that
2 m	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in		inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different
2 m 3 4 th	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable	2 3 4	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable oncern. So there is general a concern, or this is	2	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences,
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a s	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable oncern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is	2 3 4	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable oncern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is not good evidence that this is related to the	2 3 4 5	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot.
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is unite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is	2 3 4 5 6	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded sesh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in see presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is suite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface	2 3 4 5 6 7	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is unite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface racking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a 7 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is unite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a : 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dd	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is unite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface racking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is uite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a pecific study showing if Prolift® shows this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response.
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a 3 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is uite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a pecific study showing if Prolift® shows this egradation. But I didn't see any study excluding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a 3 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is uite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a pecific study showing if Prolift® shows this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response.
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de 15 th	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is uite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this egradation. But I didn't see any study excluding is, this potential risk for the patient, so Q. Doctor, I want to get you out of here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is hard to be verified in clinical studies or even in
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de 15 th	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is unite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface racking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this regradation. But I didn't see any study excluding its, this potential risk for the patient, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de 15 th	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is uite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this egradation. But I didn't see any study excluding is, this potential risk for the patient, so Q. Doctor, I want to get you out of here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is hard to be verified in clinical studies or even in
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dd 13 sp 14 de 15 th 16 17 by 18	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is uite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this egradation. But I didn't see any study excluding its, this potential risk for the patient, so Q. Doctor, I want to get you out of here to 5:00. Okay? So I just want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is hard to be verified in clinical studies or even in experimental studies. However, if there is good
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de 15 th 16 17 by 18	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is note good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface racking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this regradation. But I didn't see any study excluding its, this potential risk for the patient, so Q. Doctor, I want to get you out of here to you out of here you out, is, is there any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is hard to be verified in clinical studies or even in experimental studies. However, if there is good evidence that the degree of tissue inflammation
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de 15 th 16 17 by 18	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is unite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this the egradation. But I didn't see any study excluding this, this potential risk for the patient, so Q. Doctor, I want to get you out of here by 5:00. Okay? So I just want to My question to you is, is there any inical data that shows that bacteria gets in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is hard to be verified in clinical studies or even in experimental studies. However, if there is good evidence that the degree of tissue inflammation depends on the surface to the foreign body. And the
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de 15 th 16 17 by 18 19 cl 20 de	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is uite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface eacking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this this potential risk for the patient, so Q. Doctor, I want to get you out of here by 5:00. Okay? So I just want to My question to you is, is there any inical data that shows that bacteria gets in the egraded mesh and leads to infection?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	inflammation where you cut it, the mesh? A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is hard to be verified in clinical studies or even in experimental studies. However, if there is good evidence that the degree of tissue inflammation depends on the surface to the foreign body. And the higher the surface and the more foreign bodies, the
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dd 13 sp 14 de 15 th 16 17 by 18 19 cl 20 de 21	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is unite good evidence that this is related to the urface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface racking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this regradation. But I didn't see any study excluding is, this potential risk for the patient, so Q. Doctor, I want to get you out of here y 5:00. Okay? So I just want to My question to you is, is there any inical data that shows that bacteria gets in the regraded mesh and leads to infection? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is hard to be verified in clinical studies or even in experimental studies. However, if there is good evidence that the degree of tissue inflammation depends on the surface to the foreign body. And the higher the intensity of the inflammation and
2 m 3 4 th 5 cc 6 a: 7 qu 8 su 9 w 10 cr 11 ar 12 dc 13 sp 14 de 15 th 16 17 by 18 19 cl 20 de 21 22 23	at bacteria can get into the cracks of degraded esh and increase the risk of infection? A. I know that the risk for infection in the presence of a biomaterial is a considerable concern. So there is general a concern, or this is major complication in this field, and there is unite good evidence that this is related to the arface of the material. As I tried to point out is the have very little information about surface racking of Ethicon polypropylene products. There are few investigations, few images of it. And I con't yeah. I don't remember whether there is a precific study showing if Prolift® shows this regradation. But I didn't see any study excluding his, this potential risk for the patient, so Q. Doctor, I want to get you out of here to 5:00. Okay? So I just want to My question to you is, is there any inical data that shows that bacteria gets in the regraded mesh and leads to infection? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. We are aware that we have that there are differences between the different materials, whether they made this this creates this particle when trimming. There are differences, so it depends from the textile structures whether you have a lot and whether you don't have a lot. And our experience was that the old Marlex® mesh showed an extreme production of these small particles in the OR field. Of course, we didn't made a revision operation after two weeks or just could relate it to this particle loss in these patients if they got some inflammatory response. So the reduction of the inflammation to this to these particles alone is hardly is hard to be verified in clinical studies or even in experimental studies. However, if there is good evidence that the degree of tissue inflammation depends on the surface to the foreign body. And the higher the surface and the more foreign bodies, the higher the intensity of the inflammation and fibrosis there. But there is no absolute level.

Page 423 Page 425 inflammatory reaction? of your trimming there. 2 2 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. So there are mesh configurations that 3 produce only little fraying, and there is others Go ahead. 4 THE WITNESS: For the tissue or -that produce more of them. And this is -- I know 5 there is no specific -- there are no specific data, this is investigated by Ethicon as well, and, 6 to my knowledge, that are able to separate the therefore, they switch to laser cutting of the mesh overall tissue reaction, the effect to the material instead of mechanical. But in the OR, you don't and to these lost particles by cutting through, have the laser to cut it and to trim it, and, 9 which is -- I don't know any study which is able to therefore, you should be aware that this happens and 10 separate these effects. you should try to control the amount of fraying that 11 BY MR. BROWN: 11 may occur after -- when trimming the mesh. 12 12 O. Doctor, when you place a piece of Doctor, when you have a pore and then mesh in an animal, you cut the mesh and then place you go and you cut that pore and it's got a little 13 14 it in the animal. Correct? 14 piece of that fiber sticking out, is that what 15 Usually that is not right. In most 15 you're saying is fraying? 16 of the studies, with Ethicon as well, we got the 16 It is -- yeah. This fraying consists of different particles. Some are some small mesh materials presized or pretrimmed or in the definitive configuration, because then they were particles spreading out from the polymer and some 19 packed for experimental use in the appropriate size, 19 others are the remaining fibers which are cut 20 20 and then they went to the sterilization. So in most through and then lost. 21 21 of our experimental studies, we did not trim it When you take a piece of Prolift® 22 during the OR. 22 right out of the package, is there any fraying on 23 23 the side of the Prolift® mesh as it comes out of the Doctor, did you ever trim a piece of mesh, an Ethicon polypropylene mesh, and place it in package? 25 25 an animal? A. This is written in his report there Page 424 Page 426 I do not remember if in any of these that there are some -- always there are some small 1 experiments it was necessary, because, as I said, we particles. 3 always got it packed in 2 to 3 centimeters sample So when you got it precut and sent to size there. you and placed in animals, it would have already had 5 O. Well, Doctor, if it comes already fraying on it. Correct? Let me restate that. frayed and frizzled, as you've stated when you When you got mesh that was sent to 7 implanted it, it would be frayed and frizzled. you precut, then it would have already had some 8 Correct? 8 fraying. Correct? 9 9 A. Please explain frayed and frizzled, It may. But it depends from the 10 what you mean, in detail. 10 textile you have. There are some without and some 11 Well, I want to make sure that I'm when it has a very firm linkage, then it does not tend to lose so much material. And, therefore, it 12 using your words correctly. And you talk about -if you look on page 47 of your expert report. may be that you have a mesh that has only very 14 Do you see on the top it says 14 little amount of fraying. 15 15 "Fraying"? Do you see that heading, Doctor? Doctor, for the Prolene® Soft Mesh 16 A. 16 when it comes to you precut, there's going to be Fraying, yeah. 17 17 What do you mean by fraying? Q. some fraying. 18 18 Fraying is a -- what we have been --Is that what you're saying? 19 19 learned from the Marlex® mesh, that you have a --A. There is some fraying. 20 20 several small particles that appear during -- maybe And when you place that in an animal 21 appear during the manufacturing process but which of 21 and tested it, can't you look to see if there's a higher inflammatory response on the edges? 22 course occur when you trim the mesh, because you 23 23 have to cut the linkage of the textile. And it To investigate whether the fraying 24 depends from the textile structure and from the 24 has an effect there, then you would have to make

linkings how much of this fraying will be the result

another experiment then. You have to compare the

$\overline{}$	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		
	Page 427		Page 429
1	Prolift® without fraying and then add some fraying	1	separate this clearly.
2	on it and then look what is the biological	2	BY MR. BROWN:
3	consequences for this. This is the fraying.	3	Q. Doctor, are you saying that there is
4	The frizzling, this sharp edges at	4	no preclinical or clinical studies that shows that
5	the border of it, there are some devices which	5	the Prolene® Soft Mesh where it frays elicits a
6	closed the border by just putting in some filaments	6	higher inflammatory response?
7	so that you don't have these sharps edges there.	7	MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and
8	This may be an alternative. You can do some testing	8	answered.
9	comparing these two, but this mainly depends from	9	Go ahead.
10	the mobility of the tissue. So sharp edges in a	10	THE WITNESS: I am not sure whether I
11	tissue which does not show this mobility will not do	11	get all these combinations in your sentences right.
12	likely so much harm as in an area where you have a	12	BY MR. BROWN:
13	lot of mobility.	13	Q. Do you have any clinical or
14	Q. Doctor, can't you compare, in the	14	preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of
15	middle of the mesh where there's not any fraying to	15	the Prolene® Soft Mesh increases the inflammatory
16	the corners of the mesh and decide if there's higher	16	response?
17	inflammatory response where the fraying is	17	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
18	occurring?	18	Go ahead.
19	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	19	THE WITNESS: As I have said, I have
20	Go ahead.	20	no data that identifies the separate impact of the
21	THE WITNESS: It is very difficult,	21	fraying to the inflammation.
22	yeah. You may have a look to it, but this would	22	BY MR. BROWN:
23	interfere with the surgical trauma, which is	23	Q. Okay.
24	different to the sides as to the middle. This	24	A. Neither clinical or preclinical.
25	depends of the shearing stress. So if you have in	25	MR. BROWN: I know we've been going
	Page 428		Page 430
1	and the second s	1	
1	the tissue that is without mobility, then you have	1	for a while, so let's take a break.
1 2	another thing. It depends from the tensile	2	for a while, so let's take a break. MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good.
	• • •		
2	another thing. It depends from the tensile	2	
2 3	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures,	2 3	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good.
2 3 4	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction	2 3 4	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to
2 3 4 5	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there	2 3 4 5	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to
2 3 4 5 6	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a potential inflammatory reaction, is it because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a potential inflammatory reaction, is it because of the increased surface or is it because of potential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, inflammation/infection is a clinical concern based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a potential inflammatory reaction, is it because of the increased surface or is it because of potential particles that come off the mesh fiber?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, inflammation/infection is a clinical concern based on clinical complications, and I don't know any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a potential inflammatory reaction, is it because of the increased surface or is it because of potential particles that come off the mesh fiber? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, inflammation/infection is a clinical concern based on clinical complications, and I don't know any study that can differentiate the impact of fraying,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a potential inflammatory reaction, is it because of the increased surface or is it because of potential particles that come off the mesh fiber? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, inflammation/infection is a clinical concern based on clinical complications, and I don't know any study that can differentiate the impact of fraying, particle loss, surface, to separate all these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a potential inflammatory reaction, is it because of the increased surface or is it because of potential particles that come off the mesh fiber? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: When there is a release
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, inflammation/infection is a clinical concern based on clinical complications, and I don't know any study that can differentiate the impact of fraying, particle loss, surface, to separate all these different impacts. I don't know any possibility to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a potential inflammatory reaction, is it because of the increased surface or is it because of potential particles that come off the mesh fiber? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: When there is a release of particles going to be separated from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	another thing. It depends from the tensile strength. If you have a collapse of structures, then you have an intensified inflammatory reaction in the middle, not at the border. So, yeah, there may be or I have no problems to design several experiments studying this phenomenon and the relevance for the biological outcome. I have no problems to make this design. But I'm, again, not a manufacturer. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And, Doctor, let me ask you this. Do you have any clinical studies or preclinical studies that shows that the fraying of the Prolene® Soft Mesh elicits a higher inflammatory response? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, inflammation/infection is a clinical concern based on clinical complications, and I don't know any study that can differentiate the impact of fraying, particle loss, surface, to separate all these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good. (A recess was taken from 2:27 p.m. to 2:42 p.m.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me go back to degradation just for a little bit. Is it your understanding that if a mesh does degrade, that some free particles could come off of the mesh fiber? A. Degradation, yeah, means or will offer the option to that some particles are separated from the filament and, therefore, means increase of surface. Q. So when you're concerned about a potential inflammatory reaction, is it because of the increased surface or is it because of potential particles that come off the mesh fiber? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: When there is a release

Page 431 1 And the local inflammatory reaction so far I studies or preclinical that show particles coming understand is influenced by the surface in general, from the Prolift® mesh as a result of degradation 3 leading to increased inflammation? as well as the relative movements of particles to 4 this tissue. And all together, this -- a There are many, many limitations that 5 considerable -- this balance of the mobility there makes it impossible to create a causal chain between and enhanced surface that has to be considered as a degradation particle loss and inflammation. But risk factor and not as a beneficial aspect. taken all together, the increase of surface of a BY MR. BROWN: foreign body reaction in a given area of the tissue 9 has to be considered as a risk and not as a O. Doctor, are you aware of any clinical 10 data on the Prolift® allowing any free particles to 10 beneficial aspect. 11 come off the mesh and elicit a higher inflammatory 11 0. And as we said earlier, there's no 12 12 response? clinical data that the Prolift® mesh surface 13 13 increases; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 14 14 Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 15 15 THE WITNESS: I know that there is a Go ahead. release of particles when trimming the Prolift®, not 16 THE WITNESS: I can just repeat my only from our investigations, but from the documents last sentence. There is no clinical data that is --18 from Ethicon themselves. To my knowledge -which is able to demonstrate a causal chain between 19 BY MR. BROWN: one certain point and the other. 20 20 BY MR. BROWN: Doctor, do you understand my 21 21 question? And I'll ask you about the trimming. Q. Doctor, are there -- strike that for 22 A. 22 a second. Yeah, yeah. 23 23 O. But mine is just about particles that Dr. Mang, when he tested the Prolift® may come from degradation. mesh, did he use some kind of device to see what 25 Do you want me to restate my kind of particles would come off the Prolift®? Page 432 Page 434 A. 1 question? 1 Again, Dr. Muhl? 2 2 MR. ANDERSON: Dr. Muhl. My question was, are there any THE WITNESS: Dr. Muhl. 3 particles -- scratch that. 3 4 Are you aware of any particles that BY MR. BROWN: come from degradation that lead to an increased 5 O. Dr. Mung. 6 inflammatory response for the Prolift®? A. You are talking about the study of 7 I have insufficient data to say Dr. Mung? 8 8 Q. how -- about the degradation of the Prolift® as seen Yes. 9 9 in the electron microscopy. I know from the A. So please again with --10 10 records, from the documents, that there have been 0. Yes. 11 these investigations, but I did not have the 11 Did Dr. Mung use a utensil or device 12 to see if particles would come off the Prolift® out 12 opportunity to have a look to this. And, therefore, 13 I'm not able to estimate what may be the amount of 13 of the package? particles that can be separated or can be released 14 A. We have been sitting together and by this degradation process. But considering all of writing a protocol to see or to separate several the literature and all my knowledge, I cannot steps which may be interesting to know whether this 17 17 imagine any beneficial effect of it. creates some particles or which eases the release of 18 But at this time, you're not aware of some particles. And there we defined several time 19 there being any particles coming from the periods to look after these time periods and put all 20 polypropylene mesh that leads to this inflammatory this together in an experimental setting. So we can 21 response, this heightened inflammatory response; is go to -- through this experimental setting and to 22 the data in detail, but then we should do it with that correct? 23 23 I can restate the question. I know the paper. 24 it's loud. 24 Q. Well, I'm speaking more on the -- you 25 Doctor, are there any clinical reviewed the expert report of Dr. Mung; is that

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		
	Page 435		Page 437
1	right?	1	pelvic floor leads to complications?
2	A. Yeah.	2	A. I'm aware of many, many preclinical
3	Q. And Dr. Mung's expert report have	3	studies showing that increased surface is associated
4	you reviewed Dr. Mung's expert report?	4	with increased inflammation of the tissue around,
5	A. I've read it.	5	but I've I'm not aware of a specific
6	Q. And did Dr. Mung use a device to make	6	investigation looking for the Prolift® and the
7	contact with the Prolift® to see if particles would	7	amount of particles around there. Yeah.
8	come off the Prolift®?	8	Q. Doctor, do other meshes shed these
9	MR. ANDERSON: He just said he'd like	9	particles?
10	to see it.	10	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
11	BY MR. BROWN:	11	Go ahead.
12	Q. Do you know if he did that or not?	12	THE WITNESS: We did not make a
13	MR. ANDERSON: Again, he said he'd	13	systematic analysis of all meshes available, about
14	like to see it.	14	the quantity of particulate release after before
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we have to go to	15	trimming and after trimming. I know from my
16	the paperwork. I know that it was very many	16	experience that the Marlex® mesh was an extreme bad
17	different steps to look whether there was one or to	17	example of releasing a lot of these particles, that
18	objectify whether there was a particle loss or not.	18	it was not so evidence for the clinician during the
19	So many details, then we should go line by line in	19	OR for the Vypro® and for the Ultrapro®. It is not
20	the protocol and then we can see it.	20	like this.
21	BY MR. BROWN:	21	I know from the literature studies
22	Q. Doctor, I'm just asking you what you	22	about slings that there are differences in between
23	remember as you sit here today.	23	the various structures. So, yeah, there it
24	And so do you remember particles	24	depends from the textile structure mainly the degree
25	coming from Dr. Mung's test?	25	of particle release.
	Page 436		Page 438
1	Page 436 MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in	1	Page 438 BY MR. BROWN:
1 2	Page 436 MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness	1 2	BY MR. BROWN:
	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in		BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see
2	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness	2	BY MR. BROWN:
2 3	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're	2 3	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh?
2 3 4	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows.	2 3 4	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which
2 3 4 5	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness	2 3 4 5	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him.	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be released by some gentle forces there. And then he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not realize or remember directly the figures, how much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be released by some gentle forces there. And then he looked what can be released by some, additionally, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not realize or remember directly the figures, how much particle loss has to be considered with a DynaMesh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be released by some gentle forces there. And then he looked what can be released by some, additionally, I think hydrosonic has been applied there, and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not realize or remember directly the figures, how much particle loss has to be considered with a DynaMesh structure there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be released by some gentle forces there. And then he looked what can be released by some, additionally, I think hydrosonic has been applied there, and then what is done by some cutting of 1 or 2 centimeters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not realize or remember directly the figures, how much particle loss has to be considered with a DynaMesh structure there. Q. Doctor, if you look at page 43 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be released by some gentle forces there. And then he looked what can be released by some, additionally, I think hydrosonic has been applied there, and then what is done by some cutting of 1 or 2 centimeters. It just yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not realize or remember directly the figures, how much particle loss has to be considered with a DynaMesh structure there. Q. Doctor, if you look at page 43 of your report, it actually starts 42.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be released by some gentle forces there. And then he looked what can be released by some, additionally, I think hydrosonic has been applied there, and then what is done by some cutting of 1 or 2 centimeters. It just yeah. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not realize or remember directly the figures, how much particle loss has to be considered with a DynaMesh structure there. Q. Doctor, if you look at page 43 of your report, it actually starts 42. If you look on page 43, Doctor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be released by some gentle forces there. And then he looked what can be released by some, additionally, I think hydrosonic has been applied there, and then what is done by some cutting of 1 or 2 centimeters. It just yeah. BY MR. BROWN: Q. And are you aware of any clinical or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not realize or remember directly the figures, how much particle loss has to be considered with a DynaMesh structure there. Q. Doctor, if you look at page 43 of your report, it actually starts 42. If you look on page 43, Doctor? A. I'm looking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ANDERSON: Again, I think in fairness MR. BROWN: Ben, I know what you're asking, but I'm asking him what he knows. MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in fairness to him, you should provide it to him. MR. BROWN: If you want to make it across the litigation that every time we ask a question, that we have to show the document MR. ANDERSON: If he asked to see it? MR. BROWN: we'll be glad to do so. You can ask your counterpart about that. THE WITNESS: As I remember correctly, it's some time ago, then some was just to look what has been collected in the package, and some has been by some instrument to look what can be released by some gentle forces there. And then he looked what can be released by some, additionally, I think hydrosonic has been applied there, and then what is done by some cutting of 1 or 2 centimeters. It just yeah. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. BROWN: Q. What meshes have you tested to see what kind of particles come from that mesh? A. We can look to the report which meshes are there. MR. ANDERSON: Did you say which he studied or which have been studied? I missed that, I'm sorry. MR. BROWN: What Dr. Klinge has studied, which ones he has studied. THE WITNESS: So this report is on Prolift® and Prolift+M®. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, do you know if there's ever been any studies on whether PVDF sheds particles? A. I'm not sure, because I did not realize or remember directly the figures, how much particle loss has to be considered with a DynaMesh structure there. Q. Doctor, if you look at page 43 of your report, it actually starts 42. If you look on page 43, Doctor?

Page 439 Page 441 1 A. Second -- third, "Furthermore this 1 access, then you can decrease the incidence of 2 study clearly shows." infections with the laparoscopic access in 3 comparison to the open one, and to make a Q. Doctor, when you state, 4 "contamination has to be considered as a rule when transvaginal approach, bias the risk for bacterial 5 using meshes in the pelvic floor," why do you state 5 contamination if you use a mesh. 6 that? 6 Are you saying abscess or what --7 7 A. I've learned from the beginning of my MR. ANDERSON: Access, access. 8 surgical career that the presence of bacteria in THE WITNESS: The access or the 9 combination with a foreign body is a concern and approach. 10 that you should avoid it and that you should be very MR. ANDERSON: To access. 11 careful not to use foreign bodies in the presence of 11 BY MR. BROWN: 12 12 bacteria, despite -- and that you should use So why does the access in the pelvic O. 13 floor lead to higher contamination, in your opinion? 13 prophylactic antibiotics even in clean wounds if you 14 are placing an -- a foreign body. And still today, MR. ANDERSON: Asked and answered. 15 there is a controversial discussion whether it's Go ahead. 16 justified to use or to implant a mesh in the 16 BY MR. BROWN: 17 abdominal cavity after damage of thin bowels and 17 What about accessing through the 18 thick bowels. pelvic floor leads to higher contamination for the 19 The general opinion is that in cases 19 mesh? 20 20 of severe contamination, that means already the A. No. The transvaginal approach to place the mesh means that you have a risk for 21 damage of the thick bowel, where you have a lot of 21 22 bacteria, that you should stop to use a textile carrying bacterias into the wound. And this is 23 confirmed by the studies looking to bacteria at the 23 implant at the same operation, but you have to wait 24 for it. 24 mesh surface. 25 25 So our experience, my experience and O. Do you have a risk of having bacteria Page 440 Page 442 1 I would say the experience of the surgical when you place it in -- or place the mesh in community, is to be very, very resistant or very abdominally as well? limited use of foreign body materials in combination You always have a risk with a foreign with some contamination in that field. That is what body, but you have to reduce it at maximum. And for 5 I've taught, what I can say for abdominal surgery. abdominal wall, it is reduced, first of all, by 6 And -getting or optimizing the indication. Second, by 7 O. Doctor, you know my question was optimizing the access --8 limited to pelvic floor, though. 8 MR. ANDERSON: The access. 9 9 At the beginning, I was really THE WITNESS: -- the way to bring it 10 surprised about the use of pelvic floor meshes by 10 in. And these are the options to do so. 11 this approach. And this concern is confirmed by 11 BY MR. BROWN: 12 Doctor, would you agree that the mesh 12 this study where they looked to the bacterial construction for Prolift® has sufficient -- scratch 13 contamination of these meshes. And, therefore, I 14 hope I write it correctly, that in contrast to the 14 that. 15 use of meshes in the abdominal wall, contamination Would you agree that the mesh --16 is to be considered as a rule. This is a much 16 scratch that one more time. 17 17 higher risk than I would assume for the abdominal Would you agree that the Prolift® as wall, for the implantation in the abdominal wall. it's constructed has sufficiently large pores to 19 The contamination with bacteria is a more important 19 allow the body to clean out bacteria that might 20 20 become on it? concern than in the abdominal wall. 21 What causes the increased 21 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. O. 22 22 contamination in the pelvic floor, Doctor? Go ahead. 23 THE WITNESS: No, I think it is not 23 To my knowledge, it is the access. 24 We have similar experiences in our sort of surgery sufficient pore size to clean out, not because of

that we even -- if you compare laparoscopic and open

the reason that it is impossible for macrophages to

Page 443 Page 445 1 reach these bacterias, but we know from many of our 1 to summarize or to come to a final point where 2 this -- how often this infection occurs. studies that the function of the macrophages is 3 impaired in the neighborhood of foreign bodies if 3 If we just look to the subgroup of 4 there is a present bacterial infection. So the meshes that has been explanted because of infection 5 defense capability of the macrophages cleaning, what from Professor Klosterhalfen, the median interval of 6 you say cleaning the body from the bacteria, is explantation is two years. And if I remember reduced, and that is -- that makes the tremendous correctly, there has been a huge study from the US risk. If you have an infected foreign body, if you veteran hospitals, I guess about more than 1,000 9 have an infected mesh, everyone knows that it is mesh operations for incisional hernia. And they 10 hard to get control of this infection, if there are reported similarly that it is a delay of two years. 11 only some risks of this mesh remain in the tissue. So you have to consider that there is a lifelong 12 So cleaning of an infection, though I know some risk for manifestation of infection. And, 13 report that they can treat a mesh infection just by therefore, it is hard for me to say what is the 14 waiting, very many reports confirmed that it is very 14 incidence of it, at what time point. 15 difficult to get control of a mesh infection. 15 Doctor, are you familiar with the 16 BY MR. BROWN: 16 Cosson study where he did a three-year study and 17 17 O. Is that a Prolift® mesh, Doctor? found less than 1 percent of infection? 18 18 A. That is -- there are reports about A. Am I -- yeah, I remember. 19 19 severe mesh infections for the Prolift®, but for all O. If mesh contamination is the rule, 20 20 other meshes as well. It is a general experience how do you explain infection rates of around even 1, 21 2 and 3 percent if the Prolift® is not constructed 21 for all -- I would say for all surgical fields, that 22 the control of an infection in the presence of a 22 in such a way where the body can't clean it out? 23 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 23 foreign body requires the removal of the foreign 24 body. 24 Go ahead. 25 25 O. So, Doctor, it's your testimony that THE WITNESS: The simple reason is Page 444 Page 446 the Prolift® is constructed in such a way that when 1 that we don't have to treat -- we are not treating bacteria gets on it, it usually needs to be removed? standardized patients with -- which are healthy. 3 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. And you add some surgical trauma to it. You have to 4 consider that you have females of different ages, Go ahead. 5 THE WITNESS: For most of the different co-morbidities, that maybe some of them 6 clinical experience, it is necessary to remove. have an impaired immunological defense capacity. So 7 But, however, it depends from the presence of this maybe in the very young patients, in the healthy 8 patients, you have a very, very low infection risk; infection whether it is surrounded by bacterial 9 liquid or whether it's just a short edge which may but if you have an additional risk by increased 10 be not covered any longer by some tissues. So, of 10 surface, increased number of bacteria, specific 11 course, because of our difficulties to remove a 11 strain of bacteria as well, it may occur, and 12 mesh, and in particularly to remove the Prolift®, obviously it occurred, that in some patients, there 13 therefore, we usually try to make a conservative are some infections, there manifests some 14 treatment to heal it out. But very often, it does infections, though in many others, in the period you 15 not work. follow up, you didn't see it. But maybe you just 16 16 BY MR. BROWN: have to wait. 17 17 BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, what are the infection rates 18 18 for the Prolift®? Doctor, is it your testimony that 19 19 There are figures, if I remember contamination is the rule for meshes placed through correctly, but we can go to the FDA report or to the vagina and that the Prolift® doesn't allow look there. But if I am -- remember correctly, it's itself to be cleared out with infection and only has

23

24

critical point is that you have to wait a long time

Golkow Technologies, Inc. - 1.877.370.DEPS

22 about maybe 3 to 5 percent in some infection, but it

23 is difficult to separate this from erosion, local, 24 which is a local infection as well. And the

infection rates of 1, 2 and 3 percent?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: It's a chain of

different -- of various statements there in your

Page 447 Page 449 1 sentence. 1 increase the risk for a specific -- for a population BY MR. BROWN: 2 of patients for making or for getting an infection. 3 And I believe that an optimum procedure and an Q. Do you want me to restate it, Doctor? 4 Here's what I want to know. optimum device will have no infection. 5 Is it your testimony that if BY MR. BROWN: contamination is the rule and the Prolift® cannot 6 6 What is an optimum device that 7 clean out -prevents infection? 8 8 First part, can we do it in parts? We told already or we talked about A. A. 9 already that it's difficult to find the best device. 0. Let me ask you this. 10 Is contamination the rule when But from the point of the view of a patient and from 11 placing a mesh in pelvic floor repair? the point of the view of a surgeon, I want to have a 12 A rule if you mean 100 percent, that device which, even with the risk of contamination, 13 I'm not sure to do so. does not lead to a single infection there, because 14 Q. Most of the time? 14 the risk of any revision is considerable. 15 15 But as the studies indicate that it And is there any device, Doctor, on is a considerable risk, which is different to other 16 the market today that prevents any infection? 17 fields of surgery. So there is a specific risk MR. ANDERSON: Any mesh device? 18 18 because of this contamination in -- which has to be MR. BROWN: Any mesh device. 19 19 considered, yes. THE WITNESS: Which prevents -- it's 20 Doctor, if contamination is the rule O. a difficult topic whether there is some which and the Prolift® mesh doesn't allow itself to be 21 prevents it. But the history is clear, there has cleared out from the body, what kind of infection been removed some of the devices because of the 22 rates would you expect to see? 23 23 problem for infection. There has been some devices 24 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. that has been used in the pelvic floor that have 25 Go ahead. been removed, mainly, so far I remember, because of Page 448 Page 450 THE WITNESS: I don't know any mesh infection. And, therefore, we are sure and we know 1 that is able to clear themself by some bacteria. that this risk for manifest infection is influenced by the quality of the structure of the device. Yes. 3 BY MR. BROWN: BY MR. BROWN: 4 O. Allow the mesh to clear itself? 5 MR. ANDERSON: Same objection. 5 My question is, is there a device, a O. 6 BY MR. BROWN: mesh device, that's out there today that prevents 7 Allow the body to clear the infection infection? O. 8 8 if the infection is on the mesh? A. Obviously there are meshes or 9 9 MR. ANDERSON: Same objection. structures that are better than others. 10 10 BY MR. BROWN: 0. But are there any that there's no 11 Let me restate it so I have got one 11 infection as a result of that mesh? 12 12 question out there, and then you can answer it. You cannot answer this, because 13 If contamination for mesh put in infection may have several different reasons, and 14 pelvic floor is the rule, what would you expect the only -- and some parts of it are affected by the 15 infection rate to be for the Prolift® mesh when it's 15 structures but not all. 16 16 constructed in such a way that it does not allow the Q. Can you --17 17 body to clear it out, the infection? There are infections even without any 18 18 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. mesh material. And, of course, this cannot be 19 Go ahead. 19 affected by the best material if it's not used. 20 20 THE WITNESS: I do not expect, or I Can you point to a mesh today for know that it is not -- contamination of one bacteria 21 pelvic floor repair or hernia repair that has no 21 of a surface usually is not enough to create an 22 risk of infection? 23 infection, but the persistence of these or the 23 There is no procedure in medicine in number of bacterias that get attached to this, the 24 general, in all fields, that has no risk for surface, the type of bacterias there, they will infection.

Page 451 Page 453 1 Q. Doctor, what mesh construction do you but go ahead and read back my question. believe is out there today that is better than the 3 3 Prolift® to prevent infection? (The court reporter read the 4 Maybe Prolift+M®, because it has a 4 pertinent part of the record.) 5 5 reduced surface. But I'm -- as I said, there is --6 6 to my knowledge, there is no competitive study to MR. ANDERSON: Same objection, asked show in clinical trials that one is superior to the and answered. other in regard to the infection. And it is very 8 Go ahead. 9 9 THE WITNESS: No, I do not have a -difficult to make these clinical trials and to look 10 to it, because you have to wait for 10, 15 years. I did not find in the literature a study which 11 However, all the preclinical studies addresses the differences in the attachment of we did, they clearly indicate, and I have no doubts bacteria to the different surface and whether the reduced surface of the Prolift+M® is related to a to this, that the risk for infection is affected by 14 the surface size and the degree of the contamination reduced attachment of bacteria and later on will 15 and the type of the germs that are attached to the have a reduced infection rate. 16 surface. And this has to be investigated, and the 16 BY MR. BROWN: 17 amount of surface has to be really reduced, and then O. Doctor, what does it mean to you to 18 you can expect that you have a lowered risk, not a 18 potentiate infection with regard to a mesh? 19 19 nonpercent risk, but a lowered risk. Α. It means that the clinical 20 20 Doctor, are there any studies that manifestation of an infection is accelerated and you can point to that the Prolift+M® has a lower intensified in the presence of a foreign body. This 21 22 infection rate than the Prolift®? is -- yeah. This is current knowledge in surgery as 23 That Prolift+M® has a lower infection well, and there are a lot of experimental data rate, the clinical studies, studies comparing this. showing what happens if you add bacteria to the 25 I did not get an information on a wound of a alloplastic material. Page 452 Page 454 study that is doing in regards to specify this. 1 Have you seen any studies, Doctor, 2 So there's nothing you can point to where bacteria has been added to the mesh in 3 that shows that there is another mesh construction Prolift® to see if it potentiates infection or it does not potentiate infection? that has a lower infection rate than the Prolift®; 5 is that correct? 5 Surprisingly, I did not remember --6 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. or in the moment, I did not remember any study where 7 the aim was to control the bacterial adherence of Go ahead. 8 THE WITNESS: I fear this topic has various germs to the surface of the Prolift®. 9 9 not been investigated when having access to the --Doctor, let me just ask you if you --10 which of the two materials is better than the other. 10 I'm showing you Exhibit 18, which is a study by 11 BY MR. BROWN: 11 Thomas or Dr. Barbolt. 12 12 Doctor, we'll come back to my 13 question then, which is --13 (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-18, 14 MR. BROWN: Would you read it back, 14 Article entitled "Biology of 15 15 polypropylene/polyglactin 910 grafts", was Ann Marie? 16 16 marked for identification.) 17 17 (The court reporter read the 18 pertinent part of the record.) 18 BY MR. BROWN: 19 19 It's Exhibit 18. 20 20 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and Doctor, a couple different things were studied here, but we're talking right now just 21 answered. 22 THE WITNESS: There is a lack of about the infection potentiation. So he discusses a knowledge, yeah. 23 study starting on page S29. Do you see where it 24 MR. BROWN: Would you read back my says "Infection potentiation"? If you would, question? I'm asking you, can you point to a study, Doctor, go ahead and read that section on infection

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		on and order or confractionary
	Page 455		Page 457
1	potentiation and then I'll ask you a couple	1	biologicals, and we can let them outside, because
2	questions about it.	2	this is not our job. Then we have the Gynemesh® PS
3	Tell me whenever you're through,	3	and the Marlex® mesh that he looked at it. He
4	Doctor.	4	placed staphylococcus aureus. Staphylococcus aureus
5	Have you had a chance to review it?	5	is not the main germ that has to be considered in
6	MR. ANDERSON: Review what? The	6	the use in the pelvic floor area.
7	whole article or you just	7	Q. What is that main germ?
8	MR. BROWN: No.	8	A. What?
9	MR. ANDERSON: want him to look at	9	Q. What is the main germ for the pelvic
10	these few paragraphs?	10	floor area?
11	MR. BROWN: Yeah. I mean, there's a	11	A. It is some gram negative bacteria as
12	couple of different studies and this is a review of	12	well, a lot of it. We can have a look to this study
13	studies, and I'm only asking about the "Infection	13	where they made the culture of the germs that were
14	potentiation" at this point.	14	isolated from the mesh. But only staphylococcus
15	MR. ANDERSON: Okay.	15	aureus, that's mainly sitting on the skin.
16	THE WITNESS: So I read this chapter,	16	We have made own investigations, and
17	_	17	6
18	yes. BY MR. BROWN:	18	we compared different strains of staphylococcus, different strains of E. coli, and saw that it is
19			•
	Q. The "Infection potentiation," right	19	very different between the different strains of
20	here?	20	bacteria how they adhere to the surface of this
21	A. Yeah, yeah.	21	material.
22	Or maybe it is not necessary, because	22	So to really want if you want to
23	there are so many things, maybe you can come in	23	control the risk for infection by your material in
24	details with a single to have a look at it.	24	the presence of a contamination, I think you have to
25	Q. Doctor, would you agree in this study	25	do it or I'm sure you have to do it with various
	Page 456		Page 458
1	_	1	_
1 2	Page 456 that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria?	1 2	sorts of germs.
	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria?		sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and
2	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right.	2	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It
2 3	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study	2	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you
2 3 4	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before?	2 3 4	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after
2 3 4 5	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah.	2 3 4 5	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it	2 3 4 5 6	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there.
2 3 4 5 6	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of	2 3 4 5 6 7	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sorts of germs. Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first of all, he clearly explains what I said is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are looking to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first of all, he clearly explains what I said is potentiate. He said that you need fewer bacteria.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are looking to Q. Doctor, that's not my question at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first of all, he clearly explains what I said is potentiate. He said that you need fewer bacteria. It is well known that in the presence of a firm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are looking to Q. Doctor, that's not my question at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first of all, he clearly explains what I said is potentiate. He said that you need fewer bacteria. It is well known that in the presence of a firm implant, it takes fewer bacterias to cause infection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are looking to Q. Doctor, that's not my question at all. A. What?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first of all, he clearly explains what I said is potentiate. He said that you need fewer bacteria. It is well known that in the presence of a firm implant, it takes fewer bacterias to cause infection at the surgical site, infection. So I think I'm in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are looking to Q. Doctor, that's not my question at all. A. What? Q. So my question is, if there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first of all, he clearly explains what I said is potentiate. He said that you need fewer bacteria. It is well known that in the presence of a firm implant, it takes fewer bacterias to cause infection at the surgical site, infection. So I think I'm in agreement with his position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are looking to Q. Doctor, that's not my question at all. A. What? Q. So my question is, if there is infection that's on a bacteria
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first of all, he clearly explains what I said is potentiate. He said that you need fewer bacteria. It is well known that in the presence of a firm implant, it takes fewer bacterias to cause infection at the surgical site, infection. So I think I'm in agreement with his position. Then he took three different meshes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are looking to Q. Doctor, that's not my question at all. A. What? Q. So my question is, if there is infection that's on a bacteria A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that the Gynemesh® PS was inoculated with some bacteria? A. That is right. Q. And, Doctor, have you seen this study before? A. Yes, I have seen this study. Yeah. Q. And after they looked at it, it showed that it was neutral for the presence of bacteria. Do you see that? A. I see this, but Q. And does that mean that there was no additional bacteria that was originally placed on the mesh? A. Now, what was what my interpretation of this document is, that it first of all, he clearly explains what I said is potentiate. He said that you need fewer bacteria. It is well known that in the presence of a firm implant, it takes fewer bacterias to cause infection at the surgical site, infection. So I think I'm in agreement with his position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Then he looked after four days, and he saw that they are neutral. So it's not less. It is not more, but it is not less. So what you assume, that there is a cleaning of the body after four days, removing all the bacterias in the presence of the foreign body has not happened there. So these are just some few remarks where the limitations is. And there is a concern. Yeah. Q. How long would it take to or for a body to clear out some infection? A. If I look at the database of Professor Klosterhalfen with 1,000 explants, I think the latest time point for removal of a device because of infection has been 15 years, if you are looking to Q. Doctor, that's not my question at all. A. What? Q. So my question is, if there is infection that's on a bacteria

Page 459 Page 461 1 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, because 1 said that there was a low infection rate or a low 2 infection. he's answering the question. 3 3 And so I'm asking you what do you THE WITNESS: That was my answer. 4 Lifelong risk. You have to consider a lifelong mean by that, when you say a low infection? 5 5 risk. Sometimes it never occur. The bacteria are Yeah. I forgot the question mark, to say that this is a phrase just to give you some sitting in the biofilm there, smooth, calm, and then by a sudden breaking down of the immunological indication that it's not 100 percent. defense capability or some germs in the blood, it's MR. ANDERSON: You say question mark. 9 reactivated and then you have the manifest infection Did you mean quotation mark? 10 10 after three years, five years. And in the time THE WITNESS: Quotation mark, yeah. 11 period between, you don't see anything. 11 So I forgot the quotation mark. And to indicate 12 BY MR. BROWN: that it doesn't make any sense to ask me for a 13 definite number to this. But sorry. Isn't that normally, Doctor, when 14 you've got a mesh that's encapsulated for the germs 14 BY MR. BROWN: 15 to come through the blood? O. Doctor, do you have any studies that 16 you can point to that the Prolift® potentiates A. I didn't get the point. 17 17 O. When you've got a mesh -- didn't you infection? 18 18 say that years later there can be bacteria that A. I know that there is a considerable 19 comes through your blood? risk for infection that this happens. I cannot even 20 20 A. Yes, yes. imagine, or I don't understand how to potentiate it, 21 Q. Doesn't that normally occur when the 21 what does it mean. I think it is a fact that, in 22 mesh is encapsulated? the presence, if you implant a medical device, an 23 23 alloplastic material, in the form from the Prolift® No, no, no, no. This encapsulation, this fibrotic encapsulation, is not sufficient to in this contaminated field, in this contaminated prevent any invasion of bacteria. Bacteria, they're area, that you have to take into account that in Page 460 Page 462 very small. You have even in the scar a lot of some patients, there will be an infection complication there. That is a fact. Whether this vessels, so you need blood flow. Otherwise, this 3 fibrotic capsule will get necrotic there. So no way Prolift® potentiated or linearly increased the risk, to prevent this. or in what other conditions it may affect the risk 5 And if you look to one other field in and what is the relevance in regards to the other 6 medicine, or if you have cardiac valves, you are issues, I'm not able to separate this. 7 asked to get an antibiotic prophylaxis lifelong to Doctor, are you saying that you do 8 prevent this secondary attachment of bacteria. So not understand what the terminology means 9 9 our experience is that, despite it may be a low "potentiate infection," or do you understand that? 10 10 risk, whatever low is, but it's a lifelong risk. MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 11 11 And this cannot be contraindicated or it is not THE WITNESS: It has to be put in the sufficient to have this standard mouse model in this 12 12 context. 13 setting to exclude this risk. 13 BY MR. BROWN: 14 Q. Would you agree it's a low risk for 14 So just by me asking you what is 15 infection with the Prolift®? potentiation of infection, you would say, I can't 16 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 16 answer that? 17 17 Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 18 18 THE WITNESS: I think we cannot agree Go ahead. 19 19 what is low and what is not low, because this is a THE WITNESS: No. If you mean it 20 20 difficult question. Even if you have a low risk to increase the risk for infection, that I can agree to 21 die at a very cosmetic operation, this is not 21 this. acceptable, so, yeah. Low in relation to what? So 22 BY MR. BROWN: 23 23 I will not answer it that it is low. Q. Let me put it --24 BY MR. BROWN: 24 MR. ANDERSON: You can or can't? 25 25 Doctor, you used the word "low" and THE WITNESS: I can agree to this.

Page 463 Page 465 1 BY MR. BROWN: 1 Q. Doctor, is this picture, Figure 4, 2 does this show any fatty tissue ingrowth? Let me put it in context. 3 3 Can you point to any studies that That is my concern, no. Definitely I 4 show that the Prolift® mesh potentiates infection? don't see that the pores are filled with the local 5 MR. ANDERSON: Objection for the same fatty tissue there. But I see this bridging. And 6 reasons stated. if you compare the distance of the filaments, you 7 Go ahead. see that the distance is not very much. So from 8 THE WITNESS: No, I do not have the this slide, you get the impression that the pores 9 9 data showing -- confirming in an experimental are not very large, not sufficiently large enough to 10 setting that Prolift® is -- what were the term? 10 allow the ingrowth of fatty tissue. 11 BY MR. BROWN: 11 Q. Make sure I'm hearing you right. 12 12 0. So on Figure 4, this bridging Potentiates infection. 13 A. Potentiates infections as a specific 13 fibrosis would prevent fatty tissue ingrowth. 14 topic for investigation. 14 Is that what you're saying? 15 15 Doctor, let me ask you this. This is Usually -- yes. When there is 16 on page S29 of this study. 16 sometimes a scar, there is no possibility to remove 17 17 If you look up at the top right, that this scar by the body, unfortunately. 18 18 Figure 4, are you able to look at that picture and 19 19 tell if that is a low inflammatory response or a (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-19, 20 high inflammatory response? PowerPoint entitled "Tissue Reaction and 20 21 21 No. What I see if I look to the Integration of Polypropylene-Based 22 Surgical Mesh in Rats," Bates stamped Figure 4, and comparing it to the Figure 2, then my ETH.MESH.02319001, was marked for 23 impression is that the inflammatory activity of Figure 4, the Gynemesh® PS, is less than in 24 identification.) 25 25 Figure 2. Page 464 Page 466 1 And, second, I see this -- these BY MR. BROWN: collagen bridging between all fibers in Figure 4. Doctor, if you would go to the last 3 So this is a proof that the Gynemesh® PS has a lower page of -- I'm handing you Exhibit 19. And on Exhibit 19 is a PowerPoint slide dealing with mesh inflammatory activity in comparison to the Marlex® 5 mesh, but it is a proof as well that you see this in rats. 6 fibrotic linkage, this bridging, in the Gynemesh® PS Doctor, if you look at the very last 7 at 91 days. page -- you have it in front of you. 8 Q. 8 Doctor --Doctor, does that show to you 9 9 A. In this location. encapsulation? 10 So, Doctor, in your opinion, from 10 What I see is that it is excised Q. 11 Figure 4, is this what you consider bridging tissue, and I see this -- a mesh there placed on it. 11 12 fibrosis? 12 And this mesh is -- seems to be covered by a thin 13 This is -- this reflects bridging layer of cell. And I would expect that this is a A. 14 fibrosis on the microscopical level. mesh that has been removed from the abdominal wall 15 And does this also characterize cavity. Or it is -- it has formed a -- some sort of Q. 16 encapsulation? cystic environment there. But I do not see a real 17 A. No. This is -- so you have to tissue integration from this side, only from the 18 consider different levels. Encapsulation can be other side. Of course, macroscopically, I do not 19 seen on the microscopical, there is an encapsulation 19 see any encapsulation there, fibrotic encapsulation 20 20 that can be seen on the microscopical level that you in this field. Whether it is -- how it looks in the 21 see during the OR only fibrotic tissue, but as well microscopical level, you have to look to this as it you can define it as, on the microscopical level, is quite similar to the other staining. I note --23 where you have all these same bundles of collagen at 23 this indicates that the microscopical image we just 24 the surface around all of these meshes, but it's not recently saw, it shows this bridging on the necessarily seen macroscopically. microscopical level, and, therefore, I have no doubt

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		
	Page 467		Page 469
1	that there was this bridging there.	1	Q. It's an appropriate way?
2	But if I look to this image, I	2	A. To measure the intraabdominal
3	cannot or I cannot understand that this mesh	3	pressure.
4	material was removed from a subcutaneous space	4	Q. Would the intraabdominal pressure, in
5	there. When I extract the meshes from the	5	your opinion, include the pelvic floor?
6	subcutaneous space, I've never seen this smooth,	6	A. It gives some estimate for the
7	shiny layer covering the mesh. That is not typical.	7	pressures that may stress the pelvic tissue as well.
8	And, therefore, I would like to see the samples	8	Q. Doctor, isn't the bladder in the
9	there. Because if there are other studies making	9	pelvic floor?
10	this IPOM mesh, placing it on the abdominal cavity	10	A. Hmm?
11	from inside, and there you see as well this very	11	Q. Isn't the bladder in the pelvic
12	thin layer of mesothelial cells and then you have	12	floor?
13	this shiny appearance. But if you make just an	13	A. No. It is on top of the pelvic
14	extraction from the subcutaneous space where the	14	floor.
15	mesh is attached to the fascia and to the	15	Q. Okay. And the pressures coming from
16	surrounding fat tissue, it hardly look like this.	16	the pelvic floor would come to the bladder.
17	So I need an explanation what happens	17	Do you agree with that?
18	in this field, where it really comes from, and then	18	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
19	it may be possible to explain this. But if there	19	THE WITNESS: The pelvic floor is a
20	were certain conditions that are not typical, I	20	compound of muscle and ligaments and fascia and
21	think it is very difficult to find an interpretation	21	nerves and vessels. I don't understand why where
22	or to make a good interpretation of what happens in	22	the pressure is originated.
23	this figure. I'm not able to do so.	23	BY MR. BROWN:
24	Q. Okay. Let me ask you	24	Q. You're saying you don't know where
25	A. Because for the abdominal careful, it	25	the pressure originates from the pelvic floor?
	2 County for the westerning enterty, it		the pressure originates from the period from
	Page 468		Page 470
1	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the	1	A. You have asked me whether the
2	_	1 2	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the
	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry.		A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the
2 3 4	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20,	2 3 4	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the
2 3 4 5	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for	2 3	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one
2 3 4	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia	2 3 4	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It
2 3 4 5	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for	2 3 4 5	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly
2 3 4 5 6	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are
2 3 4 5 6 7	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a — it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a — it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by putting a bladder catheter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Where would I measure the forces? There is no perfect way to come to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by putting a bladder catheter. Do you believe that's an appropriate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Where would I measure the forces? There is no perfect way to come to a precise measurement of the forces, the pressures and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by putting a bladder catheter. Do you believe that's an appropriate way of testing the pelvic pressures?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Where would I measure the forces? There is no perfect way to come to a precise measurement of the forces, the pressures and so on in the moment. So you have to think of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by putting a bladder catheter. Do you believe that's an appropriate way of testing the pelvic pressures? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a — it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Where would I measure the forces? There is no perfect way to come to a precise measurement of the forces, the pressures and so on in the moment. So you have to think of several models, all with the limitations and all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by putting a bladder catheter. Do you believe that's an appropriate way of testing the pelvic pressures?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Where would I measure the forces? There is no perfect way to come to a precise measurement of the forces, the pressures and so on in the moment. So you have to think of several models, all with the limitations and all with specific covering specific aspects there in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by putting a bladder catheter. Do you believe that's an appropriate way of testing the pelvic pressures? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Where would I measure the forces? There is no perfect way to come to a precise measurement of the forces, the pressures and so on in the moment. So you have to think of several models, all with the limitations and all with specific covering specific aspects there in this field. The point is that measuring the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by putting a bladder catheter. Do you believe that's an appropriate way of testing the pelvic pressures? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: It is an appropriate way to measure the intraabdominal pressure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Where would I measure the forces? There is no perfect way to come to a precise measurement of the forces, the pressures and so on in the moment. So you have to think of several models, all with the limitations and all with specific covering specific aspects there in this field. The point is that measuring the pressure usually includes that you need some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is quite usual to see it like this, but not in the subcutaneous space. Sorry. (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-20, Article entitled "The Argument for Lightweight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair", was marked for identification.) BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 20, which is a study by Dr. Cobb in 2005. Doctor, if you'll turn to page 65. Doctor, do you see where it says, "To answer this question" on the far right column? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Cobb and his team sought to assess the pressures of the intraabdomen by putting a bladder catheter. Do you believe that's an appropriate way of testing the pelvic pressures? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: It is an appropriate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. You have asked me whether the pressure from the pelvic floor is transferred to the bladder. So this is a setting where you measure the pressure as newton per square centimeters within the abdominal cavity. Of course you have a it is one space to go down to almost the pelvic floor. It stops a little bit above. You have other slightly different or you have different values if you are measuring in the stomach, in the free abdominal cavity or in the bladder. So you always have variances in your measurements there. Q. Doctor, if you wanted to measure the pelvic floor forces, where would you measure them? MR. ANDERSON: Did you say forces? MR. BROWN: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Where would I measure the forces? There is no perfect way to come to a precise measurement of the forces, the pressures and so on in the moment. So you have to think of several models, all with the limitations and all with specific covering specific aspects there in this field. The point is that measuring the

- $^{\scriptsize 1}$ $\,$ have a pressure. Usually you don't know the area or
- 2 the thickness of a layer, and, therefore, usually it
- 3 does not help if you are measuring pressures if you
- 4 want to transfer it to some anatomical structures or
- 5 to some textiles. That is a difference. That is a
- 6 difficulty.
- 7 If you want to measure forces, you
- 8 can try to measure the retaining forces of tissues
- 9 by just -- by placing some sutures and look how --
- 10 what are the forces they withstand and to removal of
- 11 these things. You can extract -- as Cosson did
- extensively, you can take some of these tissues, cut
- 13 them in stripes and make some uniaxial measurements.
- 14 You can do some excision of the tissue and make some
- 15 test pressing through the stamp as well for these
- 16 tissues. However, all of this together just gives
- you a rough estimate of the biomechanical reality
- 18 there.
- 19 BY MR. BROWN:
- Q. Have you tested, Doctor, the pelvic
- 21 floor forces?
- A. We have in -- we have tested -- we
- 23 have measured personally not the forces, but we have
- tested the capability of the tissue to withstand
- extraction, because we made -- and this is

- Q. Now, Doctor, if you look it says that
- 2 this was performed on fit patients or healthy
- ³ patients.

4

5

6

10

12

13

- Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Doctor, do you agree that there would

Page 473

Page 474

- be an increased pressure on an obese patient?
 - MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
- 9 BY MR. BROWN:
 - Q. Than a healthy patient?
- 11 MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
 - Go ahead.
 - THE WITNESS: I know some obese
- patients where they surely will not have an
 - increased intraabdominal pressure because they
- are -- their capability of muscle activity is quite
- 17 restricted. So adipose is not sufficient to predict
 - 8 an increased abdominal wall -- intraabdominal
- 19 pressure.
- 20 BY MR. BROWN:
- Q. Are you saying, Doctor, it's not
- 22 possible for an obese patient to have a higher
- 23 pressure?
- A. No. I don't want to say this general
 - statement that generally obese cannot be. I know,

Page 472

- published, I think. We made investigations for how
- 2 to anchor -- how to place anchor, what is the
- 3 holding capacity of anchors in the tissue. And this
- 4 has been done with focus on the pelvic floor in
- 5 pigs.
- 6 Q. But, Doctor, is the answer to my
- 7 question no, that you have not studied the pelvic
- 8 floor forces in a human?
- 9 A. We have not made investigations,
- 10 yeah, with this focus.
- Q. If you look at Dr. Cobb, he has a
- 12 range of 64 millimeters of mercury to
- 13 252 millimeters of mercury.
- Do you have any reason to dispute
- that those are similar pressures in the pelvic
- 16 floor?
- A. I know that this publication appears
- 18 after ours. And in the development of the Vypro®,
- 19 we assumed a maximum pressure I think is
- 20 150 millimeters Hg, and so later on he even exceeded
- 21 it a little bit. But I have no doubts that this
- 22 is -- can be considered as a maximum intraabdominal
- 23 pressure. This is the range. It is in the humans,
- ²⁴ in the abdominal cavity. I would not expect a value
- which is higher.

- 1 if you ask me if an obese in general has an
- ² increased intraabdominal pressure, I say that is not
- 3 true, because I personally have in front of my eyes
- 4 some obese patients where I think or I'm sure that
- 5 they will not have this peak pressure as a 20 years
- 6 old healthy bodybuilder which is lifting 300 pounds.
- Q. Doctor, would an obese patient have a
- higher pressure in the pelvic floor than a healthy
- 9 patient in the pelvic floor?
- A. I don't see that there is a way to
- 11 make the difference. If you measure the pressure
- 12 just above the pelvic floor and in the abdominal
- cavity, it is one space, so you shouldn't expect
- 4 some differences there.
- Q. And is that an assumption you're
- 16 making, Doctor? Do you have any studies that
- 17 support what you just said?
- A. It is, as it was written in some --
- 19 in the reports, it's just physics.
- Q. Doctor, is it also possible that
- patients could do more strenuous activities than jumping that would lead to higher forces in the
- 23 pelvic floor?
- A. In the moment, we are -- if you refer
- to this article, we are not talking about forces.

- 1 We are talking about pressures. And these pressures
- 2 may differ. And jumping, I can do a jumping without
- 3 using my muscle as well, so I would not expect that
- 4 the intraabdominal pressure will increase. If you
- 5 have some other activities, maybe you have a maximum
- 6 peak level of intraabdominal pressure. And I would
- agree that if designing a textile to reinforce these
- 8 tissues, you have to consider that you have to cover
- 9 these peak pressures as well, of course.
- 10 Q. Doctor --
- 11 A. Or you have -- sorry.
 - Or if this is not possible to do it
- 13 in one device, you have to provide two devices, one
- 14 for the heavy worker and one for the others, if you
- can realize it only in this way to lower the
- 16 specific risk.

12

- Q. And, Doctor, what I believe you
- 18 stated earlier is that the Prolift® mesh is
- 19 overengineered; is that correct?
- A. From all my data I saw, I have the
- 21 impression that the Prolift® is overengineered.
- Q. And, Doctor, what is the optimal
- 23 strength for a mesh in the pelvic floor?
- A. I have no indication from all the
- 25 literature, from all our experiences, from all our

- 1 reaction of the tissues, a more intense formation of
- 2 scar tissue. And this is related to more shrinkage,
- 3 more erosion, more infections, more pain, all these
- 4 clinical side effects that happened if you have a
- 5 textile implant. And this is integrated only in --
- 6 or mainly in scar. This is the consequence of an
- overengineering, that it is possible to reduce all
- 8 of this. The first evidence is the Prolift+M®,
- ⁹ where the material is reduced. For example, it
- 10 has --

16

- 11 Q. I'm glad you mentioned Prolift+M®,
- 12 because I was going to do the same thing.
- Have you seen, Doctor, studies
- 14 comparing Prolift® and Prolift+M® specifically with
- 5 erosion rates?
 - A. With what?
- Q. Have you seen studies with Prolift®
- and with Prolift+M® and seen where the Prolift+M®
- 19 erosion rate is below the Prolift® erosion rate, any
- 20 kind of significant difference, have you seen that?
- A. In the moment, I will not -- I do not
- 22 remember that there is a specific study comparing
- 23 these two different materials. However, I wouldn't
- expect it, because the Prolift+M®, based on
- ⁵ Ultrapro®, has some other disadvantages. It has a

Page 476

- 1 measurements from tissue that there has to be
- 2 considered a tensile strength of more than the 16
- 3 newton per centimeters that we estimate for the
- 4 abdominal wall. There is some indication that it's
- ⁵ even lower, but it depends from the way you use it
- 6 which structure you want to reinforce whether you
- ⁷ have additional tissue that contributes to the
- 8 stability or -- yeah. At least these are some
- ⁹ aspects that you have to consider.
 - Q. So you're saying that an optimal strength would be 16 per newton centimeters in the pelvic floor?
- A. No. I said that it is -- I have no arguments to say that it is more. I do not have the possibility to say that the optimum is in the
- 16 moment.

10

11

12

- Q. And, Doctor, what complications have occurred as a result of Prolift® having the strength
- that it has instead of the 16 newton per centimeters or below?
- A. The overengineering leads to a
- 22 unnecessary plus of material, and it is followed by
- 23 pores that are smaller than necessary. It leads to
- ²⁴ a plus of surface. So overall, this overengineering
- is followed by a more intense local inflammatory

- 1 lower surface. It is a reduced amount of material.
- 2 It has some beneficial parts in this regard, but it
- 3 has some other disadvantages. And I would expect
- 4 that this compensates any beneficial effect on the
- 5 complication rates.
- So after all, as maybe with the
- 7 Vypro®, you have some advantages in some regard, but
- 8 overall, the rate of clinical complications in the
- 9 patients, I'm worried about it, but maybe not been
- 10 decreased by this.
- Q. Doctor, you're aware that there are
- 12 three-year studies for Prolift®, there are
- 13 three-year studies for Prolift+M®.
 - And you are aware that there have not
- 15 been significant decreases in erosion rates for
- 16 Prolift+M®?
- 17 A. Yeah, there is. And my explanation
- 18 is that you have some problem or that there is --
- 19 there are some problems in the structure from the
- 20 Prolift+M® that can explain why there is this
- 21 problem.

22

- O. What is it about the Prolift+M®
- 23 structure that leads to erosions?
- A. The Ultrapro® or Prolift+M®, which is
- a similar thing, it has a very -- it has larger

Page 478

- 1 pores. It has a reduced amount of polypropylene.
- 2 However, I've not seen, despite these three years of
- 3 experience, that there has been any mechanical
- problem due to this reduced amount of polypropylene.
- 5 So, therefore, this is my strongest indication that
- the Prolift®, per se, is overengineered in
- comparison to this, because Prolift+M® does not have
- any significant problems in this regard.
 - So Prolift+M®, the Ultrapro®, has
- 10 bigger pores, so the area of -- where I expect
- 11 bridging is lower, but only in the -- at rest. If
- 12 you put only the slightest strain to it, the
- 13 Ultrapro® which is very, very anisotropic, it is the
- 14 prototype of an anisotropic mesh, I don't know any
- other that is a mesh like this. So in a certain
- direction, these collapse -- or these pores collapse
- with the Ultrapro® at very, very low strain. So
- 18 then you lost all advantages of the large pores, and
- 19 you get a very small porous mesh, if there is only
- 20 some sort of strain to this material. In
- 21 comparison, the Prolift® in this regard is better,
- 22 because it withstands a little bit better these
- 23 forces.

9

- 24 There is another disadvantage of the
- Ultrapro®, but I think this is mainly important for

- 1 So, yeah, all this together may -- or surely
 - 2 influences the kind of wound healing in this area.

Page 481

Page 482

- But every contamination of bacteria will impair the
- wound healing capacity in this field. So all these
- risk factors together will define the risk in a
- 6 patient.

10

12

13

15

- O. Doctor, what mesh construction are
- you aware of that leads to lower erosion rates than
- the Prolift®?
 - Do you know -- you asked me, do you A.
- 11 know, sorry, or do you expect?
 - 0. No. Do you know?
 - Do you know? A.
- 14 Q. Yes.
 - A. In the moment, there is only the
- knowledge of this risk, but I'm not aware of any
- direct clinical comparisons, comparative studies in 18 this regard.
- 19 Q. What other mesh construction are you 20 aware of, Doctor, that causes less chronic pain in 21 the pelvic floor than the Prolift®?
- 22 MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I do not know any other
- mesh construction that is used for the Prolift®
- procedure.

2

10

Page 480

- 1 the incisional hernia, that is that you have a very
- low connection of the filaments to each other so
- 3 that it is separating quite easy if you have strain
- in a certain direction. But it may be -- in some
- 5 patients, it may be a concern in the arms, because
- you -- because of the heterogeneity of the course of
- 7 the fibers, it is not controlled where -- what is
- the stability at every part of the arms. There
- 9 should be a variation in the stability within the 10
 - arms.

11

15

- O. Doctor, are you saying that the
- 12 Ultrapro®, when more stress is placed on it, that the pores get smaller and it's the bridging fibrosis
- 14 with the Ultrapro® that leads to erosions?
- A. This will increase the risk for all
- 16 these fibrotic reactions, erosions, as well, yes.
- 17 Doctor, are there any other reasons 18 why erosions take place in the pelvic floor besides
- 19 bridging fibrosis?
- 20 Of course there is an incision. From 21 my surgical standpoint, there was an incision and it
- 22 was closed. And it depends, from the type of
- 23 dissection there, of the preparation, how much of
- 24 dissection is used, from the wound healing capacity
- of the patient, whether it's compromised or not.

- BY MR. BROWN:
 - Doctor, let me ask you one thing on
 - page 19 of your report.
 - At the bottom where it says,
 - "However, as Cosson."
 - Doctor, why if there is a vaginal
 - tissue rupture strain of about 20 newtons per
 - centimeter, why would you want a mesh that is in the
 - 9 range of 2 to 10 newtons per centimeter?
 - This paragraph, first of all, stated
- 11 that the tissue withstand usually, and this is in
- 12 accordance with our measurements of other tissues as
- well, that usually at a strain of 20 newton per
- centimeters, you have cutting through of any holding
- device from the tissues. So if the tissue is not
- able to withstand higher forces, I cannot imagine
- 17 the necessity of any other additional device to
- withstand higher forces. Therefore, the upper limit
- 19 of the tissue is 20 newton. Our estimate dealing
- with the intraabdominal pressure and the
- circumference of the abdominal wall cavity comes up
- to the end of 16 newton. This depends from the
- 23 radius.
- 24 So if you're going down in the pelvic
- and you have a smaller radius than in the abdominal

Page 483 wall cavity, I think it is reasonable to go lower. wanted to have a mesh with a stretchability or the And this is what my colleagues told me that during capability for elongation at a strain of 16 newton of 20 to 30 percent. That was how we got closer to 3 the operation, they have the feeling, they have the 4 feeling that the forces they apply there are quite this field. And we just measured at our bellies the 5 low. But, however, more precise measurements or change there. 6 6 estimations are still lacking. Point. And then you can see that, 7 MR. BROWN: Doctor, let me know kind physiologically, you have an elongation of 2 to of how you're doing. We want to get you out of here 30 percent in your circumference. And then we did 9 by right around 5:00, but do you want to take a some anatomical studies at anatomical corpse and got 10 five- to ten-minute break or do you want to push? similar values of about elongation at physiological 11 MR. ANDERSON: Let's take five to ten 11 strain of 20 to 30 percent. 12 12 That was tested at the beginning with and then we'll keep pushing. 13 13 the first devices uniaxial in a setting. Then later 14 (A recess was taken from 4:18 p.m. to 14 on we wanted to have this elongation at this strain 15 4:31 p.m.) at a -- when testing pressing through the stamp. 16 Then we, again, looked what is the elongation, the 17 deformation of the mesh at a certain strain in this BY MR. BROWN: 18 18 Doctor, can you define for me one. 19 elasticity, what that means? 19 So that -- this was used -- has been 20 20 Let me restate that. Strike that used to define the capability for elongation of the 21 21 textile structures to identify which textile question.

The elasticity for mesh, what does that mean?

22

23

24

25

11

12

14

16

21

22

A. If you want to know the complexity of this term, I think a good reference is the report of structures is better than the other, the uniaxial testing and then testing through the stem. Later on --Doctor, you do know that I just asked O.

Page 484

23

24

25

4

8

Page 486

Page 485

1 Professor Williams. He used different terms to describe this, the e (module of elasticity), stretchability, flexibility and all these things. I 4 think, if I remember in the '90s, when I looked to

5 the textile properties of meshes, they usually give

6 the -- what they called elasticity at the point of

7 rupture of the mesh. That is the -- an extreme

stretchability or stretching of the mesh. And when

9 it ruptured, then they said, this is the elasticity 10 of this mesh.

We rapidly got the idea that this is not relevant to know for a Prolene® mesh, what is the stretching at this maximum strength that is possible there. Therefore, we looked at the stretchability, the deformation of the mesh at more or less physiological values. Therefore, we tried to measure the elongation of a mesh at a strain, for example, of 16 newton per centimeters, because we 19 had the feeling that if you have an elongation of the muscle in the range to a mechanical strain of 16 newtons per centimeters, the mesh should follow this elongation as well.

23 And, therefore, one of the first --24 or at the beginning of the -- when we define the

requirements for the Vypro®, we defined it that we

you, how do you define elasticity? 2

A. Yes. All this together.

3 Q. Okay.

MR. ANDERSON: It is a complicated

question.

THE WITNESS: So it's not finished.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Go ahead.

9 A. Elasticity -- please, one important

10 thing is elasticity of a mesh is not the

elasticity -- or you have to separate the elasticity

of the filament, of the fibers. There is usually

very limited elasticity of the single fibers. There

is some additional stretchability, capability for

elongation by the textile, by the course of the

fibers. If there is some space left there, then

when you have this stretching, then it can be that

you gain some lengths and that you get some what may

19 be called elasticity, but, of course, is elongation 20 of the mesh.

21 And the third point, and this is the

most important thing, is that most of the length 23 that you get by mechanical stressing the mesh is

done by deformation of the pores. This is not the

elasticity of the polymer or the structure, but it

Page 487 Page 489 1 is -- it depends from the structure of the textile. 1 MR. ANDERSON: Tear it? 2 2 Therefore, is it so difficult, and the other point THE WITNESS: Tear it. 3 MR. ANDERSON: Or stretch it? 3 Professor Williams mentioned as well, was the flexibility of the mesh, that eases the handling THE WITNESS: Stretch it. If you 5 during the operation, that has to be considered as stretch it, then you have this elongation and then 6 well. the pores collapse. If you have a rough ground, it 7 Q. We're going to talk about flexibility is fixed there in this to some extent. So if you in just a second. release the stretch from this mesh, it will stay 9 But as far as the elasticity, does there, because it is fixed to the rough ground. If 10 elasticity mean that you can stretch the mesh out you have a very smooth ground, it may be that it's 11 and then it comes back to its original shape? Is going back to this. But if you have a rough ground 12 that a simple definition of it? as, for example, if you place it in tissues, it is 13 13 unlikely that it will recover completely. A. That is the physical definition of 14 14 elasticity. Elasticity means that you have a And, therefore, this explains very stretch there, and then it comes back. Otherwise, 15 well what you see in the videos where they place the it is a plastic deformation. So for meshes, you arms there and release the force. Then you don't usually don't have this coming back into the see this opening again of the arms and laying flat 18 original position. there, but they stayed there wrinkled and folded 19 Q. So if you stretch it and it doesn't 19 there. 20 come back to its original position, that's plastic BY MR. BROWN: 20 deformation; is that right? 21 21 O. Doctor --22 That is the definition. There is 22 A. So the reopening capacity is very 23 23 some -- more or less, it is superimposing both limited. effects, but this is the definition of plastic 24 O. And are you basing the reopening deformation for me. being limited on the video or are you basing that on Page 488 Page 490 And are you saying in the body that anything else? 1 1 2 when the mesh begins to stretch out, that it doesn't I've -- we did -- to test this 3 come back to its original shape but that it deforms? effect, we did our in vitro experiments. I saw it on the video. It is an explanation why we very 4 Let me restate that question, because 5 I want to make sure we're talking about Prolift®. often saw this wrinkling in our histological 6 So for Prolift®, are you saying that sections, because it explains that you have this 7 when it's placed in the pelvic floor, that when doubling of the mesh from the forces of it. Because 8 forces are placed on it, that it's going to stretch this is -- yeah. It is a very good explanation of 9 9 out and then deform? what we see when looking to the mesh explants. 10 10 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Q. Are those those 1,000 explants that 11 you talked about earlier? Go ahead. 11 12 12 THE WITNESS: The -- I've read in the A. (Witness nods head.) 13 reports that there are some -- one of them said or 0. You have to say yes. 14 assumed that there is a memory effect of the mesh 14 A. Yes. Sorry. 15 15 structure always providing an opening of the pores Q. Doctor, how much does the mesh need 16 16 to -- strike that. Let me ask it a different way. again when releasing the stress. 17 17 So as it is only the collapse of the How elastic does the mesh in the 18 18 mesh -- of the pore size, it depends from the size pelvic floor need to be? 19 19 and the stiffness of the filaments, and, of course, MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 20 20 of the structure whether -- how big the forces are Go ahead. 21 to reopen after release of the tensile stress. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. The answer of 22 this question depends from the configuration, the But there is another effect, and we 23 have tested it with a in vitro, where we placed a intention, what you want to reinforce. If you want mesh on a rough ground. And if you tear it and you to reinforce a ligament, which physiologically has a 25 have it -very limited stretchability --

Page 491 Page 493 1 BY MR. BROWN: go to page 20 of your report. 2 2 On "Elasticity," that's the section Can we just do pelvic organ prolapse? we're looking at. And I just want to make sure I 3 Is that what you're talking about? That way we can confine it down and you can answer the question. understand what you have in your report. We're 5 So how much elasticity does the mesh talking about investigations from Cosson and Gabriel 6 need for Prolift® to support pelvic organ prolapse? indicating elasticity. 7 The arms -- from my understanding, Do you see that? the use of the arms are to keep the mesh in place 8 A. Gabriel, yeah, I see it. 9 9 and some -- and, thus, may be regarded as some sort Do you see where it says that they 10 of artificial ligament there in this place. indicate an elasticity, it's got a less than 11 So for this ligaments to have it in 11 10 percent sign for fascial tissue, and then 15 12 place, if you have a stretchability of greater 100 percent for vaginal tissue. I'm just 13 20,000 percent, you will not be satisfied. 13 not sure what you mean here. 14 14 Therefore, for the arms, the stretchability, yeah, Can you tell me what you're trying to should be limited, should be less than for the flat 15 tell me with that sentence? 16 mesh for the central area, which is close to the 16 We have to go to the literature of vagina -- vaginal tissue which has to go with the Cosson and Gabriel, but so far I remember correctly, 18 other tissue around and should not demonstrate a they measure the elasticity, the stretchability of 19 considerable restriction of this elasticity. So tissues and of fascia and of native tissue, and 20 20 different. there the figures are coming from their 21 21 publications. Doctor, you had stated with the O. Vypro® at 16 newtons, it had 20 to 30 percent 22 22 O. Does that mean -- and I do not want elasticity, is that what you're saying, I think 23 to put words in your mouth. I just want to you're referencing for hernia. understand what the sentence means here. 25 25 So what are you saying needs to be Does it mean that you can have an Page 492 Page 494 the elasticity in the pelvic floor? elasticity of less than 10 percent for fascial 2 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, asked and tissue? Is that what that means? 3 The intention is to clarify that 3 answered, but go ahead. 4 MR. BROWN: Did he give me a there is a difference. Fascial tissue and ligaments 5 have a less elasticity than the other tissue. It percentage? 6 MR. ANDERSON: You didn't ask for a has to be separated. And I want to express this by 7 percentage there either. these sentences. And, therefore, this is indicated 8 BY MR. BROWN: by the different figures. You see another 9 Doctor, to be very clear then for elasticity for fascial tissue than for the organs, 10 everybody so we can get you out of here, is there a 10 and so it has to be considered separately. 11 percentage of elasticity that is necessary with a 11 0. So is that saying that the mesh --12 strike that. 12 mesh in the pelvic floor? 13 13 There are reasonable arguments to Is that saying that an appropriate estimate that elasticity or stretchability -- you mesh would have an elasticity of less than 15 have to define it carefully what you are thinking 10 percent for fascial tissue? 16 16 about, how you are measuring all this, but it is an From this study, there -- this study 17 elasticity in the field of 20 percent for a flat 17 confirms that an elasticity of less than 10 percent 18 tissue area should have less risk for making may be in the right range. But it is not sufficient 19 complications with the adjacent tissues than when 19 just to take this study and make it like this and 20 20 you use a stiffer one. expect that everything is perfect. 21 If you are using -- if you are just 21 Q. Okay. 22 focusing on the arms or the replacement with parts 22 A. But the range covers what I expect to 23 23 of your prosthesis of ligaments, this can be less, be. 24 should be less than 20 percent. 24 Q. And then it says, and 15 greater 25 Okay. Doctor, if you would, if you'd 100 percent for vaginal tissue.

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		_
1	Page 495 Is that a typo there or am I reading	1	Page 497 mesh?
2	this wrong? What does that mean, 15 greater than	2	MR. BROWN: Yes.
3	100 percent for vaginal tissue?	3	MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
4	A. If you look to the original article	4	THE WITNESS: I have to rely on
5	of these two, there is in one study there is the	5	this these anatomical biomechanical studies. And
6	measurement of 15 percent, and in the other study	6	then I, from my point of view, a range of yeah.
7	there is I think the study of corpses or so. There	7	At least more than 20 percent stretchability. But
8	is they indicated that there is an elasticity of	8	maybe it's 30, 30 to 50 percent stretchability of a
9	more than 100 percent. So you have to go in the	9	textile may be a good starting point to optimize it.
10	detail to explain.	10	BY MR. BROWN:
11	And I just mentioned what I what	11	Q. Doctor, do you believe that the
12	you can found in the literature, that there is this	12	elasticity of the Prolift® is adequate for use in
13	figure of 15 percent and 100 percent, which is	13	the pelvic floor?
14	extreme much there. But in this sentence, the	14	A. The elasticity of the Prolift® at a
15	intention was to show the difference, less than	15	strain of let me see to the data, if I remember
16	10 percent for the more stiff tissues and more than	16	correctly. Does anyone have the page?
17	15, 20 percent for the more flexible tissues. You	17	MR. ANDERSON: Do you have the
18	have different tissues. You want to reinforce	18	elasticity open for Prolift®?
19	different tissues, and, therefore, the device has to	19	BY MR. BROWN:
20	consider this one. And this is not written to	20	Q. Are you saying that it's in your
21	partly discuss whether 100 percent is reasonable or	21	report somewhere?
22	not, and we have to go to the study.	22	MR. ANDERSON: I don't remember. I
23	Q. Doctor, if you've already said this,	23	can look through it.
24	then I apologize.	24	MR. RESTAINO: Page 21.
25	But what is the range of elasticity	25	MR. ANDERSON: Is that a percentage,
	But what is the range of clasticity		WIK. AI VDERSOIV. Is that a percentage,
		_	
	Page 496		Page 498
1	that you would like to see for mesh placed for	1	though?
1 2	_	1 2	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no.
	that you would like to see for mesh placed for		though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under
2	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.	2	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of
2 3	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in
2 3 4	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor?	2 3 4	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on
2 3 4 5	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely
2 3 4 5 6	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor?	2 3 4 5 6	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue,	2 3 4 5 6 7	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is not done by measuring the elasticity of the textile.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of the Prolift® mesh is adequate for placement in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is not done by measuring the elasticity of the textile. You have to look at the elasticity after tissue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of the Prolift® mesh is adequate for placement in the pelvic floor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is not done by measuring the elasticity of the textile. You have to look at the elasticity after tissue integration.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of the Prolift® mesh is adequate for placement in the pelvic floor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is not done by measuring the elasticity of the textile. You have to look at the elasticity after tissue integration. Q. So is it your testimony that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of the Prolift® mesh is adequate for placement in the pelvic floor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is not done by measuring the elasticity of the textile. You have to look at the elasticity after tissue integration. Q. So is it your testimony that the well, let me ask you this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of the Prolift® mesh is adequate for placement in the pelvic floor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: The elasticity in its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is not done by measuring the elasticity of the textile. You have to look at the elasticity after tissue integration. Q. So is it your testimony that the well, let me ask you this. What is the optimal elasticity for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of the Prolift® mesh is adequate for placement in the pelvic floor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: The elasticity in its textile form fits the range, yeah. Is in the range
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is not done by measuring the elasticity of the textile. You have to look at the elasticity after tissue integration. Q. So is it your testimony that the well, let me ask you this. What is the optimal elasticity for a mesh in the pelvic floor? And if you can provide a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	though? MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of the Prolift® mesh is adequate for placement in the pelvic floor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: The elasticity in its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you would like to see for mesh placed for vaginal tissue? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. BY MR. BROWN: Q. A percentage, if you could, Doctor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, vaginal tissue, just for reinforcement in direct contact with the vaginal tissue, the best data I found there derived from this study from Cosson. This is it starts from 20 percent, can go up to 100 percent. BY MR. BROWN: Q. So, Doctor A. But you have to consider that it is not done by measuring the elasticity of the textile. You have to look at the elasticity after tissue integration. Q. So is it your testimony that the well, let me ask you this. What is the optimal elasticity for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RESTAINO: Percentage, no. THE WITNESS: No, no. Page 30 under 4.9 newton per centimeter of strength, elongation of 50 percent in the warp direction and 22 percent in the cross direction. The textile porosity and so on decreased, and effective porosity completely disappeared at a load of 4.9 centimeters. So for the arm, the elongation is 22 percent, 25 percent and 27 percent respectively. The elongation is higher there. From these data alone, I would think that the capability to for elongation of the Prolift® is not the most serious problem of this device. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Do you believe that the elasticity of the Prolift® mesh is adequate for placement in the pelvic floor? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: The elasticity in its textile form fits the range, yeah. Is in the range

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		-
	Page 499		Page 501
1	occurred.)	1	various stresses of the body.
2		2	Are you able to say if the Prolift®
3	BY MR. BROWN:	3	adapts to the pelvic floor region?
4	Q. Doctor, have you heard the term	4	A. I'm not able to understand this
5	"bidirectional elasticity"?	5	sentence, because I don't know what it means to
6	A. I've heard it, yeah.	6	adapt. Is it an active process or
7	Q. Doctor, does the Prolift® have	7	Q. Doctor, I can only use the word
8	bidirectional elasticity?	8	that's in the IFU, so
9	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	9	A. Yeah. But you asked me to explain
10	Go ahead.	10	the sentence you put in there, so to adapt is an
11	THE WITNESS: If you understand by	11	active process. To my knowledge, polymer is a dead
12	this term in comparison to a plate of steel, which	12	substance, as taken for some bags. There is no
13	does not have any elasticity in either direction,	13	active process of optimizing, growing, changing, so
14	that you just want to express that if you have a	14	something like this. So adapt, the active process
15	piece of Prolift® mesh there, that you tear it in	15	of adaptation to some strain by polypropylene, I do
16	one direction	16	not understand this.
17	MR. ANDERSON: Tear or stretch?	17	Q. Let me ask it in a different way.
18	THE WITNESS: Stretch. If you	18	Does it comply with the various
19	stretch it in one direction, that you get some	19	stresses in the pelvic floor, the Prolift® mesh?
20	certain elongation, and then afterwards, you can	20	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
21	turn it around by 90 degrees, stretch it again and	21	Go ahead.
22	then get another elongation. If you mean this as	22	THE WITNESS: Comply means? Again,
23	bidirectional elasticity, I would say that Prolift®	23	please help me to understand what is the definition
24	has this capability of bidirectional elasticity, as	24	of so compliance means a certain elongation at a
25	every mesh I know.	25	certain strain. That is the definition of
	Page 500		Page 502
1	Page 500 BY MR. BROWN:	1	Page 502 compliance.
1 2	BY MR. BROWN:	1 2	compliance.
	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined		compliance. Of course you can measure the
2	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way	2	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you
2 3	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined	2	compliance. Of course you can measure the
2 3 4	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is	2 3 4	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at
2 3 4 5	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did	2 3 4 5	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance.
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the	2 3 4 5 6	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did	2 3 4 5 6 7	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree. MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree. MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Got you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree. MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Got you. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I know that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree. MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Got you. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me ask you this, too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I know that contraction scarry contraction of a device is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree. MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Got you. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me ask you this, too. When Ethicon stated that the mesh	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I know that contraction scarry contraction of a device is associated with clinical complications. I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree. MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Got you. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me ask you this, too. When Ethicon stated that the mesh adapts to the various stresses of the body, are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I know that contraction scarry contraction of a device is associated with clinical complications. I know this. I cannot answer it for a single patient.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree. MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Got you. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me ask you this, too. When Ethicon stated that the mesh adapts to the various stresses of the body, are you able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compliance. Of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I know that contraction scarry contraction of a device is associated with clinical complications. I know this. I cannot answer it for a single patient. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. BROWN: Q. And the way that you defined bidirectional elasticity, is that an appropriate way to define bidirectional elasticity? A. I cannot answer the way or what is appropriate definition of this term, as I even did not get sufficient information by the FDA or by the reports from Ethicon what is meant by this term of bidirectional elasticity. Therefore, I do not know. So in the definition I gave to you, I think this is a statement I can agree. Q. When you say it's a statement you cannot agree A. I can agree. MR. ANDERSON: That he can agree. MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Got you. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, let me ask you this, too. When Ethicon stated that the mesh adapts to the various stresses of the body, are you able to A. What, that Ethicon stated?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of course you can measure the compliance of the Prolift® mesh. And if you consider different strains, you can look in the figure, and then you know the specific elongation at a strain. Therefore, you can define the compliance. But you can measure it for steel as well, so Again, I have some problems to understand this sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. We're going to move on from there. Let me ask you a couple questions. Doctor, can you say that the contracture rates for Prolift® lead to pain in a patient? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I know that contraction scarry contraction of a device is associated with clinical complications. I know this. I cannot answer it for a single patient. Yes. BY MR. BROWN:

Page 503 Page 505 1 is put in for pelvic floor? intensified bridging and shrinkage. So it is 2 impossible to give an absolute range there for me. For me there is no way to give an 3 Is there a mesh contracture today 3 absolute number of the number of -- the percentage, how many are caused by. I only can say that there that you're aware of that provides lower contracture is, without any doubts, there is an increased risk rates than the Prolift®? 6 to -- for manifestation of complication. 6 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 7 7 O. Is there a safe range of contracture THE WITNESS: I don't know any 8 in the pelvic floor? comparative study in this regard. 9 9 BY MR. BROWN: MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 10 10 Doctor, we're getting there. Let me Go ahead. 11 THE WITNESS: Safe contraction --11 ask you this real quick. 12 12 BY MR. BROWN: Your definition of inert? 13 13 Do you want me to restate it? Would A. Inert, I'm sure it's somewhere 14 that be helpful? 14 written, that there is no change after incorporation 15 A. Please. in a body or in human tissues, that there is no 16 change of appearance and construction and chemical O. Sure. Is there a range of contracture that can take place in the pelvic floor composition. That may be a term. 18 18 that you would expect it not lead to an adverse Do you believe today that the poly --Q. 19 event for patients? 19 scratch that. 20 20 From my experience and from my When did you come to believe that the Ethicon polypropylene was not inert? 21 knowledge, it is almost impossible to define an 21 absolute range where you can be, again, safe there. 22 When I saw for the first time the 22 23 What we have learned during all these years is that electron microscopic images showing that you have you have a changed risk, that you can't change the this cracking at the surface by Clave and confirmed risk with the -- by the selection of your material, by the group around Ramshaw. That was the first Page 504 Page 506 and you can have an increased risk or you can have a indicating that it is probably not inert. 2 lowered risk. But to go down to zero risk, I think And is there a difference between Q. 3 this is not imaginable for me in no part of surgery. 3 physical inert, chemical inert and biological inert? 4 Is there a range of contracture that I'm not aware for our -- in our field you would say leads to minimal risk for contracture of research. The inertness has to consider the 6 in the pelvic floor? integration into the tissue, the integration with 7 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. macrophages, with all these substances there. You 8 may define it otherwise, just looking to the BY MR. BROWN: 9 I'll restate it then. ultraviolet light, whether it is able to make a 10 Is there a contracture range for degradation. Maybe you define this as a physical 11 meshes that leads to minimal adverse events in a inertness, but what is relevant for us is only what 12 12 patient for pelvic floor repair? happens after integration in the body and not what 13 happens in the package. I'm sure if you are looking, the well 13 healing patients, then you will find a lower degree 14 15 15 of shrinkage in these patients than if you're (Deposition Exhibit No. Klinge-21, looking to the, let me say, bad healers in these. 16 Gynecare Prolift Instructions for Use, 17 17 There you will see a higher degree of shrinkage. Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02341454 through 18 18 But, again, it will be impossible to define ETH.MESH.02341459, was marked for 19 19 absolutely numbers for this. identification.) 20 20 Let me raise another aspect. We have made this evaluation of explanted mesh materials, 21 BY MR. BROWN: 21 and we have investigated different materials. And 22 Last document, last line of Q. 23 there has been several real large pore meshes. In 23 questions. the presence of a bacterial infection, even in these 24 A. It's a promise. 25 good meshes, large pore meshes, you have an MR. ANDERSON: I heard it.

	confidencial babject to beight		
	Page 507		Page 509
1	MR. BROWN: That was off the record.	1	its strength indefinitely, that this is likely not
2	BY MR. BROWN:	2	true.
3	Q. Doctor, this is the IFU of the	3	The other is that you say, "When used
4	Prolift®.	4	as a suture has been reported to be nonreactive."
5	I think you've probably seen that	5	That indicates I think not the true relationship
6	before; is that correct?	6	between the polypropylene material and the tissue
7	A. I've seen it before.	7	reaction as it is experienced with the meshes,
8	Q. Do you have any opinions that you	8	because I think it is not justified to compare the
9	intend to offer at trial that are critical of this	9	tissue reaction to a suture to the tissue reaction
10	information for use in the Prolift®?	10	to a mesh. This is just for this sentence.
11	A. I didn't get it.	11	Q. Go ahead.
12	Q. Do you have any opinions that you	12	A. So the next sentence, "The mesh
13	intend to offer at trial that are critical of what's	13	affords excellent strength, durability, and surgical
14	in this IFU?	14	adaptability, with sufficient porosity for necessary
15	A. Maybe again, or louder, or	15	tissue ingrowth." Excellent strength indicates that
16	Q. Sure, sure. Do you have any opinions	16	it is optimized for the physiological requirements,
17	that are critical of this IFU, statements in the	17	and I didn't see this confirmation that it was
18	IFU?	18	optimized to fit to the physiological requirements.
19	A. So we have to go page by page or	19	"With sufficient porosity for
20	sentence by sentence	20	necessary tissue ingrowth," that is correct. You
21	MR. ANDERSON: Yep. Yep.	21	have tissue ingrowth, but this does not meet the
22	THE WITNESS: to go there.	22	critical point. And, therefore, sufficient porosity
23	MR. ANDERSON: Take your time,	23	indicates a maybe or indicates a misleading
24	please.	24	aspect for the consumer.
25	THE WITNESS: Shall I, when I get to	25	The assumption that it is
	Page 508		Page 510
1	a sentence that I shall I raise it?	1	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard
2	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN:	2	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data
2 3	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine.	2 3	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really
2 3 4	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe	2 3 4	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the
2 3 4 5	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah.	2 3 4 5	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I
2 3 4 5 6	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a	2 3 4 5 6	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me.
2 3 4 5 6 7	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to	2 3 4 5 6 7	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. When it's talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body." "The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. When it's talking about retaining its strength indefinitely, is that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body." "The bi-directional elastic property allows adaptation to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. When it's talking about retaining its strength indefinitely, is that the testimony you gave with regard to degradation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body." "The bi-directional elastic property allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body" indicate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. When it's talking about retaining its strength indefinitely, is that the testimony you gave with regard to degradation? MR. ANDERSON: Well, let him address	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body." "The bi-directional elastic property allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body" indicate some active process. As I said, I cannot understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. When it's talking about retaining its strength indefinitely, is that the testimony you gave with regard to degradation? MR. ANDERSON: Well, let him address that sentence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body." "The bi-directional elastic property allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body" indicate some active process. As I said, I cannot understand this one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. When it's talking about retaining its strength indefinitely, is that the testimony you gave with regard to degradation? MR. ANDERSON: Well, let him address that sentence. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body." "The bi-directional elastic property allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body" indicate some active process. As I said, I cannot understand this one. And also "PERFORMANCE," there is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. When it's talking about retaining its strength indefinitely, is that the testimony you gave with regard to degradation? MR. ANDERSON: Well, let him address that sentence. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Go ahead then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body." "The bi-directional elastic property allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body" indicate some active process. As I said, I cannot understand this one. And also "PERFORMANCE," there is, again
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a sentence that I shall I raise it? BY MR. BROWN: Q. That's fine. A. Of course? Otherwise, it is maybe Q. Yeah. A. So "this material, when used as a suture, has been reported to be non-reactive and to retain its strength indefinitely in clinical use." Q. Can you just tell me where you are before you start reading? MR. ANDERSON: This page, second page under "GYNECARE GYNEMESH PS." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Starting with, "This material." BY MR. BROWN: Q. Okay. When it's talking about retaining its strength indefinitely, is that the testimony you gave with regard to degradation? MR. ANDERSON: Well, let him address that sentence. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approximately 50 percent more flexible than standard Prolene®, it will be necessary to look to the data available. So in the moment, I'm not able to really verify whether this is true in particularly in the physiological range. So what does it mean? But I think it is not the most critical part for me. "Provides for elasticity in both directions," that is true. "This construction permits the mesh to be cut intodesired shape or size without unraveling." That is true, that is an advantage of all knitted things, but it does not reflect the critical point. So maybe it would be helpful to say that trimming should be done outside or with a covering or something like this if you are aware whether this is a huge amount of material there. Yeah. "Allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body." "The bi-directional elastic property allows adaptation to various stresses encountered in the body" indicate some active process. As I said, I cannot understand this one. And also "PERFORMANCE," there is,

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula		
	Page 511		Page 513
1	said? Under "PERFORMANCE."	1	when I look to all these references and literature
2	MR. BROWN: Got it.	2	and reports, I got the impression that there should
3	THE WITNESS: So "Animal studies show	3	be more contraindications, but that is not my field
4	that implantationelicits a minimum to slight	4	where I wanted to point out that some patients
5	inflammation reaction, which is transient and is	5	should be mentioned there, but you asked me my
6	followed by the deposition of a thin fibrous layer	6	comments about this IFU. I think contraindications,
7	of tissue which can grow through the interstices of	7	that is a point for this.
8	the mesh, thus incorporating the mesh into adjacent	8	"Acceptable surgical practices should
9	tissue." I think this sentence does not reflect the	9	be followed in the presence of infected or
10	problem that might occur if you when you get a	10	contaminated wounds."
11	foreign body reaction with this size, with this	11	Q. Let me just make sure, too, so that
12	surface for such a long time in a contaminated	12	you're you know what my question is, is that
13	field. So all this all these aspects that may be	13	these are aspects that you're going to testify
14	a reason for concern, that is not mentioned in this	14	that's critical to the IFU.
15	sentence. And, thus, I think it gives a	15	MR. BROWN: So if there are places
16	insufficient impression of what can be expected.	16	you're not going to have him testify, Ben, then he
17 18	"The mesh remains soft and pliable."	17	doesn't need to go through that.
	If you just see if you have ever seen one of		MR. ANDERSON: I was going to ask you
19	these explanted meshes packed into this fibrotic	20	that, but I didn't want to feel like I was
20	tissue, then you know that this can never be a	21	directing. So other than the contraindications,
21 22	general statement, that the mesh remains soft and pliable.	22	the warnings and precautions okay. Better
23	"Normal wound healing is not	23	question.
24	noticeably impaired." I think this is not true. It	24	Is there anything in the "ADVERSE
25	is an additional burden for some patients, at least	25	REACTIONS" section that you have any criticism or
	is an additional burden for some patients, at least		REACTIONS section that you have any enticism of
	Page 512		Page 514
1	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of	1	Page 514 concerns about?
1 2	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some	1 2	_
2 3	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises.		concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it.
2	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I	2	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it.
2 3	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time	2 3 4 5	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this.	2 3 4 5 6	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that
2 3 4 5 6 7	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether	2 3 4 5 6 7	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"? MR. ANDERSON: Right. Just "ADVERSE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes." MR. BROWN: Just so it's not you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"? MR. ANDERSON: Right. Just "ADVERSE REACTIONS."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes." MR. BROWN: Just so it's not you testifying, let me just make sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"? MR. ANDERSON: Right. Just "ADVERSE REACTIONS." Just read those. THE WITNESS: Not this one?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes." MR. BROWN: Just so it's not you testifying, let me just make sure. BY MR. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"? MR. ANDERSON: Right. Just "ADVERSE REACTIONS." Just read those. THE WITNESS: Not this one? MR. ANDERSON: No, no. Well, unless
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes." MR. BROWN: Just so it's not you testifying, let me just make sure. BY MR. BROWN: Q. When you said "less likely than not,"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"? MR. ANDERSON: Right. Just "ADVERSE REACTIONS." Just read those. THE WITNESS: Not this one? MR. ANDERSON: No, no. Well, unless you see something.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes." MR. BROWN: Just so it's not you testifying, let me just make sure. BY MR. BROWN: Q. When you said "less likely than not," does that mean less likely than not true?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"? MR. ANDERSON: Right. Just "ADVERSE REACTIONS." Just read those. THE WITNESS: Not this one? MR. ANDERSON: No, no. Well, unless you see something. BY MR. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes." MR. BROWN: Just so it's not you testifying, let me just make sure. BY MR. BROWN: Q. When you said "less likely than not," does that mean less likely than not true? A. It is more likely than not that it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	oncerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"? MR. ANDERSON: Right. Just "ADVERSE REACTIONS." Just read those. THE WITNESS: Not this one? MR. ANDERSON: No, no. Well, unless you see something. BY MR. BROWN: Q. Doctor, if you plan on testifying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for some patients, which leads to a collapse of their local wound healing, leading to some complications at least for some, compromises. "The material is not absorbed." I would accept I would agree in a certain time point, there is no complete absorption to this. But, you know, I think it is I wonder whether this is helpful. Not "subject to degradation." I think this is, from my point, less likely than not. I hope I placed the "it" correctly. MR. ANDERSON: It's less likely than not that this is true. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: All right. THE WITNESS: "Or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes." MR. BROWN: Just so it's not you testifying, let me just make sure. BY MR. BROWN: Q. When you said "less likely than not," does that mean less likely than not true?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerns about? MR. BROWN: Fair enough. Let me ask it. MR. ANDERSON: You ask it. BY MR. BROWN: Q. What I want to know is anything that you're going to testify to that is critical to the IFU. So do you have any concerns with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section in the IFU? MR. ANDERSON: So in other words, just direct your attention to those two bullet points under "ADVERSE REACTIONS." THE WITNESS: So not any longer "WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS"? MR. ANDERSON: Right. Just "ADVERSE REACTIONS." Just read those. THE WITNESS: Not this one? MR. ANDERSON: No, no. Well, unless you see something. BY MR. BROWN:

	Confidential - Subject to Stipula	LLI	
	Page 515		Page 517
1		1	you're not an expert how mesh specifically leads to
2	ask him about any criticisms under	2	complications in pelvic floor repair; is that
3		3	correct?"
4	I'm going to leave that to the urogyns. I'm going	4	Objection by me.
5	to ask him, though, if he has any issues with regard	5	You said, "I don't think so, no."
6	to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS" section.	6	Do you remember when he asked you
7	BY MR. BROWN:	7	yesterday the question, you're not an expert how
3	Q. Doctor, do you have any concerns or	8	mesh specifically leads to complications in pelvic
و	critiques with regard to the "ADVERSE REACTIONS"	9	floor repair? Do you remember that?
10	section of the IFU?	10	A. I remember that.
11	MR. ANDERSON: In fact, I'm not going	11	Q. What was your understanding as to
12	to ask him about "ADVERSE REACTIONS" either. That's	12	what he was asking you?
13	really a urogyn field. I don't think that's	13	A. My answer referred to his sentence,
14	appropriate.	14	am I correct, that you are not an expect please,
15	So we're done. I got one question or	15	let me have
16		16	Q. "Doctor, you are not an expert how
17	MR. BROWN: Let me just ask very	17	mesh specifically leads to complications in pelvic
18	quickly.	18	floor repair?"
19		19	A. Okay. So the next sentence, "is that
20	(A discussion off the record	20	correct," your assumption that I am not an expert,
21	occurred.)	21	and so was my understanding of this phrase. And,
22		22	therefore, I answered with "no," you are not correct
23		23	when you say I am not an expert, because I believe
24	keep the deposition open, because there's a thousand	24	that I'm an expert on the topic complications to
25	explants, and so we can go down that at a later	25	meshes and complications to meshes that have been
	Page 516		Page 518
	Page 516 date.	1	Page 518 used in the pelvic floor as well.
1	date.	1 2	used in the pelvic floor as well.
	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll		used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay.
2	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge	2	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay.
3	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that	2 3	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say
3	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt	2 3 4	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I
3	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or	2 3 4 5	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct."
2 3 4 5	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you	2 3 4 5 6	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 6 7	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce	2 3 4 5 6 7	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear.
2 3 4 5	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor.
2 2 2 6 5	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in
2 3 4 5 8 9	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®?
2 3 4 5 6 8 9 10 11 12	date. MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in
2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 5 7 8 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative
2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert
22 33 44 5 66 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 16 16 16 17 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what
22 33 44 55 66 57 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a couple of questions for him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what happens after implantation of a textile structure.
22 33 4 5 6 7 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a couple of questions for him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what happens after implantation of a textile structure. Q. In the pelvic floor? A. In the pelvic floor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. No further
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a couple of questions for him. MR. BROWN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what happens after implantation of a textile structure. Q. In the pelvic floor? A. In the pelvic floor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. No further questions.
22 33 4 5 6 6 5 7 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a couple of questions for him. MR. BROWN: All right. EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what happens after implantation of a textile structure. Q. In the pelvic floor? A. In the pelvic floor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. No further questions. MR. BROWN: Okay.
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 7 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a couple of questions for him. MR. BROWN: All right. EXAMINATION EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what happens after implantation of a textile structure. Q. In the pelvic floor? A. In the pelvic floor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. No further questions. MR. BROWN: Okay. (Deposition adjourned at
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a couple of questions for him. MR. BROWN: All right. EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what happens after implantation of a textile structure. Q. In the pelvic floor? A. In the pelvic floor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. No further questions. MR. BROWN: Okay.
22 33 4 5 6 6 5 7 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a couple of questions for him. MR. BROWN: All right. EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Dr. Klinge, do you recall yesterday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what happens after implantation of a textile structure. Q. In the pelvic floor? A. In the pelvic floor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. No further questions. MR. BROWN: Okay. (Deposition adjourned at
22 33 44 55 66 75 88 99 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 20 20 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	MR. ANDERSON: All right. And I'll just object to any more questioning of Dr. Klinge other than if, with this rolling production that we're getting and these promises of Norderstedt documents, if I were to show those to him or anything arises as a result of documents that you asked me to produce that I actually agree to produce and that raises any new opinions or something that may give rise to something that would not be fair to, let's say, blind side you with at trial, although I wouldn't try to do that, anything that would come as a surprise to you that you haven't had a chance to fully evaluate with him, under those circumstances, then there may be the need to reopen his deposition. But, otherwise, we're done, with those clarifications by both of us. I just have a couple of questions for him. MR. BROWN: All right. EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Dr. Klinge, do you recall yesterday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	used in the pelvic floor as well. Q. Okay. A. So, therefore, I never wanted to say that I have no expert knowledge in this field, but I was just referred to your sentence, "am I correct." And from my logic, therefore, no, you haven't been correct, to make it clear. Q. Just to clear it then, Doctor. Do you consider yourself an expert in the complications or injuries of surgical mesh in the pelvic floor, including the Prolift®? A. I'm, of course, not an expert in doing the surgery or to avoiding some intraoperative complications, but, of course, I have expert knowledge about the late or the consequences, what happens after implantation of a textile structure. Q. In the pelvic floor? A. In the pelvic floor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. No further questions. MR. BROWN: Okay. (Deposition adjourned at

	Page 519		Page 521
1	CERTIFICATE	1	
2	CERTIFICATE	_	ERRATA
3	I, ANN MARIE MITCHELL, a Notary	2	
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of		PAGE LINE CHANGE
5	New Jersey, do hereby certify that prior to the	4	
6	commencement of the examination, PROF. DR. UWE	5	REASON
7	KLINGE was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth,	6	
8	the whole truth and nothing but the truth.	7	REASON
9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the	8	
10	foregoing is a verbatim transcript of the testimony	9	REASON
11	as taken stenographically by and before me at the		
12	time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth,	10	PELGON
13	to the best of my ability.	11	REASON
14	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am	12	
15	neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor	13	REASON
	± •	14	
16	counsel of any of the parties to this action, and	15	REASON
17	that I am neither a relative nor employee of such	16	
18	attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially	17	REASON
19	interested in the action.	18	
20			DEAGON
21		19	REASON
22		20	
23	ANN MARIE MITCHELL, CRR, RDR, CCR	21	REASON
	Notary Number: 2356252	22	
24	Notary Expiration: February 22, 2017	23	REASON
	CCR Number: 30XI00212000	24	
25	Cert (valido). 3071100212000	25	REASON
	Page 520		Page 522
1	Page 520 INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS	1	Page 522
1 2	_	1 2	_
2	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS		Page 522 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
2 3	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS Please read your deposition over	2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
2 3 4	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You	2 3 4	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,, do hereby
2 3 4 5	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on	2 3 4 5	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You	2 3 4 5 6	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing pages, 274 - 523, and that the same is a correct transcription of
2 3 4 5	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on	2 3 4 5 6 7	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,

Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 2060-7 Filed 04/27/16 Page 65 of 65 Page D #: 134695 Confidential - Subject to Stipulation and Order of Confidentiality
